# AGENDA <br> SANTA CRUZ METRO BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING OF FEBRUARY 24, 2012 9:00 AM <br>  

Mission Statement: "To provide a public transportation service that enhances personal mobility and creates a sustainable transportation option in Santa Cruz County through a cost-effective, reliable, accessible, safe, clean and courteous transit service."

THE BOARD MEETING AGENDA PACKET CAN BE FOUND ONLINE AT
WWW.SCMTD.COM AND IS AVAILABLE FOR INSPECTION AT SANTA CRUZ METRO'S ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES LOCATED AT 110 VERNON STREET, SANTA CRUZ, CA

Director Margarita Alejo, City of Watsonville<br>Director Hilary Bryant, City of Santa Cruz<br>Director Dene Bustichi, City of Scotts Valley<br>Director Daniel Dodge, City of Watsonville<br>Director Ron Graves, City of Capitola<br>Director Michelle Hinkle, County of Santa Cruz<br>Director Deborah Lane, County of Santa Cruz<br>Director John Leopold, County of Santa Cruz<br>Director Ellen Pirie, County of Santa Cruz<br>Director Lynn Robinson, City of Santa Cruz<br>Director Mark Stone, County of Santa Cruz<br>Ex-Officio Director Donna Blitzer, UC Santa Cruz

Leslie R. White, General Manager / Secretary of the Board Margaret Gallagher, District Counsel

## WATSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS 275 MAIN STREET <br> WATSONVILLE, CALIFORNIA

INTERPRETATION SERVICES / SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCIÓN
Spanish language translation is available on an as needed basis. Please make advance arrangements with Tony Tapiz, Administrative Services Coordinator at 831-426-6080. Traducción al español está disponible de forma según sea necesario. Por favor, hacer arreglos por adelantado con Tony Tapiz, Coordinador de Servicios Administrativos al numero 831-426-6080.
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CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS
275 MAIN STREET WATSONVILLE, CA

9:00 A.M.<br>NOTE: THE BOARD CHAIR MAY TAKE ITEMS OUT OF ORDER

## SECTION I: OPEN SESSION

### 1.0 ROLL CALL

2.0 ORAL ANNOUNCEMENT: AMY WEISS WILL BE AV AILABLE FOR SPANISH LANGUAGE INTERPRETATION DURING "ORAL COMMUNICATIONS" AND FOR ANY OTHER AGENDA ITEM FOR WHICH THESE SERVICES ARE NEEDED. TODAY'S MEETING IS BEING BROADCAST BY COMMUNITY TELEVISION OF SANTA CRUZ.

### 3.0 ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS

This time is set aside for Directors and members of the general public to address any item not on the Agenda which is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. No action or discussion shall be taken on any item presented except that any Director may respond to statements made or questions asked, or may ask questions for clarification. All matters of an administrative nature will be referred to staff. All matters relating to Santa Cruz METRO will be noted in the minutes and may be scheduled for discussion at a future meeting or referred to staff for clarification and report. Any Director may place matters brought up under Oral and Written Communications on a future agenda. In accordance with District Resolution 69-2-1, speakers appearing at a Board meeting shall be limited to three minutes in his or her presentation, unless the Board Chair, at his or her discretion, permits further remarks to be made. Any person addressing the Board may submit written statements, petitions or other documents to complement his or her presentation. When addressing the Board, the individual may, but is not required to, provide his/her name and address in an audible tone for the record.
a. John Daugherty, E\&DTAC

RE: Q-Pod Restraint Device System in Metro Buses

### 4.0 LABOR ORGANIZATION COMMUNICATIONS

### 5.0 ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION TO SUPPORT EXISTING AGENDA ITEMS
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## CONSENT AGENDA

All items appearing on the Consent Agenda are recommended actions which are considered to be routine and will be acted upon as one motion. All items removed will be considered later in the agenda. The Board Chair will allow public input prior to the approval of the Consent Agenda items.

## 6-1. ACCEPT AND FILE PRELIMINARILY APPROVED CLAIMS FOR THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER 2011 <br> Submitted by: Angela Aitken, Acting Asst. General Manager \& Finance Manager <br> 6-2. CONSIDERATION OF TORT CLAIMS: REJECT THE CLAIM OF SAHAR TAGHVAEI, CLAIM \#12-0003 <br> Submitted by: Margaret Gallagher, District Counsel

6-3. ACCEPT AND FILE RIDERSHIP AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR DECEMBER 2011
Submitted by: Les White, General Manager
6-4. ACCEPT AND FILE PARACRUZ OPERATIONS STATUS REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF DECEMBER 2011
Submitted by: April Warnock, Paratransit Superintendent
6-5. ACCEPT AND FILE STATUS REPORT OF ACTIVE GRANTS AND SUBMITTED GRANT
PROPOSALS FOR FEBRUARY 2012
Submitted by: Leslie R. White, General Manager
6-6. ACCEPT AND FILE STATUS REPORTS OF PROPOSED FEDERAL AND STATE
LEGISLATION AND CURRENT LEGISLATIVE ISSUES
Submitted by: Leslie R. White, General Manager
6-7. ACCEPT AND FILE ACCESSIBLE SERVICES REPORT FOR DECEMBER 2011
Submitted by:
John A. Daugherty, Accessible Services Coordinator
6-8. CONSIDERATION OF AGREEMENT WITH THE SANTA CRUZ SEASIDE COMPANY FOR THE PROVISION OF LATE-NIGHT SERVICE
Submitted by: Ciro Aguirre, Operations Manager
6-9. NOTIFICATION OF HIRING FLEET MECHANICS
Submitted by: Robyn Slater, Human Resources Manager
6-10. CONSIDERATION OF DECLARING TWO (2) NEW FLYER BUSES AND ONE (1) LOT OF SURPLUS PARTS FOR THE ABOVE LISTED VEHICLES AS EXCESS FOR PURPOSES OF DISPOSAL OR AUCTION
Submitted by: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager
6-11. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO SIGN A CONTRACT EXTENSION WITH BATTERIES USA, INC. FOR FURNISHING HEAVY DUTY COACH AND AUTOMOTIVE BATTERIES THROUGH MAY 28, 2012 FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$7,000
Submitted by: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent

6-12. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO SIGN A CONTRACT RENEWAL WITH MASTER CAR WASH FOR PARACRUZ VEHICLE WASHING SERVICES Submitted by: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent

6-13. CONSIDERATION OF AWARD OF CONTRACT WITH TOYOTA MATERIAL HANDLING FOR PURCHASE OF AN ELECTRIC FORKLIFT FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$33,662.13
Submitted by: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent
6-14. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO SIGN A CONTRACT EXTENSION WITH ANDREWS INTERNATIONAL, INC. FOR SECURITY GUARD SERVICES IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$100,000
Submitted by: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent
6-15. MONTHLY BUDGET STATUS REPORTS YEAR TO DATE AS OF NOVEMBER 30, 2011 Submitted by: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager

## REGULAR AGENDA

7.0 PUBLIC HEARING ON THE PROPOSED SANTA CRUZ METRO DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) PROGRAM UPDATE AND CONSIDERATION OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UPDATED PROGRAM - PUBLIC HEARING AT 9:00 AM
Presented by: Leslie R. White, General Manager
8.0 CONSIDERATION OF A POSTHUMOUS RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION AND REMEMBRANCE FOR THE SERVICES OF JUDY K. SOUZA AS BASE SUPERINTENDENT FOR THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT
Presented by: Leslie R. White, General Manager
9.0 CONSIDERATION OF A RESOLUTION DEDICATING THE FUTURE METRO OPERATIONS FACILITY IN HONOR OF JUDY K. SOUZA
Presented by: Leslie R. White, General Manager
10.0 RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR THE SERVICES OF DONALD (NORM) HAGEN AS A MEMBER OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Presented by: Leslie R. White, General Manager
11.0 CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTIONS OF APPRECIATION FOR THE SERVICES OF ASSEMBLYMAN LUIS ALEJO AND ASSEMBLYMAN BILL MONNING ON BEHALF OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT
Presented by: Leslie R. White, General Manager
12.0 CONSIDERATION OF CONTINUING SPONSORSHIP OF LEADERSHIP SANTA CRUZ COUNTY IN ORDER TO PROVIDE EDUCATION ON TRANSPORTATION ISSUES, SERVICES, AND FACILITIES AND TO PROVIDE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR METRO STAFF TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROGRAM
Presented by: Leslie R. White, General Manager
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### 13.0 CONSIDERATION OF AWARD OF CONTRACT WITH UNITED INDUSTRIES GROUP, INC. FOR PURCHASE OF A 15,000 GALLON VERTICAL LNG STORAGE TANK FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$311,731.00 <br> Presented by: Frank Cheng, Project Manager and IT Manager

14.0 CONSIDERATION OF CONTRACT RENEWAL WITH NELLA OIL COMPANY, LLC DBA OLYMPIAN FOR OFF-SITE REFUELING OF PARACRUZ VEHICLE FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$250,000
Presented by: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent
15.0 CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING:
1.) THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH RNL DESIGN, INC. FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $\$ 800,000$ AND FOR THE PERIOD OF TIME OF MARCH 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2015.
2.) THE GENERAL MANAGER TO ISSUE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES.
3.) ESTABLISHMENT OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE SPECIALIST POSITION TO ASSIST THE PROJECT MANAGER IN RECORD KEEPING AND CONTRACTOR MONITORING.
4.) THE GENERAL MANAGER TO ISSUE AN INVITATION FOR BIDS (IFB) FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE OPERATIONS BUILDING, PARKING STRUCTURE,BUS PARKING FACILITY AND DEMOLITION OF EXISTING OPERATIONS BUILDING.
Presented by: Leslie R. White, General Manager
16.0 PRESENTATION BY JOVENES SANOS ON HEALTHY VENDING FOOD CHOICES FOR WATSONVILLE TRANSIT CENTER TENANTS
Presented by: Daniel Dodge, Vice Chair
17.0 ORAL ANNOUNCEMENT: THE NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED BOARD MEETING WILL BE HELD FRIDAY, MARCH 9, 2012 AT 8:30 A.M. AT THE WATSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL OFFICES LOCATED AT 275 MAIN STREET, IN WATSONVILLE
Presented by: Lynn Robinson, Board Chair
18.0 REVIEW OF ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED IN CLOSED SESSION: District Counsel
19.0 ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING CLOSED SESSION

SECTION II: CLOSED SESSION

1. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR
(Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6)
a. Agency Negotiator: Les White, General Manager
2. Employee Organization: United Transportation Union (UTU), Local 23 Fixed Route
3. Employee Organization: United Transportation Union
(UTU), Local 23 Paracruz
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3. Employee Organization: Service Employees International Union (SEIU), Local 521

## SECTION III: RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION

### 20.0 REPORT OF CLOSED SESSION

### 21.0 ADJOURNMENT

ADJOURN TO THE NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED BOARD MEETING ON FRIDAY, MARCH 9, 2012 AT 8:30 A.M. AT THE WATSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL OFFICES LOCATED AT 275 MAIN STREET, WATSONVILLE.

Pursuant to Section 54954.2(a)(1) of the Government Code of the State of California, this agenda was posted at least 72 hours in advance of the scheduled meeting at a public place freely accessible to the public 24 hours a day.

The agenda packet and materials related to an item on this Agenda submitted to the Board of Directors after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection in the Santa Cruz METRO Administrative Office (110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz) during normal business hours. Such documents are also available on the Santa Cruz METRO website at www.scmtd.com subject to staff's ability to post the document before the meeting.


#### Abstract

Lynn Robinson, Chair Board of Directors Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District 110 Vernon St. Santa Cruz, CA 95060 


RE: Support Use of Q-Pod Restraint Device System in Metro Buses
Dear Board of Directors and Chair Robinson:
The Elderly \& Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E\&D TAC) advises the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (RTC), the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Metro), and other service providers on transportation needs for people with disabilities, seniors and persons with limited means.

At their February 14 meeting, Metro staff provided follow up information requested by the E\&D TAC after the demonstration vehicle with the proposed new Q-Pod mobility device restraint system was made available to the committee. Based on the demonstration and the follow up materials provided, and given that the "Santa Cruz Arm" is outdated and interferes with seating for other passengers, the E\&D TAC:

> Supports use of the Q-Pod Restraint Device for wheelchairs, scooters and other mobility devices on fixed route buses. The staff recommendation to provide two restraint devices per bus is also supported. The Q-Pod Restraint Device appears to be the best option to provide access and comfort for all bus passengers.

The E\&D TAC appreciates Metro's initiative in bringing the proposed mobility device restraint system to the committee for their input early in the planning phase and for providing detailed information in response to their inquiries.

A. Jobn Daugherty, Chair
Elderly and Disabled Transpodtation Advisory Committee

> cc: Les White, General Manager
> Ciro Aguirre, Metro Operations Manager
> April Warnock, Metro ParaCruz Manager
> Frank Bauer, Metro Safety and Training Coordinator
DATE 01／18／12 15：20

## －153．99＊＊VOID





| VENDOR NAME |  |
| :---: | :---: |



| -153.99 | 002063 | COSTCO |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $1,471.12$ | 002876 | AA AUTO COLLISION CENTER |
| 249.97 | 002861 | AMERICAN MESSAGING SVCS，LLC |
| $1,080.17$ | 002189 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $5,000.00$ | 001324 |  |
| $1,000.00$ | 001099 | CAPITALEDGE ADVOCACY，LLC |
| $68,731.10$ | 001124 | CLEAN，LLC |

DOC AUTO LLC

| 161.79001329 | DOC AUTO LLC |
| :--- | :--- |
| $4,907.88432$ | EXPRESS EMPLOYMENT PROS | GUIZAR，LISETH

IKON OFFICE SOLUTIONS
KIMBALL MIDWEST
LUNA，SUZANNE
NEW FLYER INDUSTRIES LIMITED NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS／SPRINT
PACIFIC GAS \＆ELECTRIC
 $\begin{array}{ll}360.39 & 002721 \\ 13,579.71 & 009\end{array}$

| 40478 | $11 / 29 / 11$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 40840 | $11 / 07 / 11$ |
| 40841 | $11 / 07 / 11$ |
| 40842 | $11 / 07 / 11$ |
|  |  |
| 40843 | $11 / 07 / 11$ |
| 40844 | $11 / 07 / 11$ |
| 40845 | $11 / 07 / 11$ |

TI／LO／LT 9カ80カ
40847 11／07／11
TT／LO／TT 8t80カ

$\begin{array}{ll}40851 & 11 / 07 / 11 \\ 40852 & 11 / 07 / 11\end{array}$
$4085311 / 07 / 11$
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| PARADISE LANDSCAPE INC PREFERRED BENEFIT | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| RAYNE OF SANTA CRUZ, INC. |  |
| REPUBLIC ELEVATOR COMPANY |  |
| S.C. FUELS | 0 |
| SANTA CRUZ AUTO PARTS, INC. |  |
| SCMTD PETTY CASH - FINANCE |  |
| SPECIALIZED AUTO AND |  |
| SPORTWORKS NORTHWEST, INC. TIRE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, LLC | 7 |
| VISION COMMUNICATIONS |  |
| SANTA CRUZ METRO TRANSIT DIST |  |
| ADVANCED NETWORK SYSTEMS |  |
| BARNEY \& BARNEY LLC |  |
| BATTERIES PLUS |  |
| BEWLEYS CLEANING | 7 |
| BRINKS AWARDS \& SIGNS | 7 |
| BRINKS INCORPORATED |  |
| BROOKS INTERNET SOFTWARE, INC. |  |
| CDW GOVERNMENT, INC. |  |
| CITY OF SANTA CRUZ |  |
| COMMUNITY BRIDGES |  |
| COWELL, RICHARD |  |
| DOCTORS ON DUTY MEDICAL CLINIC |  |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - ¢ - ¢ |  | ¢ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \sim \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty & \hat{O} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \sim \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |
| Ǹ | N゙ ল | う |
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 PMROF SVCS iVANTAGE

 EPICOR SOFTWARE CORP
EUROTECH, INC. FEDERAL EXPRESS
FIRST ALARM



|  | $$ | N <br> N <br> N <br> © | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \\ & \stackrel{+}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{ }{2}}$ $\stackrel{7}{8}$ $\stackrel{8}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 긏 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { - }}$ | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ ® | - | - | 8 | ® ${ }_{\circ}^{\infty}$ | N | ก | J | N | is |  |
| נָ | ®ㅜㅇ | $\stackrel{8}{-}$ | ̇̇ | io | $\dot{\oplus}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\dot{-}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { N }}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\odot}{*}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\text { J }}$ |  | へัへ్- |  |  | - |  |  |  |


| 40880 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 40881 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40882 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40883 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40884 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40885 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40886 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40887 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40888 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40889 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40890 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40891 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40892 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40893 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |
| 40894 | $11 / 14 / 11$ |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br>  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| 42901 | TVM WIRELESS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 42902 | 9/30-10/28 PACIFIC |
| 42932 | 11/11-6/13 SVC AGMNT |
| 42903 | PVC CARDS |
| 42904 | 8/8-10/10 SVTC |
| 42905 | 11/11-6/12 MAINT |
| 42906 | 11/11-6/12 MAINT |
| 42907 | 11/11-6/12 MAINT |
| 42908 | 11/11-6/12 MAINT |
| 42909 | 11/11-6/12 MAINT |
| 42911 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42912 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42913 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42914 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42915 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42916 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42917 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42918 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42919 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42920 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42921 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42922 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42923 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42924 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42925 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42926 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42927 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42928 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42929 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42930 | 4246044555645971 |
| 42910 | FRT OUT/FLT |
| 42933 | 8/20-8/31 WC FUND |
| 42934 | 9/19-9/30 WC FUND |
| 42935 | MEDIATION |
| 42936 | 10/14-10/28 BOD MTG |
| 42947 | EMPLOY EXAMS/HR |
| 42966 | 9/26-10/30 SECURITY |
| 43146 | DMV FEE/OPS |
| 43021 | REPEATERS |
| 43022 | OPS PHONE |
| 43023 | REPEATER/OPS |
| 43128 | DEC 11 RET SUPP |
| 43024 | REV VEH PARTS/FL |
| 42937 | 10/14-10/28 BOD MTG |
| 42938 | 10/14-10/28 BOD MTG |
| 42967 | DEC 11 MEDICAL | TVM WIRELESS

9/30-10/28 PACIFIC
11/11-6/13 SVC AGMNT
PVC CARDS SVTC
8/8-10/10 SVTC
11/11-6/12 MAINT
11/11-6/12 MAINT
11/11-6/12 MAINT
11/11-6/12 MAINT
11/11-6/12 MANNT
4246044555645971
4246044555645911
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645911
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
4246044555645971
FRT 0UT/FLT
$8 / 20-8 / 31$
$9 / 19-9 / 30$
WE

10/14-10/28 BOD MTG
EMP 9/26-10/30 SECURITY

RMV FEEEOPS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { REPEATERROPS } \\
& \text { DEC } 11 \text { RET SUPP }
\end{aligned}
$$

№



40895 11/14/11

U.S. BANK $\begin{array}{ll}40896 & 11 / 14 / 11 \\ 40897 & 11 / 14 / 11\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{ll}40899 \\ 40900 & 11 / 14 / 11 / 11\end{array}$

40901 11/14/11 $\quad 8,407.33057$
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হ ${ }^{\infty} \operatorname{N}_{N}{ }_{N}^{\infty}{ }_{N}^{\infty} N_{N}^{\infty} N_{N}^{\infty}$



$\qquad$

CITY OF WATSONVILLE UTILITIES COMMUNITY BRIDGES
COMMUNITY TELEVISION OF
COSTCO

$\odot$
 DAVILA，ANA MARIA
DOC AUTO LLC

$\begin{array}{rl}33.78 & \text { M039 } \\ 1,432.63 & 001329\end{array}$
DOCTORS ON DUTY MEDICAL CLINIC
N



$$
\odot
$$

DATE 01/18/12 15:20



$$
\odot \wedge \quad \odot
$$






40959 11/21/11

40962 11/21/11
40963 11/21/11

40969 11/21/11
40970 11/21/11

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS/SPRINT } \\
& \text { NORTH BAY FORD LINC-MERCURY } \\
& \text { NORTHSTAR, INC. } \\
& \text { O'MARA, KATHLEEN } \\
& \text { OLANDER, JOY } \\
& \text { PACIFIC GAS \& ELECTRIC } \\
& \text { PALACE ART \& OFFICE SUPPLY }
\end{aligned}
$$

6-1.7


6-1.8




6-1.9

41012 11/28/11 41013 11/28/11

$$
100.00880
$$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ఱ. } \\ & \stackrel{+}{+} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $$ |  | N N N- - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | $0 \bullet$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Di } \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \dot{\circ} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\odot}{\circ}$ |
|  | N' |  |  |

## 5,600.00 432

## EXPRESS EMPLOYMENT PROS

 KELIY SERVICES, INC. KELLY-MOORE PAINT CO., INC.
KIMBALL MIDWEST
KISMET LEXISNEXIS
LONGNECKER, LLOYD
LUNA, SUZANNE
MASTER STEAM SERVICES
MATTHEW BENDER \& CO., INC.
MISSION UNIFORM
NEW FLYER INDUSTRIES LIMITED
S.C. FUELS
SALINAS VALLEY FORD SALES
SANTA CRUZ AUTO TECH, INC.
SANTA CRUZ AUTO PARTS, INC.
SANTA CRUZ RECORDS MNGMT INC


| 41030 | $11 / 28 / 11$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 41031 | $11 / 28 / 11$ |
| 41032 | $11 / 28 / 11$ |
| 41033 | $11 / 28 / 11$ |
| 41034 | $11 / 28 / 11$ |
|  |  |
| 41035 | $11 / 28 / 11$ |

6-1.10
DATE 01/18/12 15:20
SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT
CHECK JOURNAL DETAIL BY CHECK NUMBER
PAYABLE
$-----\quad-1 R$
TYPER NUM
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## GOVERNMENT TORT CLAIM

## RECOMMENDED ACTION

TO：Board of Directors
FROM：District Counsel

| RE：Claim of：Taghvaei，Sahar | Received： $1 / 23 / 12$ Claim \＃：$\underline{12-0003}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Date of Incident：$\underline{10 / 10 / 11}$ |$\quad$ Occurrence Report No：SC 10－11－04

In regard to the above－referenced Claim，this is to recommend that the Board of Directors take the following action：
（目 1．Reject the claim entirely
$\square$ 2．Deny the application to file a late claim．
$\square$ 3．Grant the application to file a late claim．
$\square$ 4．Reject the claim as untimely filed．
$\square$ 5．Reject the claim as insufficient
$\square 6$ Allow the claim in full．
$\square$ 7．Allow the claim in part，in the amount of $\$$ $\qquad$ and reject the balance．


I，Anthony Tapiz，do hereby attest that the above Claim was duly presented to and the recommendations were approved by the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District＇s Board of Directors at the meeting of February 24， 2012.

By $\qquad$ Date： $\qquad$
Anthony Tapiz
RECORDING SECRETARY

MG／lg
Attachment（s）

## Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District

110 Vernon Street
Santa Cruz，CA 95060

## CLAIM FOR DAMAGES

（Pursuant to Section 910 et Seq．，Govermment Code）
Claim $\# \frac{12-0003}{\text {（To be completed by METRO staff）}}$
Please Print or Type：
The name and post office address of the claimant：
Claimant＇s Legal First Name： $\qquad$
Claimant＇s Legal Last Name： $\qquad$
Address to which notices are to be sent：
Telephone（Home）： $\qquad$
Telephone（Business／Cell）： $\qquad$

Section 111 of the Medicare，Medicaid and SCHIP Extension Act of 2007 （MMSEA），a new federal law that became effective January 1，2009，requires that the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District report specific information about Medicare beneficiaries who have other insurance coverage．This reporting is to assist Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and other insurance plans to properly coordinate payment of benefits among plans so that（your）claims are paid promptly and correctly．We are asking you to answer the following questions so that we may comply with this law．

Are you presently，or have you ever been，enrolled in Medicare Part A or B？Yes $\square$ or No $⿴ 囗 㐅$
IF YES，please provide the following information：
Medicare Claim Number： $\qquad$
Date of Birth：
Social Security Number： $\qquad$
Gender： MD or F 区


Claimant Name: $\qquad$

## CLAIM FOR DAMAGES

The date, place and other circumstances of the occurrence or transaction that gave rise to the claim asserted:
Date of Incident/Accident: $\qquad$
10/10/2011

Time of Incident/Accident: $10: 30$
区 $\mathrm{AM} \square \mathrm{PM}$

Location of Incident/Accident
Street/City:
Highway 17 North

A general description of the indebtedness, obligation, injury, damage or loss incurred so far as it may be known at the at the time of presentation of the claim. Please state the known facts surrounding the loss and use additional paper if needed.

I was sitting on the bus, on a turn a huge duffle bag fell from the above container and hit me hard in the back of the head. I felt my neck jerk with sudden movement and weight of the bag. The other passengers informed the bus driver who pulled over. He asked if I needed an ambulance, which I refused since I had not lost consciousness. We filled out some information cards.
After getting off the bus, I was very dizzy. I went to the doctor who suggested I go to the emergency room if I don't feel better. My condition was worsened in the next few days: I was constantly dizzy, disorientated, and very sleepy. I went to see another doctor, who said it is most likely a concussion, and I need to go to the emergency room.
At the emergency room, they took CT scans of my head to make sure there is no internal bleeding. There was no blood, but I was confirmed to have a concussion. The bills included are what my insurance wont cover from the expenses of the injury, and I've had to pay out of pocket.

Claimant Name $\qquad$

## CLAIM FOR DAMAGES

The name or names of the METRO employee or employees causing the injury, damage, or loss, if known:
$\square$

If the claim totals less than $\$ 10,000$, the amount claimed as of the date of the presentation of the claim: $\$ \quad 1041.98$

If the amount exceeds $\$ 10,000.00$, this claim would be:

Less than $\$ 25,000$
(Limited Civil Case)
More than $\$ 25,000$

Claimant:
Sahar Taghvaei


Date:


Attorney or Representative:

Signature/Print Name

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: $\quad$ February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Erich Friedrich, Jr. Transportation Planner

## SUBJECT: SANTA CRUZ METRO SYSTEM RIDERSHIP REPORT FOR DECEMBER 2011

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

## This report is for informational purposes only. No action is required

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Total ridership for the month of December 2011 was 305,117 , which is a decrease of 27,965 riders or $8.4 \%$ versus December 2010. System Daily Averages for December include:
- 11,689 riders per Weekday, a loss of $6.67 \%$ ( 835 riders)
- 5,967 riders per Saturday, a gain of $4.92 \%$ ( 280 riders)
- 4,533 riders per Sunday, a loss of $18.61 \%$ ( 1,037 riders)
- Highway 17 Express ridership for the month of December 2011 was 22,697, which is a increase of 1,286 riders, or $6.01 \%$, from December 2010. Daily averages include:
- 830 riders per Weekday, a gain of $5.65 \%$ (44 riders)
- 478 riders per Saturday, a gain of $25.02 \%$ ( 96 riders)
- 514 riders per Sunday, a gain of $12.90 \%$ (59 riders)
- UCSC students and staff/faculty generated 83,898 rides in December 2011, a loss of $13.9 \%$. Revenue generated from UCSC was $\$ 107,891.03$, a $13.59 \%$ decrease from December 2010.
- Overall, system wide ridership experienced a YTD decrease of $3.06 \%$ in part due to a service reduction that was implemented on September 15, 2011 which resulted in $8.2 \%$ less service than in the prior year.


## III. DISCUSSION

In the twenty-three (23) weekdays, five (5) Saturdays, and four (4) Sundays of December 2011, Santa Cruz METRO's total ridership was 305,117 riders. This was a loss from the previous year, decreasing by 27,965 riders or $8.4 \%$. The month over month loss in ridership was expected considering that transit service available to the public is $8.2 \%$ less than in December 2010. FY12 YTD ridership is under FY11 by $3.06 \%$.

Attachment A shows that during December 2011, Santa Cruz METRO averaged 11,689 riders per Weekday. This was a loss from the previous December of $6.67 \%$ which is most likely due to recently implemented service reductions. Saturdays experienced a gain of $4.92 \%$ and Sundays experienced a loss of $18.61 \%$, as winter holidays altered weekend travel.

Attachment A also shows Highway 17 Express total ridership at 22,697 riders, a new all time record for the month of December. This was a gain from the previous year, increasing by 1,286 riders or simply $6.01 \%$.

FY12 average weekday ridership on the Highway 17 Express was 830 riders per weekday, a 5.65\% increase per weekday in FY11. Simultaneously Highway 17 Express has seen ridership gains of $25.02 \%$ and $12.9 \%$ on Saturdays and Sundays. These variations in ridership could possibly be due to sustained higher gas prices leading to changing commute patterns, and weekend travel demands from UCSC students and holiday travel.

Attachment B shows UCSC ridership decrease over December 2010, mainly due to less transit service available to the university as well as shifting travel demands due to finals week. In December 2011, UCSC generated 83,898 rides between students and staff/faculty. This accounts for $27.5 \%$ of Santa Cruz METRO's total ridership count. On School Term Days, ridership decreased $5.99 \%$, while Weekdays and Weekends also saw losses of $11.07 \%$ and $16.86 \%$ in ridership respectively. Total revenue derived from UCSC in December 2011 was $\$ 107,891.03$, a $13.59 \%$ decrease from December 2010.

Attachment C depicts Weekday, Saturday, and Sunday ridership by route. Many of Santa Cruz METRO's main-lines service are well ridden while overall ridership is experiencing a loss over the previous year. Overall, system wide ridership YTD decreased $3.06 \%$ in part due to a service reduction that was implemented on September 15 , 2011 which resulted in $8.2 \%$ less service than in the prior year.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS.

Revenue derived from passenger fares and passes is reflected in the FY12 Revenue.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

## Attachment A: Monthly Ridership Summary <br> Attachment B: UCSC Ridership Summary <br> Attachment C: Ridership by Route

Date Prepared: February 17, 2012


Monthly Ridership Summary
DECEMBER 01, 2011 - DECEMBER 31, 2011


$$
\begin{array}{lrr} 
& \text { This Year } & \text { Last Year } \\
\text { Bikes } & 11,866 & 11,414 \\
\text { Mobility Dev. } & 1,811 & 1,579
\end{array}
$$

Weekdays
Saturdays
Sundays
Monthly System
Attachment A

|  | Sunday |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This Year | Last Year | Difference | \%Change |
| 4,019 | 5,114 | $-1,096$ | $-21.42 \%$ |
| 514 | 456 | 59 | $12.90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 , 5 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 , 0 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 8 . 6 1 \%}$ |




> Local Fixed Route
> AMTRAK/ Highway 17 Express
> System Total
Totals
6-3.a1
UCSC Ridership Summary


| UCSC Monthly |
| :--- |
| System Totals |


|  | Year to Date Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This Year | Last Year | Difference | \%Change |
| 877,236 | 897,678 | $-20,442$ | $-2.28 \%$ |
| 74,277 | 73,257 | 1,020 | $1.39 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9 5 1 , 5 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 0 , 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 9 , 4 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 0 0 \%}$ |


|  | Weekdays |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This Year | Last Year | Difference | \%Change |
| 2,962 | 3,332 | -369 | $-11.08 \%$ |
| 343 | 386 | -42 | $-10.99 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 , 3 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 1 . 0 7 \%}$ |

UCSC Revenue

| UCSC Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | This Year | Last Year | \$ Difference | \%Change |
| Student Billing | \$95,807.08 | \$107,514.18 | -\$11, 707. 10 | -10.89\% |
| Staff Billing | \$10,407.79 | \$11,665. 81 | -\$1,258. 02 | -10.78\% |
| Night Owl Service | \$0.00 | \$2,866. 25 | -\$2,866. 25 | -100.00\% |
| Route 20D Serivce | \$1,676.16 | \$2,812.88 | -\$1,136.72 | -40.41\% |
| Total | \$107,891.03 | \$124,859.12 | -\$16,968.09 | -13.59\% |
|  |  |  |  | UCSC Service |

Attachment B

| UCSC Ridership Summary |
| :--- |
| DECEMBER 01, 2011 -DECEMBER 31, 2011 |
| Calendar |
| Operating Days |
| This Year |
| School Term Days |
| Weekdays |
| Weekend Days |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| UCSC Monthly |
| System Totals |

,


\[

\]

## UCSC System Daily Averages <br> $$
\begin{aligned} & \text { UCSC System } \\ & \text { Daily Averages } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}
$$

| Weekend Days |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This Year | Last Year | Difference | \%Change |
| 1,167 | 1,389 | -222 | $-15.96 \%$ |
| 74 | 104 | -29 | $-\mathbf{2 8 . 9 3 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 6 . 8 6 \%}$ |

## Ridership by Route

| DECEMBER 01, 2011 - DECEMBER 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route | Corridor | Weekday Ridership | Weekday Average | Saturday Ridership | Saturday Average | Sunday Ridership | Sunday Average | Monthly Riderhsip |
| 10 | UCSC via High St. | 10,008 | 455 | 677 | 135 | 377 | 94 | 11,062 |
| 15 | UCSC via Laurel West | 9,656 | 439 |  |  |  |  | 9,656 |
| 16 | UCSC via Laurel East | 29,176 | 1,326 | 3,357 | 671 | 2,183 | 546 | 34,716 |
| 19 | UCSC via Lower Bay | 14,289 | 650 | 1,924 | 385 | 1,193 | 298 | 17,406 |
| 3 | Mission/ Beach | 2,395 | 109 |  |  |  |  | 2,395 |
| 4 | Harvey West/ Emeline | 4,111 | 187 | 94 | 19 | 65 | 16 | 4,270 |
| 8 | Emeline | 127 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 127 |
| 12A | UCSC East Side District | 381 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 381 |
| 20 | UCSC via West Side | 8,900 | 405 | 1,426 | 285 | 806 | 202 | 11,132 |
| 20D | UCSC via West Side Supp. | 2,463 | 112 |  |  |  |  | 2,463 |
| 30 | Graham Hill/ Scotts Valley | 655 | 30 |  |  |  |  | 655 |
| 33 | Lompico SLV/ Felton Faire | 291 | 13 |  |  |  |  | 291 |
| 34 | South Felton | 47 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| 35/35A | Santa Cruz/ Scotts Valley/ SLV | 26,702 | 1,214 | 3,924 | 785 | 2,190 | 548 | 32,816 |
| 40 | Davenport/ North Coast | 1,022 | 46 | 40 | 8 | 31 | 8 | 1,093 |
| 41 | Bonny Doon | 1,517 | 69 | 67 | 13 | 31 | 8 | 1,615 |
| 42 | Davenport/ Bonny Doon | 174 | 8 | 67 | 13 | 48 | 12 | 289 |
| 54 | Capitola/ Aptos/ La Selva Beach | 143 | 7 | 65 | 13 | 40 | 10 | 248 |
| 55 | Rio Del Mar | 2,735 | 124 |  |  |  |  | 2,735 |
| 56 | La Selva Beach | 347 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 347 |
| 66 | Live Oak via 17th | 11,302 | 514 | 2,307 | 461 | 1,185 | 296 | 14,794 |
| 68 | Like Oak via Broadway/ Portola | 7,354 | 334 | 567 | 113 | 302 | 76 | 8,223 |
| 69A | Cap. Road/ Cabrillo/ Watsonville | 18,173 | 826 | 1,518 | 304 | 786 | 197 | 20,477 |
| 69W | Capitola Road/ Watsonville | 20,998 | 954 | 2,524 | 505 | 1,477 | 369 | 24,999 |
| 71 | Santa Cruz to Watsonville | 52,017 | 2,364 | 7,885 | 1,577 | 4,780 | 1,195 | 64,682 |
| 72 | Corralitos | 2,062 | 94 |  |  |  |  | 2,062 |
| 74 | Ohlone Parkway/ Rolling Hills | 1,051 | 48 |  |  |  |  | 1,051 |
| 75 | Green Valley Road | 5,459 | 248 | 1,004 | 201 | 581 | 145 | 7,044 |
| 79 | East Lake | 2,038 | 93 |  |  |  |  | 2,038 |
| 91x | Santa Cruz/ Watsonville Express | 3,306 | 150 |  |  |  |  | 3,306 |
| Hwy 17 | AMTRAK/ Hwy 17 Express | 18,249 | 830 | 2,391 | 478 | 2,057 | 514 | 22,697 |
|  | Monthly Total | 257,148 | 11,689 | 29,837 | 5,967 | 18,132 | 4,533 | 305,117 |
|  | Previous Year | 288,053 | 12,524 | 22,750 | 5,688 | 22,279 | 5,570 | 333,082 |
|  | \%Change | -10.73\% | -6.67\% | 31.15\% | 4.92\% | -18.61\% | -18.61\% | -8.40\% |

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors

FROM: April Warnock, Paratransit Superintendent
SUBJECT: METRO PARACRUZ OPERATIONS STATUS REPORT-DECEMBER 2011

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

## This report is for information only - no action requested

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- METRO ParaCruz is the federally mandated ADA complementary paratransit program of the Transit District, providing shared ride, door-to-door demand-response transportation to customers certified as having disabilities that prevent them from independently using the fixed route bus.
- METRO assumed direct operation of paratransit services November 1, 2004. This service had been delivered under contract since 1992.
- Discussion of ParaCruz Operations Status Report.
- Attachment A: On-time Performance Chart displays the percentage of pick-ups within the "ready window" and a breakdown in 5-minute increments for pick-ups beyond the "ready window". The monthly Customer Service Reports summary is included.
- Attachment B: Report of ParaCruz’ operating statistics. Performance Averages and Performance Goals are reflected in the Comparative Operating Statistics Table in order to establish and compare actual performance measures, as performance is a critical indicator as to ParaCruz' efficiency.
- Attachments C and D: ParaCruz Performance Charts displaying trends in rider-ship and mileage spanning a period of three years.
- Attachment E: Current calendar year's statistical information on the number of ParaCruz in-person eligibility assessments, including a comparison to past years, since implementation in August of 2002.

Board of Directors
Board Meeting February 24, 2012
Page 2

## III. DISCUSSION

From November 2011 to December 2011, ParaCruz rides decreased by 355 rides. The decrease in rides does trend with the previous two years. The number of rides performed in December 2011 was 176 less than the number of rides performed in December 2010.

Call Center statistics are available only on a monthly basis at this time, reflecting that we experienced problems with the phone system attributed to wiring issues that have been identified. Corrective measures have been taken, but the disruption to the system makes cumulative data unavailable at this time.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

NONE

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: ParaCruz On-time Performance Chart
Attachment B: Comparative Operating Statistics Table
Attachment C: Number of Rides Comparison Chart and Shared vs. Total Rides Chart
Attachment D: Mileage Comparison Chart and Year to Date Mileage Chart
Attachment E: Eligibility Chart

## ATTACHMENT A

Board of Directors
Board Meeting February 24, 2011

| ParaCruz On-time Performance Report |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec 2010 | Dec 2011 |
| Total pick ups | $\mathbf{7 4 0 8}$ | 7232 |
| Percent in "ready window" | $\mathbf{9 5 . 9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 9 3 \%}$ |
| 1 to 5 minutes late | $1.97 \%$ | $1.81 \%$ |
| 6 to 10 minutes late | $.97 \%$ | $1.55 \%$ |
| 11 to 15 minutes late | $.59 \%$ | $.76 \%$ |
| 16 to 20 minutes late | $.23 \%$ | $.37 \%$ |
| 21 to 25 minutes late | $.16 \%$ | $.22 \%$ |
| 26 to 30 minutes late | $.04 \%$ | $.21 \%$ |
| 31 to 35 minutes late | $.05 \%$ | $.08 \%$ |
| 36 to 40 minutes late | $.05 \%$ | $.03 \%$ |
| 41 or more minutes late <br> (excessively late/missed trips) | $.01 \%$ |  |
| Total beyond "ready window" | $\mathbf{4 . 0 9 \%}$ | $.04 \%$ |

During the month of December 2011, ParaCruz received four (4) Customer Service Reports. Two (2) of the reports were not valid complaints, one (1) of the reports was not verifiable, and one (1) of the reports was a compliment.

## ATTACHMENT B
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Comparative Operating Statistics This Fiscal Year, Last Fiscal Year through December 2011.

|  | Dec 10 | Dec 11 | Fiscal 10-11 | Fiscal 11-12 | Performance <br> Averages | Performance <br> Goals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requested | 8247 | 8098 | 50,239 | 50595 | 8534 |  |
| Performed | 7408 | 7232 | 47,330 | 47,425 | 7943 |  |
| Cancels | $20.73 \%$ | $20.65 \%$ | $18.05 \%$ | $17.86 \%$ | $18.26 \%$ |  |
| No Shows | $1.84 \%$ | $3.64 \%$ | $2.01 \%$ | $3.14 \%$ | $2.84 \%$ | Less than 3\% |
| Total miles | 50,210 | 49,061 | 317,472 | 321,940 | 53,551 |  |
| Av trip miles | 4.97 | 5.16 | 4.98 | 4.65 | 4.91 |  |
| Within ready <br> window | $95.91 \%$ | $94.93 \%$ | $96.19 \%$ | $95.09 \%$ | $94.95 \%$ | $92.00 \%$ or better |$|$| N |
| :---: |

## ATTACHMENT C

## TOTAL RIDES vs. SHARED RIDES

| 10000 <br> 9000 <br> 8000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

NUMBER OF RIDES COMPARISON CHART


## ATTACHMENT D

## MILEAGE COMPARISON



YEAR TO DATE MILEAGE COMPARISON CHART


## ATTACHMENT E

| MONTHLY ASSESSMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UNRESTRICTED | RESTRICTED | RESTRICTED | TEMPORARY | DENIED | TOTAL |
|  |  | CONDITIONAL | TRIP BY TRIP |  |  |  |
| DECEMBER 2010 | 32 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 44 |
| JANUARY 2011 | 43 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 54 |
| FEBRUARY 2011 | 41 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 61 |
| MARCH 2011 | 43 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 58 |
| APRIL 2011 | 44 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 51 |
| MAY 2011 | 49 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 56 |
| JUNE 2011 | 48 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| JULY 2011 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 55 |
| AUGUST 2011 | 66 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 70 |
| SEPTEMBER 2011 | 48 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 57 |
| OCTOBER 2011 | 59 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| NOVEMBER 2011 | 64 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 74 |
| DECEMBER 2011 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 53 |


| NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE RIDERS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | ACTIVE |
| 2006 | 5315 |
| 2007 | 4820 |
| 2008 | 4895 |
| 2009 | 5291 |
| 2010 | 3314 |

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Tove Beatty, Grants/Legislative Analyst
Thomas Hiltner, Grants/Legislative Analyst
SUBJECT: STATUS REPORT OF ACTIVE GRANTS AND SUBMITTED GRANT PROPOSALS FOR FEBRUARY 2011

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

This report is for informational purposes only. Active grants and grant proposals are current as of February 14, 2012. No action is required.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Santa Cruz METRO relies upon grant funding from other agencies for more than $25 \%$ of its FY12 operating revenue and nearly $80 \%$ of its FY12 capital funding.
- A list of Santa Cruz METRO's active grants (Attachment A) and a list of grant proposals for new funds (Attachment B) are provided monthly in order to apprise the Board of the status of grants funding.
- Santa Cruz METRO has active grant awards totaling \$55,482,923.
- Items in bold on Attachments A and B depict changes from last month's report.
- Santa Cruz METRO staff is developing new applications totaling \$5,327,322 for operating and capital projects.


## III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO relies upon grants from a number of other entities throughout the year for more than $25 \%$ of its FY12 operating revenue and over $80 \%$ of its FY12 capital funding. Programs such as the Transportation Development Act (TDA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) urbanized area program annually allocate funds by formula while others such as the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District's AB2766 Motor Vehicle Emissions Reduction Program and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) discretionary planning grants are competitively awarded based on merit. Santa Cruz METRO relies on both formula and discretionary grant revenue to support its operating and capital budgets.

This staff report is to apprise the Board of Directors of active grants funding current projects and proposed grants for new projects and ongoing operating costs. Attachment A lists all of Santa Cruz METRO's active grants with the award amount, the remaining balance and the status of the
projects funded by the grant. Attachment B lists Santa Cruz METRO's open grant applications with a brief description, source and status of proposed funds. Items in bold on Attachments A and $B$ depict changes from last month's report.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Active grant awards for operating and capital projects total $\$ 55,482,923$ with an unspent balance of $\$ 29,452,930$. The total amount of active grant awards increased substantially from last month due to payment in February of $\$ 11,010,047$ in FY11 PTMISEA funds. The unspent balance of grant funds increased by approximately $\$ 5.3$ million, reflecting the addition of new, unspent PTMISEA funds less the amounts deducted for grants invoiced February, primarily the $\$ 4.9$ million drawn for FY11 FTA operating assistance.

Current grant applications request $\$ 5,327,322$ in new funds. Santa Cruz METRO staff is preparing new applications for $\$ 4,000,000$ in FTA Section 5309 State of Good Repair funds to purchase Automatic Vehicle Locator (AVL) and Automatic Passenger Counting (APC) systems, and for $\$ 290,000$ in Caltrans planning funds to update Santa Cruz METRO's Short Range Transit Plan. As a result of $\$ 11$ million in PTMISEA funds moving from Grant Applications into Active Grants this month, the amount requested in new grant applications this month dropped, even though staff is developing new applications totaling more than $\$ 4$ million for new projects.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Santa Cruz METRO Active Grants Status Report as of February 14, 2012
Attachment B: Santa Cruz METRO Grant Applications as of February 14, 2012

Attachment A
Santa Cruz METRO
Active Grants as of February 14, 2012

| \# | Grant | Description |  | \$ Grant Awarded |  | \$ Grant <br> Balance | Funding Source | Grant Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Smartcard Farebox; ParaCruz Vans; IT Upgrades; Operating <br> Assistance | Upgrade dispatch, scheduling and customer information software; purchase 27 replacement paratransit vans; purchase new fareboxes with magnetic card readers; operating assistance. | \$ | 4,909,939 | \$ | 229,644 | Federal Transit Administration (FTA) 5307 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds | Project funding from ARRA through FTA urbanized area formula program. Santa Cruz METRO has received and installed fareboxes and ticket vending machines; has accepted paratransit vans and expects to complete the Giro/Hastus roll-out by early 2012. Grant to be closed by $3 / 31 / 121$. |
| 2 | Bus Stop Improvements | Improve bus stops in Santa Cruz METRO service area | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | 337,332 | Caltrans from State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) | Construction projects started 5/27/11. This project is on schedule. Progress invoice to be submitted by $1 / 31 / 12$. |
| 3 | CT Transit Planning <br> -Watsonville | 18-month transit planning study of Watsonville service as subrecipient of MPO (AMBAG). | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 31,876 | Caltrans (FTA 5304) | Staff reviewed administrative draft report on 2/9/12 with two board members for input to the final draft . This project is on task and on scheduled for delivery by $3 / 31 / 12$ and presentation to the BOD, AMBAG and MST. |
| 4 | County of Santa Cruz Prop 84 Challenge Grant | Discretionary grant proposals for planning/zoning of unicorporated areas (Live Oak, Soquel Dr. corridor) w/ County of Santa Cruz; and sustainable growth communities grant w/ AMBAG. All need METRO as a partner. | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 10,000 | Funding from Proposition 84 Planning Grants from the State of California Strategic Growth Council. | County received \$500K for Prop. 84 Sustainable Communities Planning Grant Program for a project entitled "The Santa Cruz County Sustainable Community and Transit Corridors Plan" on December 6, 2010. Notification of award 6/3/11. Discussion of an RFP for a consultant will begin in November and the selection process complete by December 2012. |

## Attachment A

Santa Cruz METRO
Active Grants as of February 14, 2012

| \# | Grant | Description |  | \$ Grant Awarded |  | \$ Grant Balance | Funding Source | Grant Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AMBAG <br> Sustainable <br> Communities <br> Planning Grant | Discretionary grant sub-award. | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 10,000 | AMBAG sub-award. | Participating in development of Sustainable Communities Strategies, awaiting letter of award from AMBAG at $10 / 14 / 11$, expect MOU in early 2012 per AMBAG. |
|  | SCCRTC Caltrans <br> Small Urban Transit <br> Planning Grant | Discretionary grant. | \$ | 3,742 | \$ | 3,742 | State of California | MOU ws approved on 12/16/11 by the BOD. The RFP for the consultant is being prepared and reviewed. Consultant to be selected in February 2012. Invoiced 2/13/12. |
|  | FFY10 5309 <br> Bus/Facilities SGR Program | Purchase 11 new CNG replacement buses from FTA discretionary grant program. | \$ | 4,830,600 | \$ | 4,830,600 | FTA 5309 Bus \& Bus Facilities/State of Good Repair Program | 11 New Flyer CNG Buses entered production 11/30/11. Grant Balance as of $2 / 3 / 12$. Buses are being delivered weeks of $2 / 6$ and $2 / 13$. |
|  | FFY11 5309 <br> Bus/Facilities SGR <br> Program | Discretionary, competitive grant program. | \$ | 2,814,538 | \$ | 2,814,538 | FTA Section 5309 | New grant to finance capital projects: $\sim 42$ mobile data terminals for ParaCruz and approximately 4-5 new CNG buses. Programmed into MTIP on January 2012. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MBUAPCD } \\ & \text { AB2766 FY12 } \\ & \text { Grant } \end{aligned}$ | Discretionary Grant | \$ | 160,000 | \$ | 160,000 | MBUAPCD (Air District) | Conversion of non-revenue vehicles (staff/supervisor vehicles) to alternative fuel path. Award notification in August 2011 for $\$ 160 \mathrm{~K}$. |
| 10 | Proposition 1B - <br> State and Local <br> Partnership Program | Proposition 1B Formula Funds | \$ | 427,400 | \$ | 427,400 | CTC/Caltrans | Match for FTA State of Good Repair Grant \#1 |
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| \# | Grant | Description |  | \$ Grant Awarded |  | \$ Grant Balance | Funding Source | Grant Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | FY09 <br> Comprehensive <br>  <br> Surveillance (LMR) | Continue LMR upgrade. | \$ | 440,505 | \$ | 26,374 | FY09 CTSGP funds from Cal EMA | Day Wireless work on $\mathbf{\$ 5 3 0 , 0 0 0}$ contract halted pending FCC license approval. Two of four license requests approved locally. Extension approved through 9/30/12. \$ Grant Balance as of $1 / 18 / 12$. |
| 12 | FY10 <br> Comprehensive <br>  <br> Surveillance: | Continue video surveillance, LMR upgrade and install emergency generator. | \$ | 440,505 | \$ | 423,093 | FY10 CTSGP funds from Cal EMA | Generator relocation Complete. \$ Grant Balance as of $\mathbf{1 / 1 8 / 1 2}$. Expires 3/31/13. |
| 13 | FY11 FTA Operating Assistance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Operating Assistance } 7 / 1 / 10- \\ & 6 / 30 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 4,727,487 | \$ |  | FTA FY11 5307 <br> urbanized area formula funds + STIC | METRO drew payment from FTA on 1/23/12. Grant to close $3 / 31 / 12$. $\$$ Grant balance as of $\mathbf{2 / 2 / 1 2}$. |
| 14 | FY11 Rural Operating Assistance | Operating assistance for public transit service in rural areas of Santa Cruz County. | \$ | 156,312 | \$ | 156,312 | Caltrans (FTA 5311) | Grant contract executed 7/27/11. \$ Grant balance as of 2/14/12. |
| 15 | FY12 TDA <br> Operating <br> Assistance | FY12Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenue for public transit operations. | \$ | 5,244,963 | \$ | 2,622,482 | SCCRTC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RTC paid the second quarter's } \\ & \text { amount on } 1 / 18 / 12 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | FY12 STA Funds | FY12 State Transit Assistance (STA) operating revenue for public transit. | \$ | 2,851,031 | \$ | 1,484,214 | SCCRTC | The RTC pays STA to METRO quarterly. The second quarter's STA payment of $\$ 800,058$ on $2 / 3 / 11$ was $\$ 58,699$ below the anticipated amount. Payments will vary henceforth depending on tax revenue from diesel fuel sales. \$ Grant Balance as of $\mathbf{2 / 3 / 1 2}$. |
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| \# | Grant | Description |  | \$ Grant Awarded |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \$ Grant } \\ & \text { Balance } \end{aligned}$ | Funding Source | Grant Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | FY09 Operating \& MetroBase | Urban operating assistance and MetroBase construction funding. | \$ | 4,753,504 | \$ | 1,108,062 | FTA 5307 urbanized area formula funds and Small Transit Intensive Cities (STIC) funds, both now for operating assistance. | FY09 transit operations are complete. Remaining funds for the Operations Building construction. No expiration. \$ Grant Balance 2/3/12. |
| 18 | FY11 AB2766 | MetroBase construction of second L/CNG storage tank. | \$ | 200,000 | \$ | 200,000 | AB2766 Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (Air District) AB 2766 Motor Vehicle Emissions Reduction Program | METRO opened bids 1/31/12 for second solicitation for Tank for anticipated BOD contract authorization for $\mathbf{2 / 2 4 / 1 2} \mathbf{~ m t g}$. Grant expires 2/11/13 . \$ Grant Balance as of $2 / 3 / 12$. |
| 19 | FY08,09,10,11 <br> PTMISEA funds | MetroBase development. | \$ | 20,558,730 | \$ | 13,678,141 | FY08, FY09, FY10, FY11 Prop. 1B Public Transportation Modernization and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) through Caltrans | FY11 \$11,010,047 payment received 2/10/12. 2nd round LNG Tank bids opened $1 / 31 / 12$ to be considered at 2/24/12 BOD mt. Caltrans Letter of No Prejudice against future funds for the Operations Building expected shortly. Expires 6/30/15. \$ Grant Balance as of $\mathbf{2 / 1 4 / 1 2}$. |
| 20 | Pacific Station Land | Purchase Greyhound property for Pacific Station (Metro Center) renovation | \$ | 1,457,667 | \$ | 13,120 | FY05 FTA 5309 Bus and Bus Facilities program legislative earmark. | AAA Fencing installation awaits City of Santa Cruz design approval permit. Completion anticipated by 6/30/12. \$ Grant balance as of 2/3/12. |
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## Attachment B

Santa Cruz METRO
Grant Applications as of February 14, 2012

| \# | Application Date | Grant | Description |  | \$ Grant | Funding Source | Status of Award |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4/2/2012 | Caltrans | Caltrans Planning Grants | \$ | 290,000 | Planning grants: just announced. | Requires 11.53\% match on most grants. |
| 2 | 3/22/2012 | FTA FY12 Section 5309 State of Good Repair Program | FTA | \$ | 4,000,000 | FTA | State of Good Repair FY12 proposal for Automatic Vehicle Locating Software/Hardware system for fixedroute buses. |
| 3 | 12/15/2011 | FY12 Rural Operating Assistance | Operating assistance for public transit service in rural areas of Santa Cruz County. | \$ | 156,312 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Caltrans (FTA } \\ & 5311) \end{aligned}$ | Application submitted. Caltrans DOT appproval for latest Transportation Improvement Program amendment prior to awarding METRO's grant. |
| 4 | 3/15/2011 | FY12 Transit Security Projects | Video Surveillance and Lighting at remaining METRO Facilities | \$ | 440,505 | FY12 CTSGP funds from Cal EMA | Video Surveillance and Lighting projects to continue with $\$ 440,505$ for FY12. FY12 project approved financial management forms due $3 / 21 / 12$ to complete application. |
| 5 | 3/15/2011 | FY11 Transit Security Project | Santa Cruz METRO video surveillance projects | \$ | 440,505 | FY11 CTSGP funds from Cal EMA | Cal-EMA approved LONP for FY11 project to proceed prior to advance payment anticipated in Spring 2012. Expiration 3/31/14. |
| Total |  |  |  | \$ | 5,327,322 |  |  |

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Tove Beatty, Grants/Legislative Analyst

## SUBJECT: STATUS REPORTS OF PROPOSED FEDERAL AND STATE LEGISLATION AND CURRENT LEGISLATIVE ISSUES

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors accept and file the status reports of proposed Federal and State legislation and current legislative issues through February 15, 2012 and consider resolutions in support of federal legislation, S 1813, Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Century (MAP-21), and in strong opposition to H R 7, both proposed long-term surface transportation acts.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Status reports on Congress's, the State Assembly's and Senate's legislative issues are provided monthly to inform the Board of the status of Federal and State legislation of interest to Santa Cruz METRO.
- This month's Federal and State reports reflect pertinent legislative activities which occurred January $18^{9 \mathrm{~h}}, 2012$ - February 15, 2012.
- The President's FY13 proposed federal budget was released on February 13, 2012, and includes cuts to defense, tax revisions and a drop in transportation funding of $\$ 48.3$ billion, representing the end of stimulus funds. The FY12 budget passed on December $17^{\text {th }}$, 2011. Transit appropriations were slightly higher than FY11.
- The House is considering H.R. 7, their surface transportation act, which has now been split into three parts, with over 300 proposed amendments, some in major conflict with and unpalatable to the transportation community, including the abolishing of the federal gas tax as a dedicated funding mechanism and drilling in the arctic wilderness. The President says he will veto this bill. It will not pass the Senate. Santa Cruz METRO is asking the Board of Directors to oppose H.R. 7.
- S 1813 (Moving Ahead for Progress in the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century or MAP-21) is the Senate's two-year version of a surface transportation act, and has passed out of all four Senate committees, including Senate Finance where four Republicans joined 13 Democrats in support of the bill, which identified $\$ 10.5$ billion in offsets for a projected $\$ 12$ billion deficit. Santa Cruz METRO asks the Board of Directors to support MAP-21. Please see the attached Resolution (Attachment E).
- Congress passed the eighth extension of SAFETEA-LU, through March 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$, 2012, also linked to the gas tax-if it expires, so does the tax. Because the House and

Senate bills are radically different and due to the President's position, transportation advocates are betting on another extension of SAFETEA-LU.

- The extension of the alternative fuel tax credit ( $\$ 500 \mathrm{~K}+/$ year to Santa Cruz METRO) has not yet passed and it expired at 12/31/11. It was included in H.R. 1380, which is buried in committee. It may be grouped with other "tax extenders" into a single bill to be introduced later in the session. Please see Attachments A and B for all federal legislation currently being monitored.
- The State of California's November 2011 bond sale finally bore fruit for Santa Cruz METRO, which received a check for $\$ 11.047$ million on Friday, February $10^{\text {th }}$, as part of the Proposition 1B Public Transportation Improvement Modernization and Transportation Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) allocations made by the legislature last year. These funds are for the new Operations Facility.
- The California Legislature reconvened on January 4, 2012. All bills had to be moved out of the house of origin by January $31^{\text {st }}, 2012$ to still be considered viable. Santa Cruz METRO is monitoring legislation regarding bus axle weight, the Governor's budget and proposal to create a single transportation agency, and the AB-32mandated Cap and Trade Program. Please see Attachments C and D.


## III. DISCUSSION

Status reports on Congress's, the State Assembly's and Senate's legislative issues are provided monthly to inform the Board of the status of Federal and State legislation of interest to Santa Cruz METRO. This month's State and Federal reports reflect pertinent legislative activities which occurred January $18^{9 \mathrm{~h}}, 2012$ - February 15, 2012.

The President's FY13 proposed federal budget was released on February 13, 2012, and includes cuts to defense, tax revisions and a drop in transportation funding of $\$ 48.3$ billion, representing the end of stimulus funds rather than any significant cut to transportation. The President's budget is viewed as more of a starting point for any negotiations that might happen (doubtful) and a platform campaign piece in a re-election year. The FY12 budget passed on December $17^{\text {th }}, 2011$. Transit appropriations were slightly higher than last year.
The House is considering H.R. 7, their surface transportation act, which has now been split into three parts, with over 300 proposed amendments, some in major conflict with and unpalatable to the transportation community, including the abolishing of the federal gas tax as a dedicated funding mechanism, the abolishing of the Davis-Bacon Act (fair wage legislation), and drilling in the arctic wilderness. The President says he will veto this bill. It will not pass the Senate. Santa Cruz METRO requests the Board of Directors oppose H.R. 7. (See Attachment F.)
S 1813 (Moving Ahead for Progress in the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century or MAP-21) is the Senate's two-year version of a surface transportation act, and has passed out of all four Senate committees, including Senate Finance where four Republicans joined 13 Democrats in support of the bill, which identified $\$ 10.5$ billion in offsets for a projected $\$ 12$ billion deficit. Santa Cruz METRO supports MAP-21. Please see the attached Board Resolution (Attachment E) in support of MAP-21 to be forwarded to members of our legislative delegation in Washington DC.
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In 2011, Congress passed the eighth extension of SAFETEA-LU, through March 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}, 2012$, also linked to the dedicated gas tax funding mechanism-if it expires, so does the tax. Because the House and Senate bills are so radically different and due to the President's position, transportation advocates are betting on another extension of SAFETEA-LU as the most acceptable of current options if MAP-21 cannot be passed.

The extension of the alternative fuel tax credit ( $\$ 500 \mathrm{~K}+/$ year to Santa Cruz METRO) has not yet passed and it expired at $12 / 31 / 11$. It was included in H.R. 1380, which is now buried in committee. It might be grouped with other "tax extenders" into a single bill to be introduced later in the session. Please see Attachments A and B for all federal legislation currently being monitored.

The state of California's November 2011 bond sale has finally borne fruit for Santa Cruz METRO, who received a check for $\$ 11.047$ million on Friday, February $10^{\text {th }}$, as part of the Proposition 1B Public Transportation Improvement Modernization and Transportation Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) allocations made by the legislature last year. These funds are for the new Operations Facility and Santa Cruz METRO grants, finance and project management staff (not to mention the occupants of the current Operations Building) have been waiting a very long time for this news.

The California Legislature reconvened on January 4, 2012. All bills had to be moved out of the house of origin by January $31^{\text {st }}, 2012$ to still be considered viable. Santa Cruz METRO is monitoring specific legislation regarding bus axle weight, the Governor's budget "fixes," his proposal to create a single transportation agency out of several seemingly disparate entities, and the AB-32-mandated Cap and Trade Program. Please see Attachments C and D.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

As most potential legislation carries a fiscal impact, staff will report on a monthly basis of newly implemented federal and/or State legislation which financially impacts Santa Cruz METRO.

The extension of SAFETEA-LU's authorization through March 31, 2012 secures transportation funding and the related gas tax until then. However, it may need to be extended again if the vastly different House and Senate surface transportation bills cannot be reconciled, which is likely. The FY12 federal budget was passed on December $17^{\text {th }}, 2011$, and Santa Cruz METRO's anticipated annual operating appropriation (including STIC funding) is estimated at $\$ 4,741,749$.

Santa Cruz METRO received $\$ 11.047$ million in Proposition 1B PTMISEA bond funds (a threeyear allocation) on February $10^{\text {th }}, 2012$, for the Operations Building project. The project will be bid and funds obligated within six months, as per state guidelines for this money.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Federal Legislative Issues and Status Report, February 15, 2012
Attachment B: Federal House and Senate Bills Status Report, February 15, 2012
Attachment C: State of California Legislative Issues and Status Report, February 15, 2012
Attachment D: State of California Assembly and Senate Bills Status Report, February 15, 2012

Attachment E: Resolution of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District Supporting the Enactment of "Moving Ahead for Progress in the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century" (MAP-21/S 1813), A Bill that would Reauthorize the Federal Highway and Transit Program for Two Years, February $24^{\text {th }}, 2012$
Attachment F: Resolution of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District Opposing the Enactment of HR 7, a Bill that would Reauthorize the Federal Highway and Transit Program for Five Years, February $24^{\text {th }}, 2012$

## ATTACHMENT A

# Federal Legislative Issues and Status Report <br> February 15, 2012 

## Current Legislative Issues

## FY13 Federal Budget

Update at 2/15/12: On 2/13/12, the President released his $\$ 3.8$ trillion budget proposal, which includes significant cuts to defense and revisions to tax loopholes and rates on the richest Americans. In addition, an analysis of the budget shows a drop of $\$ 48.3$ billion in transportation funding, mostly representing the end of stimulus spending rather than significant cuts to existing infrastructure programs. The President's budget is seen as a place to begin negotiations and as a piece of reelection campaign material.

## FY12 Federal Budget

Update at 1/18/12: On December 16, 2011, the House passed an omnibus spending bill (H.R. 2055) for the remaining FY12 federal budget. The Senate passed the omnibus on December $17^{\text {th }}$. Both houses were successful in passing three "mini-buses" (spending bills) and then consolidating the rest into H.R. 2055 and passing it before the end of the year for the first time since 2009.

## Long Term Surface Transportation Act (H.R. 7, S 1813 or MAP-21) and S. 1648

Update at 2/15/12: Surface Transportation advocates are having a difficult time with many of the amendments proposed to the House bill, H.R. 7, including the elimination of the dedicated gas tax (in place since Republican President Regan instated it in 1983) as a funding mechanism, to be replaced with a one-time infusion of $\$ 40$ billion into a new account called the Alternative Transportation Account, money that would run out by 2016. In addition, the current Highway Trust Fund is estimated to empty by 2013. Because of several other unpalatable amendments offered by the Tea Party in the House (including the elimination of the Davis-Bacon Act, raising of federal pension contributions, and the previously mentioned "drill, baby, drill" revenue generators, on top of the back-and-forth about the commuter tax benefit), the scuttlebutt is that the bill may not pass the House (some Republicans are even balking), will not make it to conference with the Senate bill (now on the Senate floor for debate, with much less odious financing) and that another SAFETEA-LU Continuing Resolution will be needed until postelection 2012.

Update at 1/18/12: The House bill is a five-year bill and the Senate's is a two-year bill. The Senate will not take up the House bill if Speaker Boehner can get the votes to pass it. The Senate's bill, MAP-21, is basically complete, though waiting for the Finance Committee to find a way out of the $\$ 12$ billion shortfall.

Update at 11/30/11: Speaker Boehner introduced "The American Energy and Infrastructure Jobs Act", or H.R. 7, a five-year surface transportation act. Introduced along with H.R. 3410, the
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Energy Security and Transportation Jobs Act (Stivers, R-OH), proposes to generate billions via royalty payments on expanded drilling leases in California and the Arctic National Wildlife Reserve. The Senate Environment and Public Works Committee released S. 1813 (MAP-21). Transit will receive about $\$ 24$ billion out of the $\$ 109$ billion bill, or roughly current levels. The bill maintains operating flexibility in urban areas, as well as the STIC program. On 9/13/11, the House passed the eighth extension of SAFETEA-LU through March 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}, 2012$.

## The NAT GAS (New Alternative Transportation to Give Americans Solutions) Act (HR1380)

Update at 2/15/12: Still continuing to monitor.
Update at 1/18/12: Along with the commuter tax benefit (given by some companies to employees who use transit and rail), the natural gas (alternative fuel) tax credit has now been rolled into what is called the "extenders package." Whether or not these can all be rolled into a new bill and passed remains to be seen.

Also of note this month is a recent EPA report that, in draft, names the link between hydraulic fracturing ("fracking," a mining practice used in shale fields to extract natural gas deposits up to 8,000 feet deep) and groundwater contamination. Santa Cruz METRO has on file a letter from its natural gas supplier stating that supplies delivered here do not come from fracked sources.

Update at 11/30/11: Natural gas advocates expect this tax to expire, then be reinstated as part of another bill in 2012. The scuttlebutt is that it will be a retroactive credit and cover quarters that have lapsed since the tax credit expired.

## House Resolution 5 (H.R. 5)

Update at 2/15/12: We are still monitoring potential effects of H.R. 5, which will be seen after the FY12 budget "mini-buses" and possibly one large omnibus bill are passed, sweeping up appropriations by the end of the year. Now that this has happened, how funds are appropriated will indicate the potential impact of H.R. 5. So far, transit appropriations as announced for our area are actually a bit more than last year.

Update at 1/18/11: In a secret caucus held on January $4^{\text {th, }}$ House GOP members held an unrecorded vote on a proposed Rules package. Passed in this package was H.R. 5, a separation of the authorization and appropriations processes in regard to infrastructure funding.

## American Infrastructure Investment Fund Act of 2011 (S. 936)

Update at 2/15/12: No change at this time. Read twice. Most likely a non-starter, soon to be removed from this "watch" list.

Update at 5/19/11: Senators Rockefeller (D-WV) and Lautenberg (D-NJ) introduced this act to create a $\$ 5$ billion fund to drive private investment in transportation infrastructure. It is intended to also provide states with greater flexibility for the types of projects they may fund with federal dollars.
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## ATTACHMENT C

State of California<br>Legislative Issues and Status Report<br>February 15, 2012

## FY12 State Budget and Proposition 1B Bond Sale

Update at 2/15/12: Staff is happy to report that a check for $\$ 11,047$ million was received on Friday, February 10,2012 and at this time, after an exceedingly long wait, the invitation for bid on the Operations Building facility portion of the MetroBase project will soon be released, as these funds must be obligated within six months of receipt. An article appeared on the front page of the February $11^{\text {th }}$ Santa Cruz Sentinel. A spring bond sale is also planned for state cash-flow needs. Santa Cruz METRO has also requested a Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) to use the future expected PTMISEA allocation of $\$ 5.8$ million without penalty, if needed, to complete the project.

Update at 1/18/12: On December $9^{\text {th }}, 2011$, Santa Cruz METRO received news that the $\$ 11.047$ million Proposition 1B PTMISEA three-year allocation for the Operations Facility had been approved. The State budget at January $1^{\text {st }}$, 2012 showed a $\$ 9.2$ billion 18 -month deficit. Governor Brown is pushing a package of $\$ 10.3$ billion in cuts and revenue balancing, impacting essential social service programs, but transit is out of the crosshairs. The Governor's budget review is precipitated on the passage of his tax initiative on the November 2012 ballot. If not approved, an additional $\$ 5.4$ billion in cuts will ensue.

Update at 11/30/11: The State held the first "cash flow" bond sale since spring 2010 in midSeptember and one in November to finance Proposition 1B projects.. A spring bond sale is planned to finance new Santa Cruz METRO also received its FY10 Proposition 1B allocation of $\$ 2.49$ million in early November..

## Governor's Proposal to Create a Single Transportation Agency

Update at 2/15/12: Continuing to monitor.
Update at 1/18/12: Governor Brown is proposing a single agency comprised of: Caltrans, the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV), High Speed Rail Authority (HSRA), California Highway Patrol (CHP), California Transportation Commission (CTC) and the Board of Pilot Commissioners (as in pilot boats). The reasoning is to provide additional oversight, particularly to the HSRA and CTC. The California Transit Association (CTA) is monitoring this and, at the time of this report, nothing else was known about this proposal.

## California Air Resources' Board Cap and Trade Program (AB32-mandated)

Update at 2/15/12: A mandated aspect of the passage of 2006's AB32 (the "greenhouse gas bill") both the Cap and Trade Program and the "low carbon fuel standard" (LCFS) program are
early targets of litigation. Currently, a preliminary injunction has been granted against LCFS and many believe that the Cap and Trade Program (which penalizes gross polluters by making them pay additional funds or purchase credits from other, greener users for going over their "cap" of greenhouse gas emissions) is the next target for litigation. Will continue to monitor.

Update at 1/18/12: The Governor's budget incorporates $\$ 1$ billion in expected revenue from the new Cap and Trade regulation under greenhouse gas legislation AB32, which was adopted by the California Air Resources Board in late 2011. Fees will be used to fund development of advanced technology vehicles and infrastructure and low-carbon, efficient public transportation.

## The California Legislature: Bills of Interest

Update at 2/15/12: S.B. 985, the State Internal Borrowing for Cash Flow Purposes, is a new bill introduced to authorize the Director of Finance to designate up to $15 \%$ of the funds in various transportation accounts to highway and road projects for contingency, interim financing of projects that would otherwise be funded out of the General Fund. CTA's membership opposes this bill. CTA is continuing to monitor AB1444, described below. Additionally, the CTA's "Bus Axle Weight Task Force," which is working on the issue of the heavy rear end of CNG buses (which exceed state and federal regulations) and have found an author (Assemblymember Mike Eng) for a bill to amend and/or change the California Vehicle Code in regard to this regulation.

Update at 1/18/12: AB1444 has been added to Attachment D this month. The California Transit Association brought this bill to the membership's attention as it proposes to further streamline CEQA requirements for public rail and transit projects. These requirements usually apply to projects of $\$ 100$ million or more. CTA is monitoring this bill to see that smaller projects might also benefit from this streamlining proposal should it pass.

## Statewide Eliminiation/Liquidation of Redevelopment Agencies (RDAs)

Update at 2/15/12: California RDAs lost a decision at the State Supreme Court level and are now required, as of February 1, 2012, to dissolve. This involves implementation of a complex process of liquidating resources and will, no doubt, raise many questions about what to do about the various gyrations cities and municipalities went through to commit redevelopment cash to projects after the initial news of their dissolution. Now with the court decision, it has been established that all California RDAs are to form committees in charge of liquidation of assets (with some regulation of representative membership). Once assets are liquidated, the money goes to the state and is redistributed to the state's schools. There are many, many lingering questions regarding how this decision is to be implemented and its long-term impact on communities like Santa Cruz. Will continue to monitor.
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# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

Resolution No.<br>On the Motion of Director:<br>$\qquad$ Duly Seconded by Director:<br>$\qquad$


#### Abstract

RESOLUTION OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT SUPPORTING THE ENACTMENT OF "MOVING AHEAD FOR PROGRESS IN THE $21^{\text {ST }}$ CENTURY" (MAP-21)(S 1813), A BILL THAT WOULD REAUTHORIZE THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT PROGRAM FOR TWO YEARS


WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District was formed to provide public transportation to all of the citizens of Santa Cruz County; and

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District relies heavily on funding from the United States of America Department of Transportation for capital and operating investments; and

WHEREAS, in the Highway/Transit authorization bill SAFETEA-LU expired on September 30, 2009; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary for an authorization bill to be enacted in order for federal funds to be available to states and local governments for transportation projects; and

WHEREAS, the United States Senate has developed an authorization bill entitled "Moving Ahead for Progress in the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century" (MAP-21), also known as S 1813, which preserves the program structure, dedicated revenue, and maintains the current funding levels for highway and transit programs for the next two years; and

WHEREAS, the current extension of the expired highway/transit authorization bill expires on March 31, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the loss federal investment would create a severe financial hardship at the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District; and

WHEREAS, maintenance and expansion of public transit service is a key component of implementing the Sustainable Community Strategies required by California SB 375; and

WHEREAS, the passage of the MAP-21 Authorization Bill will provide stability in federal transportation funding for the next two years.

## ATTACHMENT E

Resolution No.
Page 2

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District does hereby encourage the Members of Congress representing the Santa Cruz area and the State of California to support the passage of S 1813, MAP-21, and support the efforts of the American Public Transportation Association to achieve support and enactment of the aforementioned authorization bill.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the Members of the California Congressional Delegation and the American Public Transportation Association and be entered into the official records of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 24th day of February 2012 by the following vote:

## AYES:

NOES:

## ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

## APPROVED

LYNN ROBINSON Board Chair

ATTEST
LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

[^1]
## ATTACHMENT F

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

Resolution No.
On the Motion of Director: $\qquad$ Duly Seconded by Director: $\qquad$
The Following Resolution is Adopted.

## RESOLUTION OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT OPPOSING THE ENACTMENT OF HR 7, A BILL THAT WOULD REAUTHORIZE THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT PROGRAM FOR FIVE YEARS

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District was formed to provide public transportation to all of the citizens of Santa Cruz County; and

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District relies heavily on funding from the United States of America Department of Transportation for capital and operating investments; and

WHEREAS, in the Highway/Transit authorization bill SAFETEA-LU expired on September 30, 2009; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary for an authorization bill to be enacted in order for federal funds to be available to states and local governments for transportation projects; and

WHEREAS, the United States House of Representatives has developed an authorization bill entitled the "American Energy and Infrastructure Jobs Act of 2012," also known as HR 7, which makes major changes to the program structure and threatens the current funding levels for highway and transit programs for the next five years; and

WHEREAS, passage of HR 7 would dissolve the Mass Transit Account in the Highway Trust Fund, along with the dedicated funding that has been in place since 1982, and would impose new regulations on transit agencies, including mandatory privatization of service, and would subject transit funding to the unstable availability of general revenues; and

WHEREAS, the current extension of the expired highway/transit authorization bill expires on March 31, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the loss federal investment would create a severe financial hardship at the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District; and

WHEREAS, maintenance and expansion of public transit service is a key component of implementing Sustainable Community Strategies required by California SB 375; and

## ATTACHMENT F

Resolution No.
Page 2

WHEREAS, the passage of the HR 7 Authorization Bill will create instability and uncertainty in federal transportation funding and service delivery, as well threaten the employment of the transit workforce, for the next five years.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District does hereby encourage the Members of Congress representing the Santa Cruz area and the State of California to oppose the passage of HR 7, and support the efforts of the American Public Transportation Association to oppose enactment of the aforementioned authorization bill.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the Members of the California Congressional Delegation and the American Public Transportation Association and be entered into the official records of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 24th day of February 2012 by the following vote:

## AYES:

NOES:

## ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

## APPROVED

LYNN ROBINSON
Board Chair

ATTEST
LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

MARGARET GALLAGHER<br>District Counsel

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: John Daugherty, METRO Accessible Services Coordinator

## SUBJECT: ACCESSIBLE SERVICES REPORT FOR DECEMBER 2011

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

## This report is informational only. No action required.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- After a demonstration project, the Accessible Services Coordinator (ASC) position became a full time position to organize and provide METRO services to the senior/older adult and disability communities.
- Services include the METRO Mobility Training program and ongoing public outreach promoting METRO's accessibility. The ASC also participates in METRO's staff training and policy review regarding accessibility.
- Two persons have served in the ASC position from 1988 to today. In 2002 the ASC position was moved into the newly created Paratransit Department. On May 27, 2011 the Board approved the staff recommendation to receive monthly reports on the activity of the ASC.


## III. DISCUSSION

The creation of the Accessible Services Coordinator (ASC) position was the result of a successful demonstration project funded through the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission. Two persons have served in the ASC position from 1988 to today. Both hiring panels for the ASC included public agency representatives serving older adults and persons with disabilities.
The first ASC, Dr. Pat Cavataio, served from April 1988 through December 1998. The second ASC, John Daugherty, began serving in December 1998.

Under direction, the Accessible Services Coordinator: 1) Organizes, supervises, coordinates and provides METRO services to the older adult and disability communities; 2) Organizes, directs and coordinates the activities and operation of METRO's Mobility Training function; 3) Promotes and provides Mobility Training and outreach services; 4) Acts as information source to staff, Management, funding sources, clients, community agencies and organizations, and the general public regarding Mobility Training and accessibility; 5) Works with Department Managers to ensure compliance with METRO's accessibility program and policies.

During 2002 the ASC position was moved from Customer Service to the newly created Paratransit Department. Mr. Daugherty was the first employee. His placement was followed by hiring of the first Paratransit Superintendent, Steve Paulson and the current Eligibility Coordinator, Eileen Wagley.

On May 27, 2011 the Board approved the following recommendation: "Staff recommends that this position be reinstated in FY 12 budget with the requirement that this position be evaluated during FY12 to make sure the service items that are being requested by the Community are being carried out by this position. Additionally, staff recommends that this position be required to provide a monthly activity report to the Board of Directors during FY12."

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

None

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Accessible Services Coordinator (ASC) Activity Tracking Report for December 2011

Prepared by: John Daugherty, METRO Accessible Services Coordinator Date Prepared: February 16, 2012

## Attachment A

Accessible Services Coordinator (ASC) Activity Tracking Report for December 2011

## What is Mobility Training?

Mobility Training is customized support to allow access to METRO services. It can include:

- An Assessment: The ASC meets the trainee to assess the trainee's capabilities to use METRO services. They discuss the trainee's experience using public transit and set goals for training sessions.
- Trip Planning: Practice to use bus route schedules, maps, online resources and other tools to plan ahead for trips on METRO fixed route and METRO ParaCruz services. All Mobility Training includes some trip planning.
- Boarding/Disembarking Training: Practice to board, be secured, and then disembark (get off) METRO buses. This training has been requested by persons using walkers, wheelchairs, scooters and service animals. The training session includes work with an operator and out of service bus and lasts three to five hours.
- Route Training: Practice using METRO buses to travel to destinations chosen by trainees. The training session includes practice on handling fares, bus riding rules and emergency situations. One training session can take two to eight hours. One or two sessions to learn one destination is typical. The number of training sessions varies with each trainee.

There was progress with 16 trainees:

- Two persons successfully completed their training. One person completed Boarding/Disembarking training with his scooter. Another person completed route training with her service animal.
- Two persons were new referrals: One person was referred by a school counselor; The ASC left messages to set up an Assessment. The ASC spoke with the other person, referred by bus operators. He was receptive to follow up contact to set up an Assessment.
- Training with three persons progressed: Two persons were assessed for Boarding/Disembarking training. One person's file was updated; the ASC will contact her in early 2012 to check need for more training.


## Attachment A

- Training with nine persons is almost complete: December activity included checking on whether further training is needed and preparation to close their files or complete their referral sheets.

Training Overview for December 2011:

- Amount of time dedicated to training sessions and follow up activity: At least 42 hours
- Tracking of scheduled appointments vs. cancelled:

Three appointments scheduled, no appointments cancelled

Highlights of Other Activity - Outreach/orientation performed in the community:

- December 2 Pedestrian Safety Work Group meeting
- December 13 Seniors Commission meeting
- December 14 Pajaro Valley Unified School District Transition Partnership Program (TPP) Job Club meeting.
- December 15 Commission on Disabilities meeting

Meetings are usually scheduled for two hours. Total ASC time spent includes preparation for the meeting, the meeting itself and follow up activity. ASC activity for each meeting can take four to nine hours.

The total audience for December presentations was at least 35 persons. Information was provided during meetings and follow up phone calls and emails.

Requests from the community and METRO staff:

- There were at least 13 individual contacts in person and/or over the phone. Most contacts regarded preparation for presentations and setting up training and outreach. The ASC also received requests to explain Stokes Straps and provide METRO transit orientation for a person with a recently diagnosed disability.
- On December 12 Human Resources Manager Robyn Slater and the ASC presented the third Customer Service Training for METRO ParaCruz Reservationists and Schedulers.

Note: The ASC was out of the office, on vacation, December 17-January 1.

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Ciro Aguirre, Manager of Operations
SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AGREEMENT WITH THE SANTA CRUZ SEASIDE COMPANY FOR THE PROVISION OF LATE-NIGHT SERVICE

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board authorize the General Manager to enter into an agreement with the Santa
Cruz Seaside Company to subsidize the operation of Late-Night Service on Route 71.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- METRO has operated a late-night trip on Route 71 that has been subsidized by the Seaside Company (Boardwalk) for a number of years during the summer.
- The Boardwalk agrees to pay the costs of the extra service that will commence from the Boardwalk.
- The service has been extremely successful and the Boardwalk is again interested in having METRO provide the service for the summer commencing June 07, 2012.


## III. DISCUSSION

The Seaside Company (Boardwalk) has requested METRO to extend the starting point for the last Route 71 trip to include the Boardwalk for the summer of 2012. Their interest is due to the fact that a large number of their employees are young students living in Watsonville, and the METRO bus routes servicing the Boardwalk terminate service before the end of their employees evening shift. The Seaside Company has assured that METRO would not incur costs by agreeing to fund the cost of the route extension.

The service has been provided in previous years during summer, and again, this year, would be provided through the entire summer bid. The service will operate for 98days, from June 07 to September 12, 2012. The Boardwalk requires the late service for less than that period, but they have agreed to fully underwrite the cost of the service extension to the Beach area for the entire bid.

The total cost for the service is estimated at $\$ 2,000$.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

There is no financial impact on METRO as the Boardwalk is paying for the full cost of the extension for the entire bid.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: January 09, 2012 Letter from Santa Cruz Seaside Company

## Attachment A

January 9, 2012

Giro Aguirre
Manager of Operations
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
370 Encinal Street, Suite 100
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Re: 2012 Request for Late Night Transit Service on Route 71 to Watsonville for the Summer

Dear Giro,
Please take the Santa Cruz Seaside Company request for late night service to Watsonville for the summer of 2012 to the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District Board. The Santa Cruz Seaside Company will sign another Agreement of Transit Service between the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (SCMTD) and the Santa Cruz Seaside Company for the summer bus service to connect our employees to the Metro Center for late night service on route 71 .

We understand that the contract will be set at $\$ 2,000$ for the 2012 summer season. Our employees use the service, as do other beach area business employees. We appreciate the Transit District responding to our company and other beach area employer's needs.

Sincerely,


Kathryn L. Deagen
Director of Human Resources

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Robyn D. Slater, Human Resources Manager

## SUBJECT: NOTIFICATION OF HIRING FLEET MECHANICS

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

This report is for informational purposes only. No action is required

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- June 28, 2011 recruitment began for two mechanics due to previous incumbents leaving Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (SC METRO) employment.
- The Human Resources department recruited for the open positions for approximately three months.
- In the fall of 2011, two additional mechanic positions were budgeted into the fiscal year 2012 budget.
- In September recruitment was expanded to additional schools and recruitment resources.
- By October 201112 applications were received. The qualified applicants took a screening test and those that passed and were interviewed. One applicant was selected and began employment in December 2011.
- In January 2012, additional applicants were screened, tested and interviewed. Three applicants were selected and began employment in February 2012.
- All four positions were filled at the Fleet Mechanic I level.


## III. DISCUSSION

In June 2011 there were two Fleet Mechanic openings. The last recruitment for a Fleet Mechanic was in 2006. Finding qualified mechanics has always been difficult. SC METRO Human Resources staff has discussed this issue with other Transit Districts throughout the Bay area and found similar issues. However, the geographic location of SC METRO increases the difficulty in recruiting.

In the fall of 2011 it was determined that additional mechanics were needed. The fiscal year budget for 2012 was changed, adding funding for two more fleet mechanics. This increased the number of fleet mechanic openings from two to four.

After three months twelve applications had been received and one candidate hired. SC METRO expanded their recruitment efforts to include trade schools in Sacramento and Los Angeles.

In January, new applicants were screened and tested. Interviews occurred the first week of February. Three applicants were selected and began employment in February 2012.

All four candidates were hired at the Fleet Mechanic I level.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Money has been budgeted in the FY 2012 budget to pay for the salary and benefits for all four positions

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: NA

Prepared By: Robyn D. Slater, Human Resources Manager
Date Prepared: $\quad$ February 16, 2012

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF DECLARING TWO (2) NEW FLYER BUSES AND ONE (1) LOT OF SURPLUS PARTS FOR THE ABOVE LISTED VEHICLES AS EXCESS FOR PURPOSES OF DISPOSAL OR AUCTION

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors declare two (2) New Flyer buses and one (1) lot of surplus parts for the above listed vehicles as excess for purposes of disposal or auction and direct staff to use appropriate action for disposal.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- In accordance with Santa Cruz METRO's policy on disposal of fixed assets and inventoriable items, at least once per year the Finance Manager shall recommend to the Board of Directors a list of items to be declared excess with appropriate action for disposal.
- Two (2) New Flyer buses and one (1) lot of surplus parts have exceeded their useful life and are no longer required by Santa Cruz METRO.
- Staff recommends that the Board of Directors declare the above items as excess and direct staff to use appropriate action for disposal.


## III. DISCUSSION

In accordance with Santa Cruz METRO's policy on disposal of fixed assets and inventoriable items, at least once per year the Finance Manager shall recommend to the Board of Directors a list of items to be declared excess with appropriate action for disposal.

Two (2) New Flyer buses and one (1) lot of related surplus parts have exceeded their useful life and are no longer required by Santa Cruz METRO.

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors declare the items on Attachment A as excess and direct staff to use appropriate action for disposal.

The current gross market value of the buses is $\$ 4,000$ each and they are in fair condition. The current gross value of the surplus parts is $\$ 16,622.41$ and they are in new condition, but are no longer needed.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

The current book value on the vehicles is $\$ 0$. The value of the surplus parts is $\$ 16,622.41$. Any revenue generated from the sale of these items will be recorded as income in the current operating budget.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Excess Asset Listing - as of February 24, 2012

Prepared by: Debbie Kinslow, Assistant Finance Manager
Date Prepared: February 15, 2012

## Attachment A

| SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXCESS VEHICLE LISTING AS OF 2/24/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle \# | Manufacturer | LTD Miles | Year | VIN | License \# | Model | Residual Value | Condition |
| 8106 | NEW FLYER | 950477 | 1989 | 2FYD2EC07KU013474 | E114016 | D40 | \$4,000.00 | FAIR |
| 8107 | NEW FLYER | 948983 | 1989 | 2FYD2EC09KU013475 | E114019 | D40 | \$4,000.00 | FAIR |
| ONE (1) LO7 | NEW FLYER | MISC. PARTS |  | SUPPORT INVENTORY |  | D40 | \$16,622.41 | NEW |

DATE: February 24, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO SIGN A CONTRACT EXTENSION WITH BATTERIES USA, INC. FOR FURNISHING HEAVY DUTY COACH AND AUTOMOTIVE BATTERIES THROUGH MAY 28, 2012 FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$7,000

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a three month contract renewal with Batteries USA, Inc. for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries for an amount not to exceed $\$ 7,000$.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- On March 1, 2009, RTCC Contract No. MSE-2009-009 was awarded to Batteries USA, Inc. for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries.
- The contract expires February 28, 2012, however, the RTCC has decided to not bid this contract collectively.
- The $1^{\text {st }}$ amendment to the contract allows for an extension by mutual agreement of both parties.
- Staff is recommending that the board of directors authorize the general manager to execute a three month contract renewal with Batteries USA, Inc., effective February 29, 2012 through May 28, 2012, for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries for an amount not to exceed $\$ 7,000$.
- Santa Cruz METRO will put this out to bid for a new contract during the three month extension period requested.


## III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO entered into a two-year, firm fixed price contract Batteries USA, Inc. for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries, in reference to RTCC Contract No. MSE-2009-009 for an amount not to exceed \$50,000.

The lead agency, for the RTCC contract, San Joaquin Regional Transit, was contacted in the beginning of January to inquire about a new bid and resulting contract. Santa Cruz METRO staff did not receive the promised response and contacted them again on February 6, 2012. At
that time, Santa Cruz METRO staff was informed that San Joaquin Regional Transit (and therefore the RTCC) had decided to not bid the heavy duty batteries collectively. Santa Cruz METRO has a need for a short term contract to cover its needs while going out to bid on a new contract for heavy duty batteries.
The $1^{\text {st }}$ amendment to the contract includes the language "This Contract may be mutually extended by agreement of both parties." While this language would allow a contract extension, the contract should have expired after the three year term.

Staff is recommending that the board of directors authorize the general manager to execute a three month contract extension with Batteries USA, Inc., effective February 29, 2012 through May 28, 2012, for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries in an amount not to exceed $\$ 7,000$ while a new contract is out to bid.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funding for the procurement of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries is contained within the Fleet Maintenance operating budget. The annual estimated budget for coach and automotive batteries is $\$ 25,000$. The estimated need for a three month period is $\$ 7,000$.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: $\quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment to the Contract with Batteries USA, Inc.

## Attachment A

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT SECOND AMENDMENT TO RTCC CONTRACT NO. MSE-2009-009 FOR HEAVY DUTY COACH AND AUTOMOTIVE BATTERIES 

This Second Amendment to Contract No. MSE-2009-009 for heavy duty coach and automotive batteries is made effective March 1, 2012, between the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, a political subdivision of the State of California ("Santa Cruz METRO") and Batteries USA, Inc. ("Contractor").

## I. RECITALS

1.1 Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor entered into a Contract for Heavy Duty Coach and Automotive Batteries ("Contract") on March 1, 2010.
1.2 The Contract allows for the extension upon mutual written consent

Therefore, Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor amend the Contract as follows:
II. TERM
2.1 Article 3.02 is amended to include the following language:

This contract shall continue through May 28, 2012.

## III. REMAINING TERMS AND CONDITIONS

3.1 All other provisions of the Contract that are not affected by this amendment shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

## IV. AUTHORITY

4.1 Each party has full power to enter into and perform this Second Amendment to the Contract and the person signing this Second Amendment on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into it. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this Second Amendment to the Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it.

## Attachment A

Signed on

Santa Cruz METRO
SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR
Batteries USA, Inc.

By
Said Senan
President

Approved as to Form:

Margaret R. Gallagher
District Counsel

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO SIGN A CONTRACT RENEWAL WITH MASTER CAR WASH FOR PARACRUZ VEHICLE WASHING SERVICES

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to enter into a one-year contract extension with Master Car Wash for ParaCruz vehicle washing services.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Santa Cruz METRO has a contract with Master Car Wash for ParaCruz vehicle washing services.
- This contract was established on March 10, 2010 for a one- year period with four optional one-year extensions.
- The first contract extension approved by the Board of Directors will expire on February 28, 2012.
- Master Car Wash has requested a second contract extension for one additional year with no changes to current contract terms and conditions.


## III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO has a contract with Master Car Wash for ParaCruz vehicle washing services that is due to expire on February 28, 2012. Master Car Wash has reviewed the contract and has requested a second contract extension for one additional year with no changes to current contract terms and conditions.

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to sign a one-year contract extension on behalf of Santa Cruz METRO with Master Car Wash.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

This contract has a total not to exceed of $\$ 25,000$. To date $\$ 15,220.66$ has been spent with $\$ 9,779.34$ remaining. No additional funds are required at this time.

Funds to support this contract are included in the ParaCruz FY12 \& FY13 budgets.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Letter Received from Master Car Wash
Attachment B: Second Contract Amendment with Master Car Wash

6-12.2

## Attachment A



February $9^{\text {th }} 2012$
Master Car Wash will extend the contract agreement with MEIRO PARACRUZ for an other year (Feb 28, 2013) with the same terms and conditions for ParaCruz Vehicle Washing Services

$\frac{2 / 9 / 12}{\text { Date }}$

6-12.a1

| Bus Stop Improvement Project/STIP Funds |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Invoice at 12/31/11 |  |  |  |  |
| Completed and Paid Invoices and Contracts to Date |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Vendor | Description | Amount | Check No. | Invoice Date |
| N/A | 10-cent error on last invoice | -\$0.10 | N/A | N/A |
| Apex Solar | Bus Stop Lighting for Project | \$103,660.00 | 41318 | 11/30/2011 |
| Don Chapin Co. | Concrete Pad for bus stop | \$4,075.00 | 41154 | 11/30/2011 |
| City of Santa Cruz | Dump/disposal fees | \$30.80 | 41366 | 12/31/2011 |
| Simme LLC | Bus Stop Drop Seating | \$7,335.00 | 40127 | 7/10/2011 |
| ProBuild | Supplies | \$224.40 | 40389 | 8/10/2011 |
| Print Shop SC | Project Banmer per state contract | \$325.50 | 40123 | 7/15/2011 |
| ProBuild | Supplies | \$1,899.95 | 40076 | $6 / 27 / 2011$ |
| Grainger | Bus Stop Equipment | \$1,453.12 | 40061 | 6/29/2011 |
| Grainger | Credit on returned item | -\$485.99 | N/A | 10/10/2011 |
| Jaqua of CA | Bench legs | \$2,537.00 | 40114 | 7/14/2011 |
| City of Santa Cruz | Dump/disposal fees | $\$ 14.44$ | 39926 | 6/6/2011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Project Non-Labor Expense | \$121,069.12 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## ATTACHMENT B

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT SECOND AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT NO. 10-16 <br> FOR VEHICLE WASHING SERVICES

This Second Amendment to Contract No. 10-16 for Vehicle Washing Services is made effective March 1, 2012 between the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, a political subdivision of the State of California ("Santa Cruz METRO") and Master Car Wash ("Contractor").
I. RECITALS
1.1 Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor entered into a Contract for vehicle washing services ("Contract") on March 1, 2010.
1.2 The Contract allows for the extension upon mutual written consent.

Therefore, Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor amend the Contract as follows:
II. TERM
2.1 Article 4.01 is amended to include the following language:

This contract shall continue through February 28, 2013. This Contract may be mutually extended by agreement of both parties.

## III. REMAINING TERMS AND CONDITIONS

3.1 All other provisions of the Contract that are not affected by this amendment shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

## IV. AUTHORITY

4.1 Each party has full power to enter into and perform this Second Amendment to the Contract and the person signing this Second Amendment on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into it. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this Second Amendment to the Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it.

## ATTACHMENT B

Signed on $\qquad$

Santa Cruz METRO
SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR
Master Car Wash

By
Jaime Martinez
Manager

Approved as to Form:

Margaret R. Gallagher
District Counsel

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AWARD OF CONTRACT WITH TOYOTA MATERIAL HANDLING FOR PURCHASE OF AN ELECTRIC FORKLIFT FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$33,662.13

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a contract with Toyota Material Handling Northern California for purchase of an electric forklift for an amount not to exceed \$33,662.13 and designate Robert Cotter as Contract Administrator

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Design of the new Parts Room requires enhanced features for accessibility to all areas. Santa Cruz METRO's existing 1979 model forklift is beyond its useful life and cannot access these areas.
- A competitive procurement was conducted to solicit bids from qualified firms.
- One firm submitted bids for Santa Cruz METRO's review.
- Staff has reviewed and evaluated the submitted bid.


## III. DISCUSSION

The design of METRO's new Parts Room has dictated the need for a new forklift that will reach the deck height of 200 plus inches, with a maximum load capacity of 4000 lbs ., while offering computer controlled stability enhancements. In addition, the large component storage area in the rear of METRO'S Parts Room mandates a forklift that will turn within a limited radius. The new forklift is electric and is therefore "fume free." The existing forklift (model year 1979) being replaced does not have these enhanced features, is not electric and has exceeded its useful life.

On November 16, 2011, Santa Cruz METRO Invitation for Bid No. 12-19 was mailed to eleven (11) firms, was legally advertised, and a notice was posted on Santa Cruz METRO's website. On December 16, 2011 a single bid was received and opened. Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent evaluated the bid and determined it to be fair and reasonable. Staff has reviewed the bid and Toyota Material Handling Northern California has been determined to be the lowest responsible bidder, whose bid is responsive to all the requirements of the IFB. A sole bid analysis was required due to only one bid being received.

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to sign a contract with Toyota Material Handling Northern California for Purchase of an Electric Forklift for an amount not to exceed $\$ 33,662.13$ on behalf of Santa Cruz METRO. Contractor will provide all equipment and materials meeting all Santa Cruz METRO specifications and requirements of the contract. Robert Cotter, Maintenance Manager will serve as the Contract Administrator and will ensure contract compliance.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funds to support contract are included in the PTMISEA budget.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Contract with Toyota Material Handling Northern California

Note: The IFB along with its Exhibits and any Addendum(s) are available for review at the Administration Office of Santa Cruz METRO.
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## CONTRACT FOR PROCUREMENT OF ONE THREE-WHEEL SIT DOWN AC ELECTRIC FORKLIFT (12-19)

THIS CONTRACT is made effective on March 1, 2012 between the SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT, a political subdivision of the State of California ("Santa Cruz METRO "), and TOYOTA MATERIAL HANDLING NORTHERN CALIFORNIA ("Contractor").

## 1. RECITALS

1.01 Santa Cruz METRO's Primary Objective

Santa Cruz METRO is a public entity whose primary objective is providing public transportation and has its principal office at 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, California 95060.
1.02 Santa Cruz METRO's Need for one Three-Wheel Sit Down AC Electric Forklift:

Santa Cruz METRO requires the purchase of one Three-Wheel Sit Down AC Electric Forklift to be used for standard purposes. In order to obtain said Three-Wheel Sit Down AC Electric Forklift, Santa Cruz METRO issued an Invitation for Bids, dated November 16, 2011 setting forth specifications for such Three-Wheel Sit Down AC Electric Forklift. The Invitation for Bids is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A.

### 1.03 Contractor's Bid Form

Contractor is a supplier of one Three-Wheel Sit Down AC Electric Forklift desired by Santa Cruz METRO and whose principal place of business is at 31010 San Antonio Street, Hayward, California. Pursuant to the Invitation for Bids by Santa Cruz METRO, Contractor submitted a bid for Provision of said Three-Wheel Sit Down AC Electric Forklift, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit B.
1.04 Selection of Contractor and Intent of Contract

On February 9, 2012, Santa Cruz METRO selected Contractor as the lowest responsive, responsible bidder to provide said Three-Wheel Sit Down AC Electric Forklift. The purpose of this Contract is to set forth the provisions of this procurement.

### 1.05 Contractor and Supplier Synonymous

For the purposes of this Contract, the terms "contractor" and "supplier" are synonymous.
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Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor agree as follows:

## 2. INCORPORATED DOCUMENTS AND APPLICABLE LAW

2.01 Documents Incorporated in This Contract

The documents listed below are attached to this Contract and by reference made a part hereof. This is an integrated Contract. This writing constitutes the final expression of the parties' Contract, and it is a complete and exclusive statement of the provisions of that Contract, except for written amendments, if any, made after the date of this Contract in accordance with Section 13.14 of the General Conditions of the Contract.
a) Exhibit A

Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's "Invitation for Bids" dated November 16, 2011.
b) Exhibit B (Bid Form)

Contractor's Bid Form to Santa Cruz METRO for one Three-Wheel Sit Down AC Electric Forklift, signed by Contractor and dated November 22, 2011.

### 2.02 Conflicts

Where in conflict, the provisions of this writing supersede those of the abovereferenced documents, Exhibits A and B. Where in conflict, the provisions of Exhibit A supersede Exhibit B.
2.03 Recitals

The Recitals set forth in Article 1 are part of this Contract.

## 3. TIME OF PERFORMANCE

### 3.01 General

Contractor shall perform work under this Contract at such times to enable it to meet the time schedules specified in the Specifications Section of the IFB. The Contractor shall not be responsible for delays caused by force majeure events described in Section 2 of the General Conditions of the Contract.

### 3.02 Term

The term of this Contract commences on the execution of the contract and shall remain in force for a one (1) year period thereafter. Santa Cruz METRO and
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Contractor may extend the term of this Contract at any time for any reason upon mutual written consent.

## 4. COMPENSATION

### 4.01 Terms of Payment

Upon written acceptance, Santa Cruz METRO agrees to pay Contractor as identified in the Bid Form, Exhibit B, not to exceed $\$ 33,662.13$ upon satisfactory completion of all work under the terms and provisions of this Contract within thirty (30) days thereof. Contractor understands and agrees that if he/she exceeds the $\$ 33,662.13$ maximum amount payable under this contract, that it does so at its own risk.

### 4.02 Invoices

Contractor shall submit invoices with a purchase order number provided by Santa Cruz METRO on a monthly basis. Contractor's invoices shall include detailed records showing actual time devoted, work accomplished, date work accomplished, personnel used, and amount billed per hour. Expenses shall only be billed if allowed under the contract. Telephone call expenses shall show the nature of the call and identify location and individual called.

Said invoice records shall be kept up-to-date at all times and shall be available for inspection by Santa Cruz METRO (or any grantor of Santa Cruz METRO, including, without limitation, any State or Federal agency providing project funding or reimbursement) at any time for any reason upon demand for not less than four (4) years after the date of expiration or termination of the contract. Under penalty of law, Contractor represents that all amounts billed to Santa Cruz METRO are (1) actually incurred; (2) reasonable in amount; (3) related to this contract; and (4) necessary for performance of the services. No expenses shall be paid by Santa Cruz METRO unless specifically allowed by this contract.

## 5. NOTICES

All notices under this Contract shall be deemed duly given upon delivery, if delivered by hand; or three (3) days after posting, if sent by registered mail, receipt requested; to a party hereto at the address hereinunder set forth or to such other address as a party may designate by notice pursuant hereto.

Santa Cruz METRO
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
110 Vernon Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Attention: General Manager

## CONTRACTOR

Toyota Material Handling Northern California 31010 San Antonio Street Hayward, CA 94544
Attention: Mark Andres

## Attachment A

## 6. AUTHORITY

Each party has full power and authority to enter into and perform this Contract and the person signing this Contract on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into it. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it.

Signed on $\qquad$

SANTA CRUZ METRO—SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR - TOYOTA MATERIAL HANDLING NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

By
Mark Andres
Senior Vice President

Approved as to Form:

Margaret R. Gallagher
District Counsel

DATE: $\quad$ February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO SIGN A CONTRACT EXTENSION WITH ANDREWS INTERNATIONAL, INC. FOR SECURITY GUARD SERVICES IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$100,000

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to sign a contract renewal for a three-month contract extension through May 31, 2012 with Andrews International for security guard services in an amount not to exceed $\$ 100,000$.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Santa Cruz METRO requires security guard services at the Metro Center, the Watsonville Transit Center and for farebox vault processing.
- Santa Cruz METRO has a contract with Andrews International, Inc. for security services.
- This contract was established on March 1, 2009 for a three-year period with two optional one-year extensions.
- The current contract approved by the Board of Directors will expire on February 28, 2012.
- Andrews International, Inc. has been bought out by US Security Associates and has requested that this contract extension be limited to a three-month term for the new contract period in order to allow our transition to a new vendor.
- Andrews International, Inc. has performed its duties well under this contract and therefore, a three-month contract extension is recommended.
- Santa Cruz METRO will issue an RFP to obtain a new vendor for these services.


## III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO has a contract with Andrews International, Inc. for security guard services that is due to expire on February 28, 2012. On December 27, 2011, Santa Cruz METRO staff sent a renewal request letter to Andrews International, Inc. No response was received. Veronica Slouka, Andrews International Inc. Operations Manager visited our site on January 23, 2012 and notified us that our main contact, Carlos Galvez Jr., Vice President, was no longer with their

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

company. Ciro Aguirre, Operations Manager informed Ms. Slouka of our request for renewal and the letter was resubmitted to Ms. Slouka that day. Santa Cruz METRO staff made numerous attempts to get a response to no avail. Finally, on February 16, 2012, Santa Cruz METRO staff demanded a response and was informed that Andrews International, Inc. had just been purchased by US Security Associates. Furthermore, Andrews International believed they would be unable to renew due to the reduced weapon certifications of the new parent company US Security Associates. Santa Cruz METRO staff reached out to Chuck Schneider, CEO of US Security Associates and was able to negotiate a three month extension of the Andrews International, Inc. contract, under the same terms and conditions, which will allow Santa Cruz METRO a transition period and time to put these services out to bid.

Per Ciro Aguirre, the Operations Manager, over the past year, the quality of service provided by Andrews International, Inc. has been satisfactory. Andrews International, Inc. has reviewed the contract and has requested that this contract extension be limited to a three-month term, expiring on May 31, 2012.

Staff recommends that Santa Cruz METRO exercise the first option for a three-month contract extension with Andrews International, Inc., effective February 17, 2012 through May 31, 2012 for an amount not to exceed $\$ 100,000$. Staff further recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to sign a three-month contract extension on behalf of Santa Cruz METRO. Ciro Aguirre, Operations Manager will continue to serve as the Contract Administrator and will ensure contract compliance.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funds to support this contract are included in the Operations FY12 Security Services budget.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Letter received from Andrews International, Inc.
Attachment B: Contract Amendment with Andrews International, Inc.

## ATTACHMENT A

Date: February 17, 2012
To: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent (Santa Cruz Metro)
From: Eric Opp, Director of Operations of Northern California (Andrews International)
CC: Ed Palumbo, Senior Vice President of PacNW (Andrews International)
Subject: Extension of Contract No. 09-06

The above referenced contract for security guard services will expire on February 29, 2012. Please let this letter serve as notification that Andrews International will agree to a 3-month extension of the current contract. Andrews International guard services will terminate at midnight on May $31^{\text {st }}, 2012$.

The extension will remain under the business entity "Andrews International, Inc" Sr. Vice President, Ed Palumbo, who ratify the extension and will also serve as the direct contact for the Santa Cruz metro client.

Sincerely,


Eric Opp
Director of Operations
Northern California Region

## ATTACHMENT B

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT FIRST AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT NO. (09-06) <br> FOR SECURITY GUARD SERVICES

This First Amendment to Contract No. 09-06 for Security Guard Services is made effective February 29, 2012 between the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, a political subdivision of the State of California ("Santa Cruz METRO") and Andrews International, Inc.
("Contractor").

## I. RECITALS

1.1 Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor entered into a Contract for Security Guard Services ("Contract") on March 1, 2009.
1.2 The Contract allows for the extension upon mutual written consent.

Therefore, Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor amend the Contract as follows:
II. TERM
2.1 Article 4.01 is amended to include the following language:

This Contract shall continue through May 31, 2012.

## III. REMAINING TERMS AND CONDITIONS

3.1 All other provisions of the Contract that are not affected by this amendment shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

## V. AUTHORITY

Each party has full power to enter into and perform this First Amendment to the Contract and the person signing this First Amendment on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into it. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this First Amendment to the Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it.
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Signed on $\qquad$

Santa Cruz METRO - SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR - ANDREWS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

By
Ed Palumbo
Senior Vice President

Approved as to Form:

Margaret R. Gallagher
District Counsel
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DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager

## SUBJECT: MONTHLY BUDGET STATUS REPORTS YEAR TO DATE AS OF NOVEMBER 30, 2011

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors accept and file the monthly budget status reports year to date as of November 30, 2011

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Operating Revenues year to date as of November 30, 2011 were $\$ 18,334$ or $0.2 \%$ over the amount of revenue expected for the same period year to date.
- Consolidated Operating Expenses year to date as of November 30, 2011 were $\$ 1,509 \mathrm{~K}$ or $9 \%$ under budget.
- Capital Budget spending year to date through November 2011 was $\$ 502 \mathrm{~K}$ or $2 \%$ of the Capital budget.


## III. DISCUSSION

An analysis of Santa Cruz METRO's budget status is prepared monthly in order to apprise the Board of Directors of Santa Cruz METRO's actual revenues, expenses and capital in relation to the adopted operating and capital budgets for the fiscal year. The attached revenue, expense and capital reports represent the status of Santa Cruz METRO's FY12 operating and capital budgets versus actual expenditures year to date.

The fiscal year has elapsed 42\%.

## A. Operating Revenue

Operating Revenues year to date as of November 30, 2011 were $\$ 18,334$ or $0.2 \%$ over the amount budgeted. Revenue variances are due to lower than anticipated Passenger Fares and higher than anticipated Other Revenue (primarily Advertising Income) and Sales Tax Revenue.

- Passenger Fares are under budget due to a decrease in ridership and increased sales of Discount Senior and Youth passes. Total ridership was down $2.61 \%$ vs. the same period last year and the sales of discounted passes have more than doubled year-overyear.
- Other Revenue is over budget primarily due to more advertising than expected year to date as of November 30, 2011
- Sales Tax Revenue is over budget due to higher than anticipated receipts year to date through November 30, 2011. The $5 \%$ growth in Sales Tax year over year, as of November 30, 2011 reflects continuing improvement in consumer spending.


## B. Consolidated Operating Expenses

Consolidated Operating Expenses year to date as of November 30, 2011 were $\$ 1,509 \mathrm{~K}$ or 9 \% under budget. Labor and Fringe Benefits, Services, Mobile Materials \& Supplies, Casualty \& Liability, and Miscellaneous Expenses all contributed to the variance.

- Labor and Fringe Benefits are below budget due to vacant funded positions and extended leaves.
- The majority of the variance in Services is due to Prof \& Tech Fees and Repair Equipment.
- $\quad$ Prof \& Tech Fees are under budget due to straight lining of the budget throughout the fiscal year and actual activity, primarily labor negotiations and recruitments, which will happen later in the year.
- Repair - Equipment is under budget due to inability to anticipate when repair costs will be incurred and straight lining of the budget.
- Mobile Materials \& Supplies are under budget due to lower than anticipated prices of fuel, inability to anticipate when vehicle parts will be needed and the cyclical nature of tire and tube replacements, while the budget is straight lined.
- Casualty \& Liability is under budget due to less than anticipated settlement costs year to date.
- Miscellaneous expenses are under budget due to cost cutting measures in all departments.


## C. Capital Budget

Capital Budget spending year to date through November 2012 was $\$ 502 \mathrm{~K}$ or $2 \%$ of the Capital budget. Of this, $\$ 118 \mathrm{~K}$ or $24 \%$ has been spent on the Bus Stop Improvements project, $\$ 290 \mathrm{~K}$ or $56 \%$ has been spent on the Transit Management Information Technology project and $\$ 67 \mathrm{~K}$ or $58 \%$ has been spent on the Replacement of Fleet \& Facilities Maintenance Software.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS.

Funds from Carryover from Previous Years, STIC, STA, and Operating Reserves will be used in the listed order to bridge the budget gap at the end of the fiscal year, when the amount of the operating income/loss for the year is determined. In the meantime, the amount of operating income/loss year to date is reported in the monthly budget status reports.

Attachment A: FY12 Operating Revenue \& Expenses Year to Date as of 11/30/11
Attachment B: FY12 Capital Budget Reports for the month ending - 11/30/11

Prepared by: Kristina Mihaylova, Financial Analyst
Date Prepared: February 17, 2012
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Percent of Year Elapsed -

|  | Year to Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | YTD Year Over Year Comparison <br> Actual |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual |  | Budget |  | \$ Var |  | \% Var | FY11 |  | \$ Var |  | \% Var |
| Passenger Fares |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passenger Fares | \$ | 1,576,987 | \$ | 1,602,065 | \$ | $(25,078)$ | -2\% | \$ | 1,493,510 | \$ | 83,478 | 6\% |
| Paratransit Fares | \$ | 127,979 | \$ | 112,024 | \$ | 15,955 | 14\% | \$ | 101,286 | \$ | 26,693 | 26\% |
| Special Transit Fares - Contract | \$ | 1,109,272 | \$ | 1,152,198 | \$ | $(42,926)$ | -4\% | \$ | 1,261,182 | \$ | $(151,910)$ | -12\% |
| Highway 17 Fares | \$ | 625,545 | \$ | 501,954 | \$ | 123,591 | 25\% | \$ | 401,969 | \$ | 223,576 | 56\% |
| Highway 17 Payments | \$ | 93,523 | \$ | 229,713 | \$ | $(136,190)$ | -59\% | \$ | 204,965 | \$ | $(111,443)$ | -54\% |
| Subtotal Passenger Revenue | \$ | 3,533,306 | \$ | 3,597,954 | \$ | $(64,648)$ | -2\% | \$ | 3,462,912 | \$ | 70,394 | 2\% |


$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Sales Tax Revenue } & \$ 6,527,132 & \$ & 6,485,143 & \$ & 41,989 & 1 \% & \$, 187,944 & \$ & 339,188 & 5\end{array}$
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FY12 Year to Date as of November 30， 2011 Year to Date Budget YTD Year Over Year Comparison
Actual
$\underline{\text { FY11 }} \quad \$ \mathrm{Var} \quad \% \mathrm{Var}$


## Actual

## \＄Var <br> 



Actual Budget


Fringe Benefits

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(2,855) & -3 \% \\
176
\end{array}
$$

$\square$
$13 \%$
 666，878



 M N NiN N $(23,199)-20 \%$ \＄



$\stackrel{\circ}{1}$

 ${ }^{-} \mathrm{N}$

のはのはのはのはのはのはのはのはのはの
 \＄5，942，856 \＄
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FY12
Year to Date as of November 30, 2011


\footnotetext{
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FY12
Consolidated Operating Expenses
Year to Date as of November 30, 2011 YTD Year Over Year Comparison
Actual
FY11 $\quad$ \$ Var $\quad$ \% Var

 Total Other Materials \& Supplies - |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

| 507051 Fuel Tax | \$ | 5,275 | \$ | 5,833 | \$ | (558) | -10\% | \$ | 5,304 | \$ | (29) | -1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 507201 Licenses \& permits | \$ | 3,978 | \$ | 8,275 | \$ | $(4,297)$ | -52\% | \$ | 3,624 | \$ | 355 | 10\% |
| 507999 Other Taxes | \$ | 8,357 | \$ | 8,333 | \$ | 23 | 0\% | \$ | 8,756 | \$ | (399) | -5\% |
| Total Taxes - | \$ | 17,610 | \$ | 22,442 | \$ | $(4,832)$ | -22\% | \$ | 17,684 | \$ | (73) | 0\% |
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## FY12

Consolidated Operating Expenses
Year to Date as of November 30, 2011
YTD Year Over Year Comparison
Actual
FY11 $\quad$ \$ Var $\quad$ \% Var

Year to Date

## Budget

 Actual
Purchased Transportation
503406 Contr/Paratrans
Total Purchased Transportation -
Miscellaneous



|  | Total Non-Personnel Expenses - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $2,926,359$ | $\$$ | $3,573,820$ | $\$(647,461)$ | $-18 \%$ | $\$$ | $2,811,863$ | $\$$ | 114,496 | $4 \%$ |


| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE - $\$ 15,172,126$ | $\$$ | $16,680,820$ | $\$(1,508,694)$ | $-9 \%$ | $\$ 14,654,264$ | $\$$ | 517,861 | $4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

** does not include depreciation
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FY12

## For the month ending - November 30, 2011

FY12 Budget
Remaining Budget \% Spent YTD


| Subtotal Grant Funded Projects | $\$$ | 428,621 | $\$$ | $23,225,145$ | $\$$ | $22,796,524$ | $2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| IT Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HR Software Upgrade (STA) | \$ | 555 | \$ | 125,000 | \$ | 124,445 | 0\% |
| Replace Fleet \& Facilities Maintenance Software (STA) | \$ | 66,799 | \$ | 115,000 | \$ | 48,201 | 58\% |
| Automated Purchasing System Software (STA) | \$ | - | \$ | 40,000 | \$ | 40,000 | 0\% |
| Subtotal IT Projects | \$ | 67,354 | \$ | 280,000 | \$ | 212,646 | 24\% |
| Facilities Repair \& Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operations Bldg. Repairs (RES. RET. EARN.) | \$ | - | \$ | 150,000 | \$ | 150,000 | 0\% |
| MetroCenter Repairs (RES. RET. EARN.) | \$ | - | \$ | 200,000 | \$ | 200,000 | 0\% |
| MTC Lane Four Shelter Replacement (STA) | \$ | - | \$ | 40,000 | \$ | 40,000 | 0\% |
| WTC Renovations \& Repairs (STA) | \$ | 6,041 | \$ | 85,000 | \$ | 78,959 | 7\% |
| Repair, Reseal, Restripe (Sinkholes) - Ops (STA) | \$ | - | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 10,000 | 0\% |
| Subtotal Facilities Repairs \& Improvements Projects | \$ | 6,041 | \$ | 485,000 | \$ | 478,959 | 1\% |

Subtotal Facilities Repairs \& Improvements Projects

Attachment B
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | YTD Actual |  | FY12 Budget |  | Remaining Budget |  | \% Spent YTD |
| CAPITAL FUNDING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Capital Grants | \$ | 289,795 | \$ | 6,514,162 | \$ | 6,224,367 | 4\% |
| Other Fed - Sakata / Lawsuit proceeds | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | - |
| State - PTMISEA (1B) | \$ | 18,213 | \$ | 13,701,970 | \$ | 13,683,757 | 0\% |
| State - Security Bond Funds (1B) | \$ | - | \$ | 890,000 | \$ | 890,000 | 0\% |
| State Transit Assistance (STA) Carryover-Prior Yrs | \$ | 75,675 | \$ | 488,000 | \$ | 412,325 | 16\% |
| State - MBUAPCD | \$ | 29 | \$ | 360,000 | \$ | 359,971 | 0\% |
| State - STIP | \$ | 118,304 | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | 381,696 | 24\% |
| Local - Reserved Retained Earnings | \$ | - | \$ | 1,457,873 | \$ | 1,457,873 | 0\% |
| Local Operating Match | \$ | - | \$ | 146,140 | \$ | 146,140 | 0\% |
| TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDING | \$ | 502,016 | \$ | 24,058,145 | \$ | 23,556,129 | 2\% |

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Leslie R. White, General Manager

## SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING ON THE PROPOSED SANTA CRUZ METRO DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) PROGRAM UPDATE AND CONSIDERATION OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UPDATED PROGRAM.

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

Receive and Consider Comments from the Public Hearing and Implement Santa Cruz METRO's DBE Program Update.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- The Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) has established a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program in accordance with the regulations of the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) (49 CFR Part 26).
- The purpose of the DBE Program is to ensure that DBEs have an equal opportunity to participate in U.S. DOT assisted contracts.
- The DOT has requested that all transit agencies update their existing DBE Programs to facilitate Small Business Enterprise (SBE) participation modifications as well as other updates to the DBE federal regulations.
- Santa Cruz METRO's proposed DBE Program Update (Attachment A) complies with the revised regulations (49 CFR Part 26).
- Santa Cruz METRO must submit its DBE Program Update to FTA by February 28, 2012 in order to remain in compliance with the federal requirements.


## III. DISCUSSION

In 1983, the United States Congress enacted the first Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) statute in an effort to end discrimination in the award of federally funded procurements. As a recipient of Department of Transportation (DOT) funding, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) is required to implement, administer and monitor its DBE Program that must meet the requirements set forth in the federal regulations at 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 26. A DBE is a for-profit small business concern that is at least 51 percent owned by one or more socially and economically disadvantaged individuals A socially and economically disadvantaged individual means any individual who is a citizen of the United

States (or lawfully admitted permanent resident) and who is a member of the following groups: Black American, Hispanic American, Native American, Asian-Pacific American, Subcontinent Asian American, or Women. In addition, an individual can be found to be socially and economically disadvantaged on a case-by-case basis by a certifying agency pursuant to the standards set forth in the regulations.

In 2011, the U.S. Congress adopted new legislation governing the requirements for DBE Programs. The DOT has requested that transit agencies who receive federal funding update their DBE Programs to comply with the new legislation, and submit their DBE Program Update by February 28, 2012. The new rule improves the administration of the DBE Program by increasing accountability for recipients with respect to meeting overall goals, modifying and updating certification requirements, adjusting the personal net worth (PNW) threshold for inflation, providing for expedited interstate certification, adding provisions to foster small business participation, and improving post-award oversight.

The DOT noted that DBEs are small businesses. It went on to state that the new DBE Program provisions that help small businesses can help DBEs and that by facilitating participation for small businesses, recipients can make possible more DBE participation. The DOT opined that a program element that pulls together the various ways that a recipient reaches out to small businesses and makes it easier for them to compete for DOT-assisted contracts will foster the objectives of the DBE program.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Santa Cruz METRO's DBE Program Update has no direct financial impact; however, contracts funded with FTA assistance will be monitored for DBE goal achievement and DBE participation will be reported quarterly to the FTA.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

## Attachment A: Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program Update (49 CFR Part 26)

Prepared by: Rickie-Ann Kegley, Paralegal
Date Prepared: February 16, 2012

## Attachment A



Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
Disadvantaged Business Enterprise
Program Update 49 CFR 26
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## TITLE: DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) PROGRAM

## Procedure History

NEW POLICY
SUMMARY OF POLICY
APPROVED
February 24, 2012

## I. Policy

1.01 Santa Cruz METRO's DBE Program Policy

The Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) is committed to providing a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program in accordance with Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 49, Part 26, http://www.fta.dot.gov/civilrights/12326. html for the participation of DBEs in Santa Cruz METRO's procurements. As a condition of receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department of Transportation (DOT), Santa Cruz METRO assures that it will continue to comply with 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 26. Appendix A sets forth Santa Cruz METRO’s written acknowledgement of the requirements of these federal regulations and its commitment to implement a DBE Program in accordance with those regulations. Commitment Statements will be executed on an annual basis by the Santa Cruz METRO's General Manager and attached to the DBE Program's administrative regulation. Additionally, the executed annual Commitment Statements will be disseminated to all departments within Santa Cruz METRO, DBE and Non-DBE Businesses, and the public.

### 1.02 Equitable Conditions for DBEs

It is the intention of Santa Cruz METRO to create equitable conditions in which DBEs can compete fairly and participate fully in the performance of contracts and subcontracts relating to the Santa Cruz METRO's construction and procurement activities.

### 1.03 Updates to DBE Program

Santa Cruz METRO’s DBE Program will be reviewed and updated, if necessary, with every goal submission, and as required by DOT.
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## II. Applicability

2.01 Recipient of Federal Financial Assistance

Santa Cruz METRO, a recipient of federal financial assistance from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) of the U.S. DOT, is required to implement a DBE Program in accordance with 49 CFR Part 26 et. seq. (Federal Regulations) which are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein. In the event of any conflicts or inconsistencies between the Federal Regulations and the Santa Cruz METRO's DBE Program with respect to DOT-assisted contracts, the Federal Regulations shall control.

### 2.02 <br> Application to all METRO Contracts

The DBE Program outlined herein applies to all Santa Cruz METRO contracts that are funded, in whole or in part, by U.S. DOT federal financial assistance. To the extent that the Program's policy, objectives and efforts involve race-neutral methods, the DBE Program will apply to all Santa Cruz METRO contracts regardless of the funding source.

### 2.03 Program Objectives

The objectives of the Santa Cruz METRO's DBE Program are the following:
A. Ensure nondiscrimination in the award and administration of DOT-assisted contracts;
B. Create a level playing field on which DBEs can compete fairly for DOT-assisted contracts;
C. Ensure the DBE Program is narrowly tailored in accordance with 49 CFR Part 26;
D. Ensure that only firms that fully meet the eligibility standards in 49 CFR Part 26 are permitted to participate as DBEs;
E. Identify and remove barriers to the participation of all small businesses meeting the requisite size standards, including DBEs, in the bidding, award and administration of DOT federally-assisted contracts;
F. Identify and increase the participation of all small businesses meeting the requisite size standards, including DBEs, in Santa Cruz METRO's procurements of required materials, equipment, supplies and services;
G. Develop procedures that will acquaint prospective DBEs with the Santa Cruz METRO's contracting procedures, activities and requirements, and receive feedback from DBEs on existing barriers to participation and effective procedures to eliminate them.
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H. Assist the development of DBEs so they may compete successfully outside of the DBE Program;
I. Foster small business participation in Santa Cruz METRO's procurements; and
J. Successfully facilitate the implementation of the DBE Program using race neutral measures to the maximum extent.

### 2.04 <br> Prohibited Discrimination

A. Santa Cruz METRO shall not exclude any person from participation in, deny benefits to, or otherwise discriminate against any persons in connection with the award and performance of any contract governed by 49 CFR Part 26 on the basis of race, color, sex or national origin.
B. In administering its DBE Program, Santa Cruz METRO shall not directly, or through contractual or other arrangements, use criteria or methods of administration that have the effect of defeating or substantially impairing accomplishment of the objectives of this DBE Program with respect to individuals of a particular race, color, sex or national origin. However, in order to foster its overall objectives related to these important issues, Santa Cruz METRO may utilize contractual or other arrangements and may use criteria or methods of administration that support creation of opportunities for State of California certified minority, women-owned and emerging small businesses as allowed by state and federal law.

## III. Definitions

Terms used in the DBE Program have the meanings defined in $49 C F R$ §26.5.

## IV. Responsibility for DBE Program Implementation

### 4.01 Santa Cruz METRO’s Board of Directors

The Board of Directors is responsible for adopting the DBE Program and any updates as the official DBE Program Policy at Santa Cruz METRO.
4.02 General Manager

The General Manager has primary responsibility to implement the Board's policies at Santa Cruz METRO.
4.03 DBE Liaison Officer

Santa Cruz METRO designated the following individual as its DBE Liaison Officer:
Leslie R. White
General Manager/Designee
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
110 Vernon Street
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Santa Cruz, CA 95060<br>(831) 426-6080 - phone<br>(831) 426-6117 - fax<br>lwhite@scmtd.com (e-mail)

In that capacity, the DBELO is responsible for implementing all aspects of the DBE program and ensuring that the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District complies with all provisions of 49 CFR Part 26. The DBELO, if other than the General Manager, shall have direct, independent access to the General Manager concerning DBE program matters. Necessary agency staff shall be available to support the DBELO in his/her activities. An organizational chart displaying the DBELO's position within Santa Cruz METRO is found in Appendix $C$ to this program.

## DBELO and DBE Committee Responsibilities

The DBELO is responsible for developing, implementing and monitoring the DBE Program, and reporting DBE Program achievements. The DBELO will work with a DBE Committee consisting of the following incumbents: the Purchasing Agent, a Grants/Legislative Analysis (assigned for this task) and a Paralegal (assigned this task) to develop, implement and monitor the DBE Program. Personnel assigned to the DBE Committee shall have the responsibility for ensuring the effective implementation of the DBE Program. They shall give full cooperation and active support to the DBELO in this effort. Superiors of the DBE Committee members, as part of their tasks and standards, shall include in their evaluation their performance in DBE area. Duties and responsibilities of the DBELO and the DBE Committee include the following:
A. Gathers and reports statistical data and other information as required.
B. Reviews third party contracts and purchase requisitions for compliance with this program.
C. Works with all departments to determine projected Annual Anticipated DBE Participation Level.
D. Ensures that bid notices and requests for proposals are available to DBEs in a timely manner.
E. Analyzes Santa Cruz METRO’s progress toward goal attainment and identifies ways to encourage participation through race-neutral means.
F. Participates in pre-bid meetings.
G. Advises the General Manager/Santa Cruz METRO’s Board of Directors on DBE matters, achievement and DBE race-neutral issues.
H. Provides DBEs with information, assistance and recommends resources to assist in preparing bids, obtaining bonding and insurance.
I. Plans and participates in DBE training seminars.
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J. Monitors the California Unified Certification Program for conformance with the criteria set by the DOT.
K. Provides outreach to DBEs and community organizations to advise them of opportunities.
L. Maintains the Santa Cruz METRO's directory of certified DBEs.
M. Provide Reports regarding DBE participation required by the federal regulations to DOT.

## V. Administration Requirements

5.01 Federal Financial Assistance Agreement Assurance (49 CFR §26.13(a))

Santa Cruz METRO has signed the following assurance, applicable to all DOT-assisted contracts and their administration:

Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex in the award and performance of any DOT-assisted contract or in the administration of its DBE Program or the requirements of 49 CFR parts 26. The recipient shall take all necessary and reasonable steps under 49 CFR part 26 to ensure nondiscrimination in the award and administration of DOT-assisted contracts. The recipient's DBE Program, as required by 49 CFR part 26 and as approved by DOT, is incorporated by reference in this agreement. Implementation of this program is a legal obligation and failure to carry out its terms shall be treated as a violation of this agreement. Upon notification to the Santa Cruz METRO of its failure to carry out its approved program, the Department may impose sanctions as provided for under part 26, and may in appropriate cases, refer the matter for enforcement under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and/or the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act of 1986 (31 U.S.C. 3801 et seq.).

DBE Financial Institutions
It is the policy of the Santa Cruz METRO to investigate the full extent of services offered by financial institutions owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals in the community, to make reasonable efforts to use these institutions, and to encourage prime contractors on DOT-assisted contract to make use of these institutions. Santa Cruz METRO has made the following efforts to identify and use such institutions:

The DBELO has identified DBE financial institutions set forth in Appendix D which offer services in California. A list of socially and economically disadvantaged individuals/firms is available at the website for the Federal Reserve Board at www.federalreserve.gov/releases/mob. Santa Cruz METRO will encourage prime contractors to utilize such services when available.

The State of California, Department of General Services, Office of Small Business Certification and Resources also maintains a directory of financial institutions owned and
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controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals online at www.osmb.dgs.ca.gov. The directory can be queried to locate DBE financial institutions from the Services section of the site.

DBE Vendor Directory
A. The State of California’s Department of Transportation (Caltrans) maintains the main DBE Directory. The DBE Vendor Directory identifies all firms eligible to participate as DBEs. The DBE Directory is updated weekly and lists the name, address, phone number, certification date, NAIC code and type of work performed for vendors certified by it or by other agencies with which it has reciprocity. The Directory is available on the World Wide Web and can be downloaded from http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/bep/find_certified.htm. The Santa Cruz METRO will use the Caltrans DBE Directory in its efforts to extend contracting opportunities to DBE Vendors.
B. Santa Cruz METRO maintains a Directory identifying all firms eligible to participate as DBEs. The Directory includes the firm's name, address, phone number, date of the most recent certification and the type of work the firm has been certified to perform as a DBE. Santa Cruz METRO will revise on a continuing basis. Santa Cruz METRO makes the Directory available as follows: Interested individuals can contact Santa Cruz METRO’s Purchasing Agent, Santa Cruz METRO Administrative Offices, 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, CA, or (831) 4260199 to request a copy . Additionally, Santa Cruz METRO directory information is included in appropriate solicitations with the in-house directory serving as a supplement to the CalTrans Directory. Upon completion, the most recent updated in-house Directory can be found in Appendix C.

Bidder's List
A. Santa Cruz METRO maintains a Bidders' List. Santa Cruz METRO’s bidders' list consists of information about all DBE and non-DBE firms that bid or quote on DOT assisted contracts. The purpose of this requirement is to allow use of the Bidders List approach to calculating overall goals. Santa Cruz METRO’s Bidder List will include the name, address, DBE/non-DBE status, age and annual gross receipts of firms.
B. In order to document DBE participation in all stages of the procurement process, Santa Cruz METRO requires all prime contractors and any of its subcontractors responding to Invitations For Bids (IFBs), Requests for Qualifications (RFQs) or requests for quotes to submit the following information to Santa Cruz METRO: the firm's name, address, DBE status, years in business, annual gross receipts, scope of work to be performed and the dollar amount, if a bid. Santa Cruz METRO will require this information when any bid, quote or qualification is submitted to it to be considered responsive. The Bidder's List will become the record of DBE participation at any point in METRO's procurement process.
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### 5.05

## Overconcentration

If the DBELO determines that DBE participation is so over-concentrated in certain types of work or contracting opportunities that it unduly burdens the participation on non-DBEs in that type of work, the DBELO will develop appropriate measures to address the overconcentration. The DBELO will seek approval of such measures from FTA and, at that time, the measures will become a part of this Program. Currently Santa Cruz METRO is unaware of any types of work that have a burdensome over-concentration of DBE participation.

### 5.06 Business Development Programs

Santa Cruz METRO does not operate a business or mentor-protégé program at the present time. If Santa Cruz METRO implements such a program in the future, then Santa Cruz METRO will describe the rationale for having the program element, and the specific provisions of the element (e.g., who is eligible to participate, how the program element works, and how interested persons would obtain information about the program element). At the time of a decision to implement a business development or mentor-protégé program, the DBELO will seek approval of such program from FTA and, at that time, the program will become a part of the overall DBE Program.
5.07 Fostering Small Business Participation (49 CFR §26.39)
A. To facilitate competition by small business concerns, Santa Cruz METRO will take reasonable measures to eliminate obstacles that may preclude small business participation in procurements as prime contractors or subcontractors. The following good faith efforts will be implemented by Santa Cruz METRO:

1. In multi-year design-build contracts or other large contracts, Santa Cruz METRO will require bidders on the prime contract to specify elements of the contract or specific contracts that are of a size that small businesses, including DBEs can reasonably perform.
2. For prime contracts not having DBE contract goals, Santa Cruz METRO will require the prime contractor to provide subcontracting opportunities of a size that small businesses, including DBEs, can reasonably perform, rather than self-performing all of the work involved.
3. Santa Cruz METRO will refrain from any unnecessary bundling of contract requirements that would otherwise prevent small businesses, including DBEs, from participating in METRO's procurements.
4. Santa Cruz METRO will ensure that a reasonable number of prime contracts are of a size that small businesses, including DBEs, can reasonably perform.
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## VI. Determining and Achieving the DBE Goal

### 6.01 Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District DBE Program Goal

The Santa Cruz METRO's DBE Program Goal is developed triennially by the DEBELO and the DBE Committee in accordance with 49 CFR Part 26.45 as a stand-alone document which includes a public participation process, including outreach efforts and copies of public notices. The goal and its methodology is described in the Santa Cruz METRO's DBE Program Goal document, submitted triennially to the FTA Region IX Office by August $1^{\text {st }}$ of the submission year and attached in Appendix B. Santa Cruz METRO's overall annual goal represents the amount of ready, willing and able DBEs that are available to participate in contracting opportunities and is reflective of the amount of DBE participation that Santa Cruz METRO would expect absent the effect of discrimination. Santa Cruz METRO intends to meet this goal to the maximum extent feasible through exclusively race-neutral measures.

### 6.02 Reaching the Goal

A. Santa Cruz METRO must meet the maximum feasible portion of the overall goal by using race-neutral means of facilitating DBE participation. Santa Cruz METRO will use contract goals adequate to meet any portion of the overall goal it does not project being able to meet using race-neutral means if it is able to demonstrate through disparity study that race conscious methodologies would remedy past discrimination.
B. As allowed by federal and state law, Santa Cruz METRO will establish contract goals only on those U.S. DOT-assisted contracts that have subcontracting possibilities. Santa Cruz METRO will not establish a contract goal on every contract and the size of contract goals will be adapted to the circumstances of each such contract (e.g. type and location of work, availability of DBEs to perform the particular type of work).
C. If allowed by federal and state law, each solicitation for which a contract goal has been established will require the bidders/offerors to submit the following information:

1. The names and addresses of DBE firms that will participate in the contract;
2. A description of the work that each DBE will perform;
3. The dollar amount of the participation of each DBE firm;
4. Written and signed documentation of commitment to use a DBE subcontractor whose participation it submits to meet a contract goal;
5. Written and signed confirmation from DBE that it is participating in the contract as provided in the prime contractor's commitment; and If the contract goal is not met, evidence of good faith efforts.
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D. The obligation of the bidder/offeror is to make good faith efforts. The bidder/offeror can demonstrate that it has done so either by meeting the contract goal or documenting good faith efforts.
E. Santa Cruz METRO treats bidder/offerors' compliance with good faith efforts requirements as a matter of responsiveness. The Purchasing Agent/designee is responsible for determining whether a bidder/offeror who has not met the contract goal has documented sufficient good faith efforts to be regarded as a responsive bidder/offeror. The Purchasing Agent/designee will consider all relevant information submitted by the bidder/offeror in making this determination including the following:

1. Advertising and attendance at pre-bid conferences to solicit DBE participation;
2. Packaging portions of the work to increase the likelihood that DBE vendors could participate;
3. Instances of negotiations with DBE vendors to make portions of contract work available including names, addresses, phone number of DBEs contacted; and
4. Use of community organizations, contractors’ groups, minority and women business organizations to provide assistance in recruiting DBE firms.
F. The Purchasing Agent/designee will ensure that all information is complete and accurate and adequately documents the bidder/offeror's good faith efforts before Santa Cruz METRO commits to the performance of the contract by the bidder/offeror.
G. Within 5 days of being informed by Santa Cruz METRO that it is not responsive because it has not documented sufficient good faith efforts, a bidder/offeror may request administrative reconsideration. Bidders/offerors must make this request in writing to the following reconsideration official: General Manager, 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, or LWhite@scmtd.com. The reconsideration official will not have played any role in the original determination that the bidder/offeror did not document sufficient good faith efforts.
H. As part of this reconsideration, the bidder/offeror will have the opportunity to provide written documentation or argument concerning the issue of whether it met the goal or make adequate good faith efforts to do so. the bidder/offeror will have the opportunity to meet in person with the reconsideration official to discuss the issue of whether it met the goal or made adequate good faith efforts to do so. The General Manager will send a written decision on reconsideration, explaining the basis for finding that the bidder did or did not meet the goal to make adequate good faith efforts to do so. The result of the reconsideration process is not administratively appealable to the Department of Transportation.

### 6.03 Demonstration of Good Faith Efforts
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No contractor is required to satisfy the goal so long as good faith efforts are shown. In addition, the use of race conscious contract goals will not be applied where a defined DBE group on a project would exceed the percentage of available firms within the group. Prior to solicitation of bids, the DBELO, the Purchasing Agent and the Project Manager(s) will identify work that could be performed by DBEs on all proposed federalaid projects. The procedure and criteria used in assigning contract specific goals shall include the following:
A. Project location, size, and duration and dollar value.
B. The nature of the Project (type of work and potential scheduling and coordination work).
C. Availability of DBE firms that perform identified sub-contractible items.
D. Any other relevant criteria.

### 6.04 Transit Vehicle Manufacturer Certification

Each Transit Vehicle Manufacturer (TVM) will certify that they have complied with the requirements of $49 C F R \S 26.49$ as a condition of bidding or proposing on FTA-assisted transit vehicle procurements. TVMs must obtain FTA approval of an annual DBE participation goal and the principles underlying 49 CFR $\S 26.45$ shall be used as a guide in setting this goal. TVMs shall provide Santa Cruz METRO a copy of the FTA approval of their annual goal. Alternatively, Santa Cruz METRO may, at its discretion and with FTA approval, establish project-specific goals for DBE participation in the procurement of transit vehicles in lieu of TVM compliance with this part of the program.

### 6.05 Quotas, Set-Asides or Preferences

Santa Cruz METRO will not use quotas, set-asides, or preferences in the design or administration of this DBE program.
6.06 Good Faith Efforts when a DBE is replaced on a contract
A. The Santa Cruz METRO will require a contractor to make good faith efforts to replace a DBE that is terminated or has otherwise failed to complete its work on a contract with another certified DBE, to the extent needed to meet the contract goal. The Santa Cruz METRO will require the prime contractor to notify the DBE Liaison Officer immediately of a DBE's inability or unwillingness to perform on a contract and will require reasonable documentation of contract non-performance when a DBE is replaced.
B. In this situation, Santa Cruz METRO requires the prime contractor to obtain METRO's prior written approval of the substitute DBE and to provide copies of new or amended subcontracts or documentation of good faith efforts when the prime contractor fails to replace a DBE certified contractor with another certified DBE on a contract. The prime contractor shall not terminate for convenience a DBE subcontractor or an approved substitute DBE firm and then perform the work of the
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terminated subcontract with its own forces or those of an affiliate without Santa Cruz METRO's prior written consent.
C. If the contractor fails to comply with this requirement for DBE replacement on a contract, Santa Cruz METRO will issue a "Stop Work Order" and suspend payments until satisfactory action has been taken. If the contractor still fails to comply, Santa Cruz METRO may initiate a "Termination for Default Proceeding."

### 6.07 Determining and Meeting DBE Participation Goals

A. DBE participation in a contract will be counted as only the value of the work actually performed by the DBE toward DBE goals. Santa Cruz METRO shall count the entire amount of that portion of the contract that is performed by the DBE's own forces. The cost of supplies and materials obtained by the DBE for the work of the contract, including supplies purchased or equipment leased by the DBE (except supplies and equipment the DBE subcontractor purchases or leases from the prime contractor or its affiliates) shall also be included.
B. The entire amount of fees or commissions charged by a DBE firm for providing a bona fide service, such as professional, technical, consultant, or managerial services or for providing bonds or insurance specifically required for the performance of a DOT-assisted contract toward DBE goals shall be counted provided Santa Cruz METRO determines that the fees are reasonable and not excessive as compared with fees customarily allowed for similar services.
C. If a DBE subcontracts work to another DBE and not a non-DBE, that work shall be counted toward DBE goals. When a DBE participates in a joint venture, the portion of the total dollar value of the contract equal to the distinct, clearly defined portion of the work of the contract that the DBE performs with its own forces shall be counted toward DBE goals. Only expenditures to a DBE contractor that are a commercially useful function of the contract shall be counted toward DBE goals.
D. Santa Cruz METRO will count all expenditures with DBEs for materials or supplies provided that the materials or supplies are obtained from a DBE manufacturer (100\% of the expenditures) or from a DBE regular dealer ( $60 \%$ of the expenditures).
E. If a firm is not currently certified as a DBE, Santa Cruz METRO will not count the firm's participation toward any DBE goals except as allowed by the DBE regulations. Santa Cruz METRO will not count the dollar value of work performed under a contract with a firm after it has ceased to be certified as a DBE contractor. Santa Cruz METRO will not count participation of a DBE subcontractor toward the prime contractor's DBE achievements or Santa Cruz METRO’s overall goal until the amount being counted has been paid to the DBE.
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## VII. Required Contract Provisions

### 7.01 General Conditions of the Contract

Santa Cruz METRO will include the following clause in every DOT-assisted contract and subcontract:

The contractor, sub recipient or subcontractor shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex in the performance of this contract. The contractor shall carry out applicable requirements of 49 CFR part 26 in the award and administration of DOT-assisted contracts. Failure by the contractor to carry out these requirements is a material breach of this contract, which may result in the termination of this contract or such other remedy as the recipient deems appropriate.

### 7.02 Prompt Progress Payment (49 CFR §26.29)

A. Santa Cruz METRO will include the following clauses in each DOT-assisted prime contract:

A prime contractor or subcontractor shall pay to any subcontractor not later than 10 days of receipt of each progress payment, in accordance with the provision in Section 7108.5 of the California Business and Professions Code concerning prompt payment to subcontractors. The 10-days are applicable unless a longer period is agreed to in writing. Any delay or postponement of payment over 30-days may take place only for good cause and with Santa Cruz METRO prior written approval. Any violation of Section 7108.5 shall subject the violating contractor or subcontractor to the penalties, sanctions, and other remedies of that Section. This clause applies to both DBE and non-DBE subcontractors.

If there is a good faith dispute over all or any portion of the amount due on a progress payment from the prime contractor or subcontractor to a subcontractor, the prime contractor or subcontractor may withhold no more than 150 percent of the disputed amount.

The prime contractor must maintain records of all subcontracts entered into with DBEs and records of materials purchases from DBE suppliers. Such records shall show the name and business address of each DBE subcontractor or vendor and the total dollar amount actually paid to each DBE subcontractor or vendor.

The prime contractor must also submit to the Project Manager's office an affidavit certifying the payment was made to the DBE subcontractor or supplier to be signed by both the prime contractor and the DBE. Such an affidavit must be submitted during the project any time a progress or final payment is made to a DBE, and when any retainage held is returned. A summary certification affidavit must be submitted at the completion of the project.

## Attachment A SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

B. Prime subcontractors must include the prompt payment language set forth above in all subcontracts, regardless of subcontractor's DBE status.
C. Failure of a prime contractor to uphold prompt payment requirements for subcontractors will result in Santa Cruz METRO withholding reimbursement for completed work.

## VIII. Monitoring and Enforcement

8.01 The Santa Cruz METRO will take the following monitoring and enforcement mechanisms to ensure compliance with 49 CFR Part 26:
A. Santa Cruz METRO will bring to the attention of the Department of Transportation (DOT) any false, fraudulent, or dishonest conduct in connection with the program, so that DOT can take the steps (e.g., referral to the Department of Justice for criminal prosecution, referral to the DOT Inspector General, action under suspension and debarment or Program Fraud and Civil Penalties rules) provided in 49 CFR 26.109;
B. Santa Cruz METRO will consider similar action under its own legal authority including responsibility determinations in future contracts. Attachment D lists the regulation, provisions and contract remedies available to Santa Cruz METRO in the events of non-compliance with the DBE regulation by a participant in Santa Cruz METRO procurement activities;
C. Santa Cruz METRO will provide a monitoring and enforcement mechanism to verify that work committee to DBEs at contract award is actually performed by the DBEs. This will be accomplished by the Project Manager confirming that the work set forth to be performed by the DBE is actually performed by the DBE through visual observation and firm identify confirmation. The Project Manager/Contract Administrator will keep a running tally of actual payments to DBE firms for work committed to them at the time of contract award. The Finance Department shall track the actual DBE participation through contractor and subcontractor reports of payments, and other appropriate monitoring. The DBELO will ensure that DBE participation is counted toward the overall annual goal in accordance with the federal regulations. The DBELO will perform interim audits of contract payments to DBEs. The audit will review payments to DBE subcontractors to ensure that the actual amount paid to DBE subcontractors equals or exceeds the dollar amounts stated in the schedule of DBE participation.

### 8.02 Monitoring Payments to DBEs

A. Santa Cruz METRO will require prime contractors to maintain records and documents of payments to DBEs for three years following the performance of the contract. These records will be made available for inspection upon request by any authorized representative of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District or DOT. This reporting requirement also extends to any certified DBE subcontractor.
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B. Santa Cruz METRO will keep a running tally of actual payments to DBE firms for work committed to them at the time of contract award.
C. Santa Cruz METRO will perform interim audits of contract payments to DBEs. The audit will review payments to DBE subcontractors to ensure that the actual amount paid to DBE subcontractors equals or exceeds the dollar amounts stated in the schedule of DBE participation.

## IX. Certification Standards

### 9.01 No In-House Certification Process

Santa Cruz METRO will use the certification standards of Subpart D of 49 CFR Part 26 to determine the eligibility of firms to participate as DBEs in DOT-assisted contracts. To be certified as a DBE, a firm must meet all certification eligibility standards. Santa Cruz METRO is a non-certifying member of the California Unified Certification Program (UCP) administered by the California Department of Transportation (CalTrans). The Caltrans DBE certification program has been approved by the Federal Highway Administration as meeting the standards of 49 CFR Part 26. The Caltrans Office of Civil rights ensures that applicants requesting certified DBE status adequately demonstrate that they are members of a socially and economically disadvantaged group listed in 49 CFR §26.67 and that the firm which they control is an existing small business as defined by the Small Business Administration (SBA) standards. In addition, the bidder/offeror must submit the required application and a signed and notarized statement of personal net worth with appropriate supporting documentation.

Applications forms for certification as a DBE and a directory of certified DBE firms are available at the Caltrans Office of Civil Rights web page, http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/bep/.

### 9.02 Certification Appeals

In the event Santa Cruz METRO propose removing a DBE’s certification, Santa Cruz METRO will coordinate with the Caltrans Office of Civil Rights and follow procedures consistent with 49 CFR §26.87.
*If a firm's request for certification is denied or a firm is decertified, it may not reapply for certification through Caltrans until twelve months have elapsed from the initial action.

Any firm or complainant may appeal the decision in a certification matter to the US Department of Transporation. Such appeals may be sent to:

Department of Transportation<br>Office of Civil Rights<br>1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE<br>Washington, DC 20590
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METRO will promptly implement any DOT certification appeal decisions affecting the eligibility of DBEs for our DOT-assisted contracting (e.g., certify a firm if DOT has determined that the denial of its application or the de-certification was erroneous).

## Recertification Procedures

METRO will review the eligibility of DBEs that METRO certified under former part 23. METRO will compare its list of previously certified DBEs with the Caltrans vendor directory to verify that a DBE firm meets the standards of Subpart D of 49 CFR Part 26. For vendors not currently listed in the Caltrans DBE vendor directory, METRO will contact the vendor and request that they pursue certification through Caltrans or that they provide evidence of a current certification established by another California DOT recipient or by the Small Business Administration under the SBA 8(a) or small and disadvantaged business program (SDB).

### 9.04 Certification Affidavits and Notices of Change

A. METRO requires all DBEs to provide a written affidavit of any change in its circumstances affecting its ability to meet size, disadvantaged status, ownership or control criteria of 49 CFR Part 26 or of any material changes in the information provided with the current certification while participating in DOT-assisted procurement with the Santa Cruz METRO.
B. Santa Cruz METRO will require owners of certified DBEs under contract to METRO for DOT-assisted procurement to present, on the anniversary date of their certification, a "no change" affidavit meeting the requirements of 49 CFR §26.83(j). The text of this affidavit is the following:

I swear (or affirm) that there have been no changes in the circumstances of NAME of DBE firm affecting its ability to meet the size, disadvantaged status, ownership, or control requirements of 49 CFR part 26. There have been no material changes in the information provided with NAME of DBE firm 's application for certification, except for any changes about which you have provided written notice to the California Department of Transportation Office of Civil Rights under §26.83(i). NAME of DBE firm meets Small Business Administration (SBA) criteria for being a small business concern and its average annual gross receipts (as defined by SBA rules) over the firm's previous three fiscal years do not exceed $\$ 22.41$ million.
C. Santa Cruz METRO requires DBEs to submit documentation of the firm's size and gross receipts along with the required affidavit.
D. Santa Cruz METRO will notify certified DBE firms contracting with Santa Cruz METRO in DOT-assisted procurements of these obligations in August of each year after the new DBE goals have been established. This notification will inform DBEs that in order to submit the "no change" affidavit, their owners must affirm that they
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meet all regulatory requirements of part 26, including personal net worth limitations. Likewise, if a firm's owner knows or should know that he/she, or the firm, fails to meet an eligibility requirement (e.g., personal net worth) under 49 CFR Part 26, the obligation to submit a notice of change applies.

## X. Information Collection and Reporting

### 10.01 Bidders List Records

Santa Cruz METRO will create and maintain a Bidders List. The purpose of the list is to provide Santa Cruz METRO as accurate data as possible about the universe of DBE and Non-DBE contractors and subcontractors who seek to work on federally assisted contracts for use in helping Santa Cruz METRO set overall goals. Santa Cruz METRO will obtain the following information about DBE and Non-DBE contractors and subcontractors who seek to work on Santa Cruz METRO's federally-assisted contracts:
A. Firm names;
B. Firm address;
C. Firm's status as a DBE or non-DBE;
D. Age of the firm; and
E. The annual gross receipts of the firm. Santa Cruz METRO will obtain this information by asking each firm to indicate into what gross receipts bracket they fit (e.g., less than $\$ 500,000$; $\$ 500,000-\$ 1$ million; $\$ 1-2$ million; $\$ 2-5$ million; etc) rather than requesting an exact figure from the firm.

### 10.02 Reporting to DOT

Santa Cruz METRO will continue to provide data about its DBE program to the DOT as directed by the DOT operating administrations. Santa Cruz METRO will report DBE participation to DOT on a quarterly basis using DOT Form 4630. These reports will reflect payments actually made to DBEs on DOT-assisted contracts.

### 10.03 Confidentiality

Santa Cruz METRO will safeguard from disclosure to third parties information that may reasonably be regarded as confidential business information, consistent with Federal, state, and local law. As allowed by Federal and State law, Santa Cruz METRO will not release personal financial information submitted in response to the personal net worth requirement to a third party (other than DOT) without the written consent of the submitter.

## XI. Administration of Regulation

11.01 Santa Cruz METRO will integrate this Policy into its Policies and Procedures.
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11.02 Each METRO Department Manager is responsible to administer within his/her department the DBE Program in accordance with this policy. Additionally, each Contract Administrator shall ensure that the contracts that he/she is responsible are administered and managed in accordance with METRO's DBE Program.

## XII. Appendices

A. Annual Commitment Statement(s)
B. DBE Program Summary
C. Santa Cruz METRO’s Organization Chart
D. Minority-Owned Financial Institutions in California
E. Santa Cruz METRO’s DBE Goal for FFY12 - FFY14
F. California Unified Certification Program for Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE)
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## Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program <br> 49 CFR 26 <br> Policy Statement

The Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) has established a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program in accordance with regulations of the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) 49 CFR 26. Santa Cruz METRO has received Federal financial assistance from the DOT, and as a condition of receiving this assistance, the Santa Cruz METRO has signed an assurance that it will comply with 49 CFR Part 26.

It is the policy of the Santa Cruz METRO to ensure that DBEs as defined in part 26, have an equal opportunity to receive and participate in DOT-assisted contracts. It is also Santa Cruz METRO's Policy:

1. To ensure nondiscrimination in the award and administration of DOT-assisted contracts;
2. To create a level playing field on which DBEs can compete fairly for DOTassisted contracts;
3. To ensure that the DBE Program is narrowly tailored in accordance with applicable law;
4. To ensure that only firms that fully meet 49 CFR Part 26 eligibility standards are permitted to participate as DBEs;
5. To help remove barriers to the participation of DBEs in DOT assisted contracts;
6. To assist the development of firms that can compete successfully in the market place outside the DBE Program.

The General Manager has been delegated as the DBE Liaison Officer. In that capacity, the General Manager is responsible for implementing all aspects of the DBE program.
Implementation of the DBE Program is accorded the same priority as compliance with all other legal obligations incurred by Santa Cruz METRO in its financial assistance agreements with the DOT.

Santa Cruz METRO has disseminated this policy statement to the Santa Cruz METRO Board of Directors and all of the components of the organization. Santa Cruz METRO has distributed this statement to DBE and non-DBE business communities that perform work for Santa Cruz METRO on DOT-assisted contracts by an inclusion in legal advertisements for all procurements.
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## Federal Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program

The Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) has established a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program in accordance with the regulations of the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT). The program purpose is to ensure that DBEs have an equal opportunity to participate in USDOT assisted contracts.

Program guidelines and procedures help to ensure that DBEs have equal opportunity to compete for contracts, subcontracts, and agreements in the award and administration of USDOT assisted contracts.

## Additional program objectives include:

- Ensure nondiscrimination in the award of USDOT assisted contracts.
- Create a level playing field on which DBEs can compete fairly for USDOT assisted contracts.
- Ensure that a firm meets federal eligibility standards (49 CFR Part 26) prior to participation in the DBE Program.
- Assist in identifying and removing barriers to participation for DBEs in federally assisted contracts.
- Assist in the development of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises, in order to increase their competitiveness in the market place.


## How to participate:

Firms seeking to be certified as a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) should contact the state of California Office of Business and Economic Opportunity. http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/bep/business_forms.htm

## Firms may also contact:

The Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA), Office of Small and Disadvantaged Businesses in San Jose, California for information on the eligibility criteria, instructions and certification materials. Their offices are located at 3331 N. First St., Bldg. A, San Jose, CA 95134, or they can be reached at (408) 321-5962.
*If your firm has its principal place of business in another state and is currently certified in that state, please contact the California Department of Transportation (DOT).

## Attachment A

Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District

See Budget for details

Attachment A


Attachment A

7.a25

Attachment A

7.226

Attachment A
Human Resources Department

7.227

Attachment A
Information Technology Services


Attachment A
Office of District Counsel

7.229

Attachment A
Facilities Maintenance 2200


Attachment A


Attachment A


Attachment A


## Attachment A




Federal Reserve Statistical Release

Minority-Owned Banks
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MINORITY OWNED FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS and THEIR BRANCHES as of September 30, 2011 SORTED by STATE - ( Assets and Deposits in Thousands )

| Bank/Branch Name | Location | ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chtr } \\ & \text { Class } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ent } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | BIIC Name | $\operatorname{Min}$ $\mathrm{Cd}$ | Minarity Ownership Dt | Established Dt | Assets | Deposits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALAMERICA BK | BIRMINGHAM, AL | 2877484 | 207 | NMB | ALAMERICA BANCCORP | 1 | 2002-10-24 | 2000~01-28 | \$33,770 | \$20,221 |
| COMMONWEALH NB | MOBLLE AL | 578237 | 117 | NAI | CNBBC | 1 | 1976-02-19 | 1976-02-19 | \$68,021 | \$61,473 |
| COMMONWEALTH <br> NB , CRICHTON BR | MOBLE, AL | 2627812 |  |  |  |  | 1999-07.09 | 1997-11-01 |  |  |
| COMMONWEALIH NB , DOWNTOWN BR | MOBILE, AL. | 4231521 |  |  |  |  | 2009-05-21 | 2009-05-21 |  |  |
| COMMONWEALTH <br> NB. WIL SON AVE BR | PRICHARD, AL | 2314943 |  |  |  |  | 1995-06-16 | 1995-06-16 |  |  |
| FIRST TUSKEGEE BK | TUSKEGEE, AI. | 1897168 | 207 | NMB | BIRTHRIGHT | 1 | 1991-10-12 | 1991-10-12 | \$66,726 | \$57.357 |
| FIRST TUSKEGEE BK , DOWNTOWN BR | MONTGOMERY, AL. | 2400378 |  |  |  |  | 1996-01-09 | 1996-01-09 |  |  |
| FIRSI TUSKEGEE BK. EASTDALE CIRCLEBR | MONIGOMERY, AL | 3600009 |  |  |  |  | 2007.04-30 | 2007-04-30 |  |  |
| SLOCOMB NB | SLOCOME, AL | 244037 | 117 | NAT | SNB HOLD | 5 | 2002-01-02 | 1905-10-01 | \$73.957 | \$63.527 |
| SLOCOMB NB, DOTHAN BR | DOTHAN. AL | 3642399 |  |  |  |  | 2007-03-12 | 2007-03-12 |  |  |
| AMERICAN PLUS BK NA | ARCADIA. CA | 3623110 | 117 | NAT |  | 20 | 2008-11-01 | 2007-08-08 | \$190,973 | \$149,037 |
| AMERICAN PLUS BK NA, ROWLAND HGIS BR | ROWLAND HEIGIFTS. CA | 4094173 |  |  |  |  | 2009-08-15 | 2009-08-15 |  |  |
| AMERICAS UNITED BK | GLENDALE, CA | 3488980 | 207 | NMB |  | 10 | 2007-01-11 | 2006-11-06 | \$101,954 | \$76.564 |
| ASIAN PACIFIC NB | SAN GABRIEL, CA | 1462986 | 117 | NAI |  | 20 | 2004-02-03 | 1990-07-25 | \$51,997 | \$43,576 |
| ASIAN PACIFIC NB. ROWLANDHGTS RGNL OFF | ROWLAND HEGGHIS, CA | 2641854 |  |  |  |  | 2004-02-03 | 1997-12-03 |  |  |
| BANK OF THE ORIENT | SAN FRANCISCO. CA | 777366 | 217 | SMB | ORIENT BC | 20 | 1992-09-72 | 1971-03-17 | 5579.523 | \$480,397 |
| BANK OF THE ORIENT, BANK OF THE ORIENT XIAMEN BR | XIAMEN, 0 | 778961 |  |  |  |  | 1992-09-22 | 1987-04-17 |  |  |
| BaNK OF THE ORIENT, HONOLULU | HONOLULU, HI | 2988746 |  |  |  |  | 2000-10-14 | 2000-10-14 |  |  |



DOWNTOWN BR

| BANK OF THE | HONOLULU, HI | 967064 |  |  |  |  | 2000-10-14 | 1982-05-10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORIENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HONOLULU MANOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BANK OF THE | HONOLULU, HI | 3967382 |  |  |  |  | 2009-09-21 | 2009-09-21 |  |  |
| ORIENT, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HONOLULU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAUNAKEA BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BANK OF THE | Mill brate, CA | 2961682 |  |  |  |  | 1999-11-15 | 1999-11-15 |  |  |
| ORIENT, MILL BRAE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BANK OF THE | OAKLAND, CA | 779463 |  |  |  |  | 1992-09-22 | 1981-02-05 |  |  |
| ORIENT, OAKLAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BANK OF THE | SAN FRANCISCO, | 776864 |  |  |  |  | 1992-09-22 | 1972-12-01 |  |  |
| ORIENT, SAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRANCISCO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHINATOWN BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BANK OF THE | SAN FRANCISCO, | 210368 |  |  |  |  | 1992-09-22 | 1975-02-26 |  |  |
| ORIENT, SAN | CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRANCISCO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLEMENT \& 67HBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BANK OF THE | SAN FRANCISCO, | 2543556 |  |  |  |  | 1997-02-24 | 1997-02-24 |  |  |
| ORIENT, SAN | CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRANCISCO IRVING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ST BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BANK OF WHITTIER | WHITTER. CA | 209362 | 117 | NAT | GREATER | 20 | 2011-01-19 | 1982-12-20 | \$48,588 | \$39.951 |
| NA |  |  |  |  | PACIFIC BSHRS |  |  |  |  |  |
| BORREGO SPRINGS | LA MESA, CA | 962265 | 117 | NAI | AMERICAN | 30 | 1996-12-24 | 1982-11-01 | \$133,761 | \$117.818 |
| BK NA |  |  |  |  | HERITAGE HOLD |  |  |  |  |  |
| BORREGO SPRINGS | BORREGO | 3512045 |  |  |  |  | 1997-05-05 | 1997-05-05 |  |  |
| BK NA , BORREGO | SPRINGS. CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPRINGS BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BORREGO SPRINGS | IA MESA, CA | 3682911 |  |  |  |  | 2007-06-30 | 2007-06-30 |  |  |
| BK NA , LA MESA BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BORREGO SPRINGS | ALPINE, CA | 2704722 |  |  |  |  | 1998-05-13 | 1998-05-13 |  |  |
| BK NA . VIEJAS BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIRCLE BK | NOVAIO, CA | 1436473 | 207 | NMB |  | 5 | 2006-11-15 | 1990-01-22 | \$315.708 | \$234,030 |
| CIRCLEBK, CORTE | CORTE MADERA. | 4276513 |  |  |  |  | 2011-04-07 | 2011-04-07 |  |  |
| MADERA BR | CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIRCLE BK, NOE | SAN FRANCISCO, | 4185110 |  |  |  |  | 2009-06-30 | 2009-06-30 |  |  |
| VALLEY BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIRCLEBK | PETALUMA, CA | 3522062 |  |  |  |  | 2006-11-15 | 2005-06-30 |  |  |
| PETALUMA BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIRCLE BK, SAN | SAN RAFAEL, CA | 3522053 |  |  |  |  | 2006-11-15 | 2005-06-30 |  |  |
| RAFAEL BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIRCIE BK. SANTA | SANTA ROSA. CA | 3553758 |  |  |  |  | 2006-12-13 | 2006-12-13 |  |  |
| ROSABR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMMUNIIY CMRC | CLAREMONT, CA | 299868 | 207 | NMB |  | 10 | 2002-07-31 | 1976-10.01 | \$329.420 | \$256,792 |
| BK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMMUNITY CMRC | MONTEREY | 3516119 |  |  |  |  | 2005-06-30 | 2005-06-30 |  |  |
| BK , MONIEREY | PARK. CA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PARK BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMMUNITY CMRC | OCEANSIDE CA | 577865 |  |  |  |  | 2002-07-31 | 1980-09-01 |  |  |
| BK. OCEANSIDEBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| COMMUNTY CMRC BK. OL YMPIC BR | LOS ANGELES, CA | 1369049 |  |  |  |  | 2002-07-31 | 1985-04-01 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMMUNII Y CMRC BK, TUSTIN BR | IUSTIN. CA | 515661 |  |  |  |  | 2002-07-31 | 1978-06-01 |  |  |
| COMMUNITY CMRC BK, WOODLAND HLLS BR | WOODLAND HILLS, CA | 392862 |  |  |  |  | 2002-07-31 | 1979-11-01 |  |  |
| EASIERN INTL BK | LOS ANGELES. CA | 206772 | 207 | NMB |  | 20 | 1990-06-29 | 1985-02-27 | \$124.060 | \$108.846 |
| EASTERN INTL BK . LOS ANGELES BR | AlHAMBRA. CA | 1462445 |  |  |  |  | 1990-06-29 | 1990-06-29 |  |  |
| EVERGREEN INTI. BK | LONG BEAClL CA | 3598218 | 207 | NMB |  | 20 | 2007-06-01 | 2007-05-07 | \$41,005 | \$30,653 |
| FIRST CHOICE BK | CERRITOS, CA | 3374878 | 207 | NMB |  | 20 | 2006-10-18 | 2005-08-18 | \$232,422 | \$200,936 |
| FIRST CHOICEBK. ALHAMBRA BR | ALHAMBRA, CA | 4201681 |  |  |  |  | 2009-12-31 | 2009-12-31 |  |  |
| FIRST GEN BK | ROWLAND HEIGHTS. CA | 3386264 | 207 | NMB |  | 20 | 2009-03-12 | 2005-10-13 | \$510.810 | \$448,933 |
| FIRST GENBK, ARCADIA BR | ARCADIA. CA | 3628450 |  |  |  |  | 2009-03-12 | 2006-06-30 |  |  |
| FIRST GEN BK . <br> ARCADIA <br> HUNTINGTON BR | ARCADIA, CA | 3175619 |  |  |  |  | 2011-02-01 | 2003-07-07 |  |  |
| FIRST GEN BK, IRVINE BR | IRVINE, CA | 4224260 |  |  |  |  | 2010-12-08 | 2010-12-08 |  |  |
| FIRST GEN BK. ROSEMEAD BR | ROSEMEAD, CA | 613464 |  |  |  |  | 2011-08-24 | 1982-12-09 |  |  |
| FIRSI GEN BK, SAN GABRIEL BR | SAN GABRIEL. CA | 4363002 |  |  |  |  | 2011-06-30 | 2011-06-30 |  |  |
| METRO UNITED BK | SAN DIEGO, CA | 1864601 | 207 | NMB | METROCORP BSHRS | 20 | 1993-07-23 | 1991-05-15 | \$388.986 | \$315,577 |
| MEIRO UNITED BK . CIT Y OF IND METRO UNITED BR | CITY OF INDUSTRY, CA | 3683084 |  |  |  |  | 2007-06-30 | 2007-06-30 |  |  |
| METROUNITEDBK . IRVINE BR | IRVINE, CA | 2607878 |  |  |  |  | 1997-05-78 | 1997-05-28 |  |  |
| METRO UNITED BK . LOS ANGELES BR | Al HAMBRA, CA | 2818599 |  |  |  |  | 1999-06-01 | 1999-06-01 |  |  |
| METRO UNITEDBK. <br> SAN DIEGO <br> CLAIRMONI MESA BR | SAN DIEGO, CA | 3629998 |  |  |  |  | 2006-06-30 | 2006-06-30 |  |  |
| METRO UNTIED BK . <br> SAN FRANCISCO <br> VAN NESS BR | SAN FRANCISCO, CA | 3683093 |  |  |  |  | 2007-06-30 | 2007-06-30 |  |  |
| METRO UNTIED BK, SAN MATEO NORTHERN CA BR | SAN MATEO, CA | 3683105 |  |  |  |  | 2007-06-30 | 2007-06-30 |  |  |
| METROPOLITAN BK | OAKLAND, CA | 534466 | 207 | NMB | MET FC | 20 | 1986-06-09 | 1983-09-01 | \$141,614 | \$117.450 |
| MEIROPOLITAN BK OAKLAND CHINATOWN BR | OAKLAND. CA | 2607823 |  |  |  |  | 1997-07-01 | 1997-07-01 |  |  |
| METROPOLITAN BK , SAN FRANCISCO BR | SAN FRANCISCO, CA | 2099370 |  |  |  |  | 1993-09-18 | 1993-09-18 |  |  |



| MEIROPOLITAN BK SAN JOSE BR | SAN JOSE, CA | 1189014 |  |  |  |  | 1988-05-02 | 1988-05-02 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MISSION NB | SAN FRANCISCO. CA | 519360 | 117 | NAT | MNB HC | 20 | 1982-02-16 | 1982-02-16 | \$193.274 | \$170.884 |
| MISSION NB . BERKELEY EIMWOOD BR | BERKELEY, CA | 1451878 |  |  |  |  | 1990-05-19 | 1990-05-19 |  |  |
| MISSION NB . SAN FRANCISCO MISSION ST BR | SAN FRANCISCO, CA | 3243769 |  |  |  |  | 2004-02-09 | 2004-02-09 |  |  |
| NEW OMNI BK NA | ALHAMBRA, CA | 300063 | 117 | NAT |  | 20 | 1980-04-11 | 1980-02-12 | \$184.053 | \$155.835 |
| NEW OMNI BK NA, ALHAMBRABR | ALHAMBRA, CA | 3630387 |  |  |  |  | 2006-06-30 | 2006-06-30 |  |  |
| NEW OMNI BK NA. MONTEREY PARK BR. | MONTEREY <br> PARK, CA | 1892686 |  |  |  |  | 1991-09-13 | 1991-09-13 |  |  |
| NEW OMNI BK NA, ROWLANDHGTS BR | ROWLAND HEEGHTS, CA | 302160 |  |  |  |  | 1983-04-12 | 1983-04-12 |  |  |
| NEW OMNI BK NA, SAN JOSE BR | SAN JOSE, CA | 1999428 |  |  |  |  | 1992-09-08 | 1992-09-08 |  |  |
| PACIFIC ALLI BK | ROSEMEAD, CA | 3546862 | 207 | NMB |  | 20 | 2007-10-04 | 2006-12-27 | \$108.521 | \$91,214 |
| PACIFIC CMRC BK | LOS ANGELES, CA | 3143805 | 217 | SMB |  | 20 | 2006-09-15 | 2002-10-10 | \$175.509 | \$156,520 |
| PACIFIC CMRC BK , <br> WEST LOS <br> ANGELES OFF | LOS ANGEEES. CA | 3392117 |  |  |  |  | 2006-09-15 | 2005-09-30 |  |  |
| PAN AMER BK | LOS ANGELES. CA | 641364 | 207 | NMB |  | 10 | 1971-07-01 | 1971-07-01 | \$41,825 | \$39,867 |
| PAN AMER BK, LOS ANGELES WHIT TIER BR | los angeles, CA | 751160 |  |  |  |  | 1981-11-02 | 1968-04-22 |  |  |
| PAN AMER BK, SANTA ANA MAIN BR | SANTA ANA, CA | 1922855 |  |  |  |  | 1981-11-02 | 1981-11-02 |  |  |
| PREMIER BUS BK | LOS ANGELES, CA | 3459346 | 207 | NMB |  | 20 | 2006-11-15 | 2006-07-25 | \$94,040 | \$78,362 |
| PROMERICA BK | LOS ANGELES, CA | 3462074 | 207 | NMB |  | 10 | 2007-01-11 | 2006-11-07 | \$130.078 | \$106.635 |
| SAEHAN BK | LOS ANGELES. CA | 1865680 | 207 | NMB | SAEHAN BC | 20 | 2004-02-26 | 1991-06-12 | \$562.945 | \$477,827 |
| SAEHAN BK . FULEERTON BR | BUENA PARK, CA | 2757764 |  |  |  |  | 2004-02-26 | 1998-11-12 |  |  |
| SAEHAN BK, GARDENA BR | TORRANCE, CA | 3473276 |  |  |  |  | 2006-06-26 | 2006-06-26 |  |  |
| SAEHAN BK . IRVINE BR | IRVINE CA | 3590669 |  |  |  |  | 2007-01-22 | 2007.01-22 |  |  |
| SAEHAN BK, LA CRESCENTA BR | LA CRESCENTA. CA | 2950499 |  |  |  |  | 2004-02-26 | 2000-09-12 |  |  |
| SAEHAN BK . LOS ANGELES DOWNTOWN BR | LOS ANGELES. CA | 2455211 |  |  |  |  | 2004-02-26 | 1996-04-12 |  |  |
| SAEHANBK, LOS ANGELES WILSHIRE BR | los angeles, CA | 3167427 |  |  |  |  | 2004-02-26 | 2001-11~13 |  |  |

FRB: Minority-Owned Financial Institutions--September 30At4aChmeht://Aww.federalreserve.gov/releases $/ \mathrm{mob} /$ current $/$ default.htm
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## Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District

# Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program FFY12- FFY14 Goal 
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## PUBLIC NOTICE

## DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE

## GOAL FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS 2012-2014(FFY12-FFY14)

Notice is hereby given that the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) is proposing a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal of $\mathbf{1 . 5 4 \%}$ for U.S. Department of Transportation assisted contracts for FFY12-FFY14. This goal has been set based upon information currently available. As part of this program, Santa Cruz METRO must establish a goal for DBE participation in all new contracts that are eligible to be funded with federal funds. A DBE firm is defined as a for-profit "small business concern" that is at least 51 percent owned and controlled by one or more socially and economically disadvantaged individuals. California DBE firms are certified as such through the California United Certification Program (UCP).

It is the policy of Santa Cruz METRO to ensure nondiscrimination on the basis of race, color, sex, national origin, disability and/or sexual orientation in the award and administration of DOT-assisted contracts. It is the intention of Santa Cruz METRO to create a level playing field on which DBEs can compete fairly for DOT-assisted contracts.

The rationale for this goal and supporting information regarding Santa Cruz METRO's DBE Program will be available for public inspection at Santa Cruz METRO's Administrative Offices, 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060. These documents are available for inspection from 8 am to 5 pm , Monday through Friday, from May 9, 2011 through June 23, 2011 at the above address, on METRO's website www.scmtd.com and at the Santa Cruz Central Branch Library, 224 Church Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060 and the Watsonville Main Library, 275 Main Street, Watsonville, CA 95076, during regular library hours.

Santa Cruz METRO and the Federal 'Transit Administration will accept written comments on Santa Cruz METRO's proposed DBE goal and its rationale for 45 days from the date of this notice. Comments should be submitted to Angela Aitken, Acting Assistant General Manager and Finance Manager, at the address listed above, or by email: DBE@scmtd.com or to the Federal Transit Administration, Civil Rights Office, Region IX, 201 Mission Street, Suite 1650, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Santa Cruz METRO will hold a Public Hearing on its FFY12-FFY14 DBE goal at 9:00 am on June 24, 2011 at the Santa Cruz City Council Chambers at 809 Center Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060. Members of the public may address Santa Cruz METRO's Board of Directors at this meeting.
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## Methodology For Calculating the Proposed DBE Goal for

 Federal Fiscal Year 2012 - Federal Fiscal Year 2014 (FTY12-FFY14)Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) is proposing an overall Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal of $1.54 \%$ for U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration (FTA) assisted contracts in federal fiscal years 2012-2014 (FFY12-FFY14). The FTA requires that any recipient of more than $\$ 250,000$ in FTA funds annually must have a DBE goal. Santa Cruz METRO will receive approximately $\$ 5$ million in FTA funding in FFY12 and will set a DBE goal as it has for more than 20 years.

The FTA recently shifted from a requirement to calculate annually the DBE goal to calculating it every three years. Santa Cruz METRO complied with the requirement to calculate an annual goal through FFY11 and will shift to a three-year goal with the FFY12-FFY14 goal. Since Santa Cruz METRO's future budgets are uncertain at this time due to possible service reductions, fare increases and staffing modifications, the type and amount of contracted work may change in the outer years. Staff calculated the threeyear DBE goal based upon its known FY12 budget. Santa Cruz METRO will adjust its DBE goal, if necessary, when future budgets are known.

The Code of Federal Regulations, Title 49 Section 26 (49 CFR 26) describes several methods to calculate a DBE goal. The method staff chose to obtain Santa Cruz METRO's FFY12-FFY14 goal specifies that a simple percentage of DBE vendors in the contracted types of work be weighted by the proportion of total contract opportunities in that type of work as shown in the overall budget to determine a base goal. The base goal is to be further adjusted, as appropriate, to reflect the recipient's actual experience or other qualifying factors to narrowly tailor the goal to market conditions. The final goal is expressed as both the percentage and the amount of federal dollars in DBE contract opportunities.

To calculate Santa Cruz METRO's proposed DBE Goal for FFY12-FFY14, staff used data from Santa Cruz METRO's FY12 budget, the latest (2008) US Bureau of Census County Business Patterns (CBP) database and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) database of all statewide, certified DBE vendors. Both the CBP and Caltrans DBE vendor database offer vendor groupings according to the type of work they perform as categorized by the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), which provides a two to six-digit number corresponding to every known type of work performed by businesses in North America. In order to compare data from all three data sources using a common classification, staff identified all of the goods and
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services that Santa Cruz METRO typically contracts by a corresponding NAICS code. The following text and tables describe the steps taken to calculate the goal.

First, staff examined Santa Cruz METRO's FY12 Operating and Capital Budget (Budget) to determine the type and amount of contracts which could be awarded from the operating and capital budgets. From an operating budget of $\$ 38,627,918$, potential contract opportunities equal $\$ 6,589,098$. There were no contract opportunities in the Capital Budget. The FTA provides $\$ 5,069,208$ in operating assistance and no capital funding assistance. The following table shows the calculations for the first step.

Santa Cruz METRO DBE Goal Calculation for FFY12 - FFY14 (FFY12 Goal calculation as basis for three-year goal)

Step 1: Sarta Cruz METRO FY12 Operating Budget Contract Opportunities ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$

|  | (A) <br> Total |  | (B) <br> \$ Procurement in Operating Budget |  | (C) <br> \% Procurement in Operating Budge! |  | (D) <br> FA Operating Assisłance |  | perating ance ements (D) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY12 Operating Budget | 5 | 38,627,918 | 5 | 6,589,098 | 17.06\% | \$ | 5,069,208 | \$ | 364,699 |

${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ Santa Cruz METRO's FY 12 Canital Budget has no federally-funded contract opportunities

Second, staff identified every piece of potential contract work in the Budget by a corresponding NAICS code. Staff aggregated the types of work into the four broader Procurement Groups of Construction, Transportation, Wholesale and Services identified in the Step 2 table below. The four Procurement Groups derived from all contract opportunities are shown in the following table by dollar amount and percentage of total contract opportunities in each Procurement Group.

Step 2. Santa Cruz METRO FY12 Operating Budget Contract Opportunities by Procurement Group

| Procurement Group | (1) <br> Construction |  | (2) <br> Transport |  | (3) Wholesale |  | (4) Services |  | (5) <br> Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S in Group (FY12 Budget) | \$ | 99,999 | \$ | 250,000 | 5 | 3,511,023 | 5 | 2,728,076 | S | 6,589,098 |
| \% of All Procurements in Group |  | 1.52\% |  | 3.79\% |  | 53.29\% |  | 41.40\% |  | 100.00\% |
| $\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { \$FA in Group } \\ {[(1) \% \text { Step 1. (E) }}\end{array}\right.$ | 5 | 13,123 | $\$$ | 32,808 | \$ | 460,758 | \$ | 358.010 | \$ | 864,699 |
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Santa Cruz METRO

Third, staff determined the market area from which vendors who bid on Santa Cruz METRO contracts would likely be chosen. Since it is conceivable that a vendor may come from as far north as Sonoma, or as far south as Santa Barbara for the award of a large contract, staff included all of Caltrans Districts 04 and 05 when conducting its DBE calculation. In addition, staff included any DBE vendor in the state that indicated that they perform work in Districts 04 and 05, regardless of the vendor's location. The following fourteen counties fall within Caltrans Districts 04 and 05, creating Santa Cruz METRO's market area:

- Alameda County
- Contra Costa County
- Marin County
- Monterey County
- Napa County
- San Benito County
- San Francisco County
- San Luis Obispo County
- San Mateo County
- Santa Barbara County
- Santa Clara County
- Santa Cruz County
- Solano County
- Sonoma County

After determining the market area, staff extracted a count of all vendors in the market area from the County Business Patterns (CBP) database by using the same NAICS code attached to each piece of potential contract work in the Santa Cruz METRO FY12 Operating Budget. Next, staff performed exactly the same operation on the Caltrans DBE vendor directory to obtain a count of DBE vendors in the Santa Cruz METRO market area. The count of all vendors, DBE vendors and the percentage DBE vendors by Procurement Group in Santa Cruz METRO's market area are shown in the following Step 3 table.
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Step 3. Santa Cncz METRO Market Area Firms by NAICS in Procurement Groups Total Firms and Certified DBEs

| County | (1) <br> Construction | (2) <br> Transportation | (3) <br> Wholesale | (4) Services | \#Firms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2382 | 485991 | $\begin{gathered} 221210,323110 \\ 423120,423130 \\ 424120,424720 \\ 8112 \end{gathered}$ | 522190.5241 <br> 541, 561612, 561720,562112 621111.8111 | in NAICS |
| Caltrans District $04 \sim$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alameda | 671 | 17 | 1,492 | 7,612 | 9,792 |
| Contra Costa | 471 | 6 | 734 | 5.040 | 6,251 |
| Marin | 181 | 7 | 260 | 2,353 | 2801 |
| Napa | 85 | 1 | 106 | 692 | 884 |
| San Francisco | 377 | 18 | 573 | 7,448 | 8.416 |
| San Mateo | 427 | 20 | 743 | 4.179 | 5369 |
| Santa Clara | 797 | 16 | 1,448 | 10,822 | 13,083 |
| Solano Sonoma | 190 | 6 | 318 | 1.047 | 1,561 |
|  | 393 | 6 | 461 | 2383 | 3,243 |
| Caltrans District $05 \times 1$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monterey | 219 | 0 | 325 | 1,448 | 1.992 |
| San Berito | 39 | 0 | 33 | 135 | 207 |
| San Luis Obispo | 210 | 0 | 267 | 1,486 | 1,963 |
| Santa Bartara | 260 | 4 | 371 | 2201 | 2,836 |
| Santa Criz | 173 | 1 | 210 | 1.358 | 1.742 |
| Districts 04 \& 05 | 4493 | 102 | 7341 | 48.204 | 60.140 |
| Certified DBEs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Area | Construction | Transport. | Wholesale | Services | HDEES |
|  | NAICS | NAICS | NAICS | NAICS | in NAICS |
| District 04 \& 05 <br> \& Statevide DBES | 155 | 6 | 77 | 824 | 1,062 |
| \%DBE | 3.45\% | 5.88\% | 1.05\% | 1.71\% | 1.77\% |

Sources: US Bureau of the Census, County Business Patterns, 2008
CA Dept of Transportation, UCP Directory of DBEs. 3/21/11
Fourth, staff used the DBE percentage obtained above for each Procurement Group and multiplied that by the percentage (weight) of the total work to be performed in that Procurement Group as determined in Step 2. This produced the base DBE goal for FFY12-FFY14.

Step 4: Santa Cruz METRO's FFY12-FFY 14 DEE Goal for FTA Assisted Contracts Weighted Average of DBE Participation by Procurement Group as a Percentage of Total Contracts ${ }^{\text {(2) }}$ \% DBE Participation and Projected \$ FTA in DBE Contract Awards

|  | (1) Construction | (2) <br> Transport | (3) <br> Wholesale | (4) Services |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NAICs | NAICS | NAICS | NAICS | Total |
| \% DBEs in Market Area | 3.45\% | 5.88\% | 1.05\% | 1.71\% | 1.77\% |
| \% Contrad \$ in Group | 1.52\% | 3.79\% | 53.29\% | 41.40\% | 100.00\% |
| Weighted \%DBE (\% Contracts * \%DBE) | 0.05\% | 0.22\% | 0.56\% | 0.71\% | 1.54\% |
| FTA Dollars in DBE Contracts |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$FTA Assistance | \$ 13,123 | \$ $\quad 32.808$ | \$ 460,758 | 5 358,010 | \$ 864,699 |
| \$ FTA in DBE Contracts <br> \% DBE * Total \$ FTA Assistanœ | 20238 | 505.96 | 7.105.78 | 5,521.21 | S 13,335 |

${ }^{(2)}$ Sum of (\% DBE * \% Group in Procurement Budget) for all four Procurement Groups
To obtain the final DBE goal using the chosen method prescribed by 49 CFR 26.45 , staff examined the actual rate of DBE participation during the last four years relative to the adopted DBE goals to determine if the FFY12-FFY14 base goal needed to be adjusted.
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Previous DBE goal attainment ranged from $0 \%$ to $5.4 \%$. Santa Cruz METRO attained $5.4 \%$ DBE participation in FFY07 primarily because of large construction projects with subcontracting opportunities that were awarded for MetroBase construction. Last year (FFY10), Santa Cruz METRO had no large construction contracts available to bid and achieved $0 \%$ DBE participation. The FFY07 5.4\% attainment can be considered an extreme outlier, irrelevant to current contract opportunities and the need to adjust the base goal. FFY08 and FFY09 DBE participation rates were $1.48 \%$ and $2.06 \%$ respectively.

In the previous four years, Santa Cruz METRO adopted goals ranging from $1.32 \%$ to $1.73 \%$. As noted in the narrative for the first step, Santa Cruz METRO's FY12 Capital Budget has no contract opportunities, and specifically no large construction projects which would warrant an upward adjustment to the FFY12-FFY14 goal commensurate with the disparity between FFY07's $5.4 \%$ attainment against a goal of $1.57 \%$. With the calculated rate falling well within the goals of the last four years, staff decided that the calculated goal needed no adjustment; therefore, staff recommends the calculated $1.54 \%$ base goal as the final goal for FFY12-FFY14. At this rate, FTA spending with DBE vendors will total $\$ 13,335$.

If approved by the Board of Directors, Santa Cruz METRO will establish a DBE goal of $1.54 \%$ for FFY12-FFY14. Santa Cruz METRO's proposed DBE Goal for FFY12-FFY14 and supporting documents for Santa Cruz METRO's DBE Program are available for public inspection May 9, 2011 through June 23, 2011 at Santa Cruz METRO's Administrative Offices, 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, on METRO's website www.scmtd.com, at the Santa Cruz Central Branch Library, 224 Church Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060 and at the Watsonville Main Library, 275 Main Street, Watsonville, CA 95076.

## Attachment A



## AVISO AL PÚBLICO

## EMPRESA DE NEGOCIOS EN DESVENTAJA META PARA AÑOS FISCALES FEDERALES 2012-2014 (FFY12-FFY14)

Se hace saber que el Distrito de Tránsito Metropolitano de Santa Cruz, (Santa Cruz METRO) propone una meta conjunta para la Empresa de Negocios en Desventaja (DBE) de 1.54\% para los contratos de asistencia del Departamento de 'Transporte de EE.UU. (U.S. DOT) para FFY12FFY14. Este objetivo se ha creado basándose en la información actualmente disponible. Como parte de este programa, Santa Cruz METRO debe establecer una meta para participación de DBE en todos los contratos nuevos que son elegibles de ser financiados con fondos federales. Una empresa DBE se define como una "empresa pequeña" con fines de lucro que es al menos el 51 porciento de propiedad y controlada por uno o mas individuales con desventaja social y económica. Empresas DBE de California están calificadas como tales a través del Programa de Certificación Unido de California (UCP).
Es la póliza del Santa Cruz METRO de prohibir la discriminación por motivos de raza, color, sexo, origen nacional, discapacidad, y/u orientación sexual en la concesión y administración de contratos asistidos del DOT. Es la intención del Santa Cruz METRO de crear una igualdad de condiciones en la que DBEs pueden competir en condiciones justas para contratos asistidos de DOT.

La base lógica de este objetivo y la información relativa apoyando el programa DBE del Santa Cruz METRO estará disponible para inspección pública en las oficinas administrativas de Santa Cruz METRO, 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060. Estos documentos estarán disponibles para inspección de las 8 am a las 5 pm , lunes a viernes, desde el 9 de mayo, 2011 hasta el 23 de junio, 2011 en la dirección arriba indicada, en el sitio web de METRO www.scmtd.com y en la Biblioteca Central de Santa Cruz, 224 Church Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060 y la Biblioteca Principal de Watsonville, 275 Main Street, Watsonville, CA 95076, durante las horas regulares de las bibliotecas.

Santa Cruz METRO y la Administración Federal de Tránsito aceptarán comentarios por escrito sobre el objetivo propuesto de DBE del Santa Cruz METRO y su razón de ser por 45 días a partir de la fecha de esta notificación. Los comentarios deben ser sometidos a Angela Aitken, Gerente de Finanzas y Asistente Interino al Gerente General, en la dirección arriba indicada, o por correo electrónico: DBE@scmtd.com o a la Administración Federal de Tránsito, Oficina de Derechos Civiles, Región IX, 201 Mission Street, Suite 1650, San Francisco, CA 94105.
Santa Cruz METRO tendrá una Audiencia Pública sobre su meta del FFY12-FFY14 de DBE a las 9:00 am el 24 de junio, 2011 en las Salas de Consejo de la Ciudad de Santa Cruz en 809 Center Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060 . Miembros del público podrán dirigirse a la Junta Directiva del Santa Cruz METRO en esta sesión.
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## METODOLOGÍA DE CÁLCULO DE LA

 META DBE PROPUESTA PARA EL AÑO FISCAL FEDERAL 2012
#### Abstract

El Distrito de Tránsito Metropolitano de Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz METRO), propone una meta conjunta para Empresas de Negocios en Desventaja (DBE) de 1.54\% para los contratos de asistencia del Departamento de Transporte de EE.UU, Administración Federal de Tránsito (FTA) para los años fiscales federales 2012-2014 (FFY12-FFY14). La FTA requiere que cualquier recipiente de más de $\$ 250,000$ en fondos anuales de la FTA debe tener una meta DBE. Santa Cruz METRO recibirá aproximadamente $\$ 5$ millones en fondos de la FTA en FFY12 y establecerá una meta DBE como lo ha hecho por más de 20 años.

Recientemente, la FTA cambio sus requerimientos de calcular la meta DBE anualmente a calcular la meta cada tres años. Santa Cruz METRO cumplió con el requerimiento de calcular la meta anualmente hasta FFY11 y cambiará a la meta de tres años con su meta de FFY12-FFY14. Como el futuro financiero del Santa Cruz METRO es incierto a este tiempo debido a las posibles reducciones de servicio, aumentos de tarifa y modificación de personal, el tipo y cantidad de trabajo contractado puede cambiar en los próximos años. El personal calculó la meta DBE de tres años basada sobre el presupuesto futuro conocido.


El Código de Regulaciones Federales, Título 49 Sección 26 (49 CFR 26) describe varios métodos para calcular una meta DBE. El método que escogió el personal para obtener la meta de FFY12-FFY14 del Santa Cruz METRO dice que un simple porcentaje de proveedores DBE en tipos de trabajo contractado sea pesado por la proporción de oportunidades de contratos totales en ese tipo de trabajo como mostrado en el presupuesto total para determinar un base para la meta. La meta base será ajustada como apropiado para reflejar la experiencia actual del recipiente u otros factores de calificación para que la meta mida con las condiciones de la marqueta. La meta final será expresada como un porcentaje y por cantidad de dólares federales en oportunidades de contratos DBE.

Para calcular la meta DBE propuesta por el Santa Cruz METRO para FFY12-FFY14, el personal utilizó datos del presupuesto financiero FY12 del Santa Cruz METRO, la base de datos más reciente (2008) del Patrón de Negocios del Condado de la Oficina del Censo de EE.UU. (CBP) y la base de datos del Departamento de Transporte de California (Caltrans) de todos los vendedores certificados DBE en todo el estado. Tanto el CBP y la bases de datos de vendedores DBE de Caltrans ofrecen grupos de vendedores segùn el tipo de trabajo que realizan según la clasificación del Sistema de Clasificación de
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Industria de Norte América (NAICS), que proporciona un número de dos a seis digitos correspondientes a cada tipo conocido de los trabajos realizados por empresas en Norte América. Con el fin de comparar los datos de las tres fuentes de datos utilizando una nomenclatura común, el personal identificó todos los bienes y servicios que el Santa Cruz METRO normalmente contrata por un código NAICS correspondiente. El texto y las tablas siguientes describen las medidas adoptadas para calcular la meta.


En primer lugar, el personal examinó el Presupuesto de Operación y Capital del FY12 del Santa Cruz METRO (Presupuesto) para determinar el tipo y cantidad de contratos que pueden obtenerse con los presupuestos de operación y capital. De un presupuesto de operación de $\$ 38,627,918$, hay oportunidades potenciales de contratos de $\$ 6,589,098$. El Presupuesto de Capital no tuvo oportunidades de contratos. La FTA proporcionó \$5, 069,208 en asistencia de operaciones pero no proporciono asistencia de financiación de capital. La siguiente tabla muestra los cálculos para el primer paso.

| Santa Cruz METRODBE Goal Calculation for FFY12 - FFY14 (FFY12 Goal calculation as basis for three-year goal) <br> Step 1: Santa Cruz METRO FY12 Operating Budget Contract Opportunities (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (A) <br> Total | (B) <br> \$ Pracurement in Operating Budget | (C) <br> \% Procurement in Operating Budget |  | (D) <br> \$FTA <br> Operating Assistance |  | E) <br> Operating tance rements (D) |
| FY12 Operating Budget | \$ | 38,627,918 | \$ 6,589,098 | 17.06\% | \$ | 5,069,208 | S | 864.699 |

En segundo lugar, el personal identifica cada pieza de contrato de trabajo potencial en el presupuesto por el correspondiente código NAICS. El personal agregó los tipos de trabajo en los cuatro más amplios Grupos de Adquisiciones de Construcción, Transporte, Ventas al Mayoreo y Servicios identificados en la tabla de abajo del Paso 2. Los cuatro Grupos de Adquisiciones procedentes de todas las oportunidades de contratación se muestran en la siguiente tabla por cantidad de dólares y el porcentaje de oportunidades total del contrato en cada Grupo de Adquisiciones.
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Step 2. Santa Cruz METRO FY12 Operating Budget Contract Opportunities by Procurement Group

| Procurement Group | (1) <br> Construction |  | (2) <br> Transport |  | (3) <br> Wholesale |  | (4) <br> Services |  | (5) <br> Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S$ in Group (FY12 Budget) | 5 | 99,999 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 3,511,023 | \$ | 2,728,076 | s | 6,589,098 |
| \% of All Procurements in Group |  | 1.52\% |  | 3.79\% |  | 53.29\% |  | 41.40\% |  | 100.00\% |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { SFTA in Group } \\ {[(1) \% \text { s Step } 1 \text {. (E) }]} \end{array}$ | $\$$ | 13,123 | \$ | 32,808 | 5 | 460,758 | \$ | 358,010 | \$ | 864,699 |

En tercer lugar, el personal determinó el área del mercado de donde vendedores que hacen ofertas de trabajo en contratos del Santa Cruz METRO probablemente serían elegidos. Como es concebible que un vendedor puede venir de tan norte como Sonoma, o de tan sur como Santa Bárbara para la adjudicación de un contrato de gran envergadura, el personal incluyó todos los Distritos de Caltrans 04 y 05 a la hora de realizar su cálculo de DBE. Además, el personal incluyó a cualquier vendedor DBE en el estado que haiga indicado que puede realizar trabajo en los Distritos 04 y 05, independientemente de la ubicación del proveedor. Los siguientes catorce condados caen dentro de los Distritos 04 y 05 , creando la zona de mercado del Santa Cruz METRO:

- Condado de Alameda
- Condado de Contra Costa
- Condado de Marín
- Condado de Monterey
- Condado de Napa
- Condado de San Benito
- Condado de San Francisco
- Condado de San Luis Obispo
- Condado de San Mateo
- Condado de Santa Bárbara
- Condado de Santa Clara
- Condado de Santa Cruz
- Condado de Solano
- Condado de Sonoma

Después de determinar la zona del mercado, el personal extrajo un recuento de todos los vendedores en el área de mercado de la base de datos del CBP utilizando el mismo código NAICS que acompaña a cada contrato de trabajo potencial en el Presupuesto de Operaciones FY12 del Santa Cruz METRO. Después, el personal realizó exactamente la misma operación en el directorio de proveedores DBE de Caltrans para obtener un recuento de los vendedores de DBE en el área de mercado del Santa Cruz METRO. El recuento de todos los vendedores, los vendedores DBE, y vendedores de porcentaje DBE de Adquisiciones del Grupo en el área de mercado de Santa Cruz METRO se muestra en el paso 3 de la siguiente tabla.

## Attachment A

| County | $\begin{gathered} (1) \\ \text { Construction } \\ 2382 \end{gathered}$ | (2) <br> Transportation 485991 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (3) } \\ \text { Wholesale } \\ 221210.323110, \\ 423120,423130 \\ 424120,424720 \\ 8112 \end{gathered}$ | (4) $\frac{\text { Services }}{522100,5241}$ 541,561612 561720,562112 621111,8111, | $\frac{\# \text { Firms }}{\text { in NAICS }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alameda | 671. | 17 | 1,492 | 7,612 | 9,792 |
| Contra Costa | 471 | 6 | 734 | 5,040 | 6,251 |
| Marin | 181. | 7 | 260 | 2,353 | 2,801 |
| Napa | 85 | 1 | 106 | 692 | 884 |
| San Francisco | 377 | 18 | 573 | 7.448 | 8,416 |
| San Maleo | 427 | 20 | 743 | 4.179 | 5,369 |
| Santa Clara | 797 | 16 | 1,448 | 10,822 | 13,083 |
| Solana | 190 | 6 | 318 | 1,047 | 1.561 |
| Sonoma <br> Caltrans District 05 | 393 | 6 | 461 | 2,383 | 3,243 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 219 | 0 | 325 | 1,448 | 1,992 |
| San Benito | 39 | 0 | 33 | 135 | 207 |
| San Luis Obispo | 210 | 0 | 267 | 1,486 | 1.963 |
| Santa Barbara | 260 | 4 | 371 | 2,201 | 2,836 |
| Santa Crizz | 1731 | 1 | 210 | 1,358 | 1,742 |
| Districts 04 \& 05 | 4,4931 | 102 | 7,3411 | 48,204 | 60,140 |
| Certified DBEs |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Construction | Transport. | Wholesale | Services | \#DBEs |
| Market Area | NACs | NAICS | NAICS | NAICS | in NAICS |
| District 04 \& 05 \& Statewide DBEs | 155 | 6 | 77 | 824 | 1,062 |
| \%DBE | 3.45\% | 5.88\% | 1.05\% | 1.71\% | 1.77\% |

En cuarto lugar, el personal utilizó el porcentaje DBE obtenido anteriormente para cada Grupo de Adquisiciones y lo multiplicó por el porcentaje (peso) de la obra total que se realiza en ese Grupo de Adquisiciones como se indica en el paso 2" Esto produjo la meta base DBE para FFY12-FFY14.


Para obtener el objetivo final de DBE utilizando el método elegido prescrito por el 49 CFR 26.45 , el personal examina la tasa real de participación de DBE en los últimos cuatro años en relación a las metas DBE adoptadas para determinar si la meta base de FFY12-FFY14 se tiene que ajustar. Previas metas de alcance de DBE variaron de $0 \%$ a
c:1bocumenss and Setingssibilitrarthoal Settings\Temporary Internet
Files\Content.Outlook191 LQ49EZISPA-Methodology FFY 12-14.docx
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5.4\%. Santa Cruz METRO alcanzó el 5.4\% de participación de DBE en FFY07 principalmente debido a proyectos grandes de construcción que ofrecieron oportunidades de subcontratación que se otorgaron para la construcción MetroBase. El año pasado (FFY10), Santa Cruz METRO no tuvo contratos grandes de construcción a su disposición y alcanzo 0\% de participación DBE. El logro de FFY07 de $5.4 \%$ se puede considerar un valor atípico extremo, irrelevante para las oportunidades de contratación en curso y la necesidad de ajustar la meta de la base Las tasas de participación DBE de FFY08 y FFY09 fueron $1.48 \%$ y $2.06 \%$ respectivamente.

En los últimos cuatro años, Santa Cruz METRO adoptó metas que variaron desde 1.32\% a $1.73 \%$. Como se señaló en la narrativa de la primera fase, el Presupuesto Capital de FY12 de Santa Cruz METRO no tiene oportunidades de contratación, y, específicamente, no tiene proyectos grandes de construcción que garanticen un ajuste alto a la meta FFY12-FFY14 en consonancia con la disparidad entre las metas de FFY07 de 5.4\% contra un objetivo del $1.57 \%$. Con la caída de la tasa calculada en conformidad con los objetivos de los últimos cuatro años, el personal decidió que no fue necesario ningún ajuste al objetivo calculado, por lo tanto, el personal recomienda el objetivo del $1.54 \%$ calculado como base del objetivo final de FFY12-FFY14. A esta proporción, el gasto de FTA con los vendedores DBE seria un total de $\$ 13,335$ dólares.

Si es aprobado por la Junta Directiva, Santa Cruz METRO establecerá una meta DBE de 1.54\% para FFY12-FFY14. La Meta Propuesta de DBE del Santa Cruz METRO para FFY12-FFY14 y documentos de apoyo para el programa DBE de Santa Cruz METRO están disponibles para inspección pública desde el 9 de mayo 2011 al 23 de junio de 2011 en las oficinas administrativas de Santa Cruz de METRO, 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, en la página web de METRO, www.semtd.com, en la Biblioteca Central de Santa Cruz, 224 Church Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060 y en la Biblioteca Principal de Watsonville, 275 Main Street, Watsonville, CA 95076.

# Attachment A <br> SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 



## California Unified Certification Program (CUCP) for Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBEs)

The California Unified Certification Program (CUCP) is charged with the responsibility of certifying firms and compiling and maintaining the Database of certified DBEs for U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) grantees in California, pursuant to 49 CFR Part 26. The database is intended to expand the use of DBE firms by maintaining complete and current information on those businesses and the products and services they can provide to all grantees of California.

If you wish to be considered for DBE Certification, your business must meet the following general guidelines:

- The firm must be at least $51 \%$ owned by one or more socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.
- The firm must be an independent business, and one or more of the socially and economically disadvantaged owners must control its management and daily operations.
- Only existing for-profit "Small Business Concerns," as defined by the Small Business Act and Small Business Administration (SBA) regulations may be certified. DBE applicants are first subject to the applicable small business size standards of the SBA. Second, the average annual gross receipts for the firm (including its affiliates) over the previous three fiscal years must not exceed U.S. DOT's cap of $\$ 22.41$ million.
- The Personal Net Worth of each socially and economically disadvantaged owner must not exceed $\$ 1.32$ million, excluding the individual's ownership interest in the applicant firm and the equity in his/her primary residence.

A socially and economically disadvantaged individual means any individual who is a citizen of the United States (or lawfully admitted permanent resident) and who is a member of the following groups: Black American, Hispanic American, Native American, Asian-Pacific American, Subcontinent Asian American, or Women,

OR

Any individual found to be socially and economically disadvantaged on a case-by-case basis by a certifying agency pursuant to the standards of the U.S. DOT 49CFR Part 26.

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

Resolution No. 12-02-01
On the Motion of Director
Duly Seconded by Director
The Following Resolution is Adopted:

## A RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION AND REMEMBRANCE FOR THE SERVICES OF JUDY K. SOUZA AS FIXED ROUTE SUPERINTENDENT FOR THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District was formed to provide public transportation to all of the citizens of Santa Cruz County, and

WHEREAS, the provision of public transportation service requires a competent, dedicated workforce, and

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, requiring an employee with expertise and dedication appointed Judy K. Souza to serve in the position of Fixed Route Superintendent, and

WHEREAS, Judy K. Souza served as a member of the Operations Department, of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District for the time period of September 1, 1976 to June 21, 2006, and

WHEREAS, Judy K. Souza provided the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District with dedicated service and commitment during the time of employment, and

WHEREAS, Judy K. Souza served the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District with distinction, and

WHEREAS, the service provided to the citizens of Santa Cruz County by Judy K. Souza resulted in safe, reliable, quality public transportation being available in the most difficult of times, and

WHEREAS, during the time that Judy K. Souza served in the position of Fixed Route Superintendent, METRO expanded service, developed new facilities, purchased new equipment, improved ridership, and enhanced the alternative transportation options for the residents of Santa Cruz County, and

WHEREAS, the quality of life in Santa Cruz County was improved dramatically as a result of the exemplary service provided by Judy K. Souza and,

WHEREAS, Judy K. Souza passed away on December 25, 2011.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that in recognition of service and commitment, the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District does hereby posthumously commend Judy K. Souza for efforts in advancing public transit service in Santa Cruz County and expresses sincere appreciation on behalf of itself, METRO staff and all of the residents of Santa Cruz County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be presented to the Family of Judy K. Souza and that a copy of this resolution be entered into the official records of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 24th day of February, 2012 by the following vote:

AYES: Directors -

NOES: Directors -
ABSTAIN: Directors -

ABSENT: Directors -

## APPROVED

LYNN ROBINSON Board Chair

ATTEST
LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

MARGARET GALLAGHER
District Counsel

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

Resolution No. On the Motion of Director: Duly Seconded by Director: The Following Resolution is adopted:

# A RESOLUTION <br> TO POSTHUMOUSLY DEDICATE THE OPERATIONS BUILDING AT 1200 RIVER STREET AS THE JUDY K. SOUZA OPERATIONS FACILITY IN RECOGNITION OF HER SERVICE TO THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District was formed to provide public transportation to all of the citizens of Santa Cruz County; and

WHEREAS, the provision of public transportation service requires a dedicated staff; and
WHEREAS, the METRO Operations Department, requiring staff with commitment, and dedication appointed Judy K Souza to serve in the position of Bus Operator, Trainer, Supervisor, and Base Superintendent; and

WHEREAS, Judy K. Souza was the first female Bus Operator in Santa Cruz and advocated effectively for expanded opportunities for women at METRO while serving in a leadership role for over 32 years from 1974 through 2006; and

[^2]WHEREAS, Judy K. Souza served the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District with distinction; and

WHEREAS, METRO has received funding for the development of the 1200 River Street Operations Building that will provide a permanent state of the art facility from which to deploy the fixed route fleet; and

WHEREAS, the successful reliable fixed route service provided by METRO reflects the policies, practices, and lasting traditions established by Judy K. Souza; and

WHEREAS, the quality of life in Santa Cruz County was improved dramatically as a result of the exemplary service, tireless support, and unwavering leadership provided by Judy K Souza; and,

WHEREAS, Judy K. Souza passed away on December 25, 2011.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that in recognition of the service, commitment, and dedication exhibited by Judy K. Souza the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District does hereby posthumously name and dedicate the Operations Building at 1200 River Street as the Judy K. Souza Operations Facility, and further directs that all signage, literature, and other identifying materials be revised to reflect this designation. By

Resolution No.
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passage of this Resolution it is directed that a date certain be established where the Board of Directors can formally and publicly dedicate the new Operations Building as the Judy K. Souza Operations Facility and that the family of Judy K. Souza be invited to witness such dedication.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be presented to the family of Judy K. Souza and that a copy of this resolution be entered into the official records of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 24th day of February by the following vote:

## AYES:

NOES:

## ABSTAIN:

## ABSENT:

APPROVED
LYNN ROBINSON
Chair

## ATTEST

LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

## MARGARET GALLAGHER

District Counsel

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

Resolution No.
On the Motion of Director:
Duly Seconded by Director:
The Following Resolution is Adopted:

## RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION <br> FOR THE SERVICES OF DONALD (NORM) HAGEN AS A MEMBER OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District was formed to provide public transportation to all of the residents of Santa Cruz County; and


#### Abstract

WHEREAS, Santa Cruz County, requiring strong public representation, appointed Donald (Norm) Hagen as a member of the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District; and


WHEREAS, Donald (Norm) Hagen served as a member of the Board of Directors from January 2007 through December 2011; and


#### Abstract

WHEREAS, Donald (Norm) Hagen provided the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District with strong leadership and insightful guidance during his term in the office, including representing METRO on the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission; and


WHEREAS, during the time that Donald (Norm) Hagen served on the Board of Directors, METRO replaced a portion of the Fixed Route Fleet, replaced the majority of the ParaCruz Fleet, opened a new service/fueling facility, opened the new fleet maintenance facility, opened a new administration/facilities maintenance facility, improved the ParaCruz service, implemented wifi on the Highway 17 service, acquired funding for major capital improvements, and responded to a severe economic downturn; and

WHEREAS, the quality of public transit service in Santa Cruz County was improved dramatically as a result of the dedication, commitment and efforts Donald (Norm) Hagen; and

WHEREAS, Donald (Norm) Hagen completed his term as a Member of the Board of Directors in December 2009.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District does hereby commend Donald (Norm) Hagen for his efforts in the advancement of public transportation service in Santa Cruz County and expresses appreciation on behalf of itself, Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District staff and all of the residents of Santa Cruz County.
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BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be sent to Donald (Norm) Hagen and that a copy of this resolution be entered into the official records of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 24th day of February 2012 by the following vote:
AYES: Directors -
NOES: Directors -

ABSTAIN: Directors -

ABSENT: Directors -

## APPROVED

LYNN ROBINSON
Board Chair

## ATTEST

LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

## MARGARET GALLAGHER

District Counsel

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

> Resolution No.

On the Motion of Director:
Duly Seconded by Director:
The Following Resolution is

## RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION <br> FOR THE ASSISTANCE OF ASSEMBLYMEMBER LUIS ALEJO IN OBTAINING $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 0 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ IN PROPOSITION 1B BOND FUNDS FOR METROBASE FUNDING FOR THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District was formed to provide public transportation to all of the citizens of Santa Cruz County; and

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District has identified the MetroBase Project as the top priority for capital funding; and

WHEREAS, the financial conditions of the State of California constrained the ability of the State Pooled Money Investment Board to sell Proposition 1B Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District filed an application with the California Department of Transportation for $\$ 11,010,000$ in Proposition 1B Bond Funds for the construction of the Operations Building component of the MetroBase Project; and

WHEREAS, the Pooled Money Investment Board had been reluctant to sell bonds and the California Department of Transportation had not included the request form the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District on the list of project identified for bond funding; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Member Luis Alejo and members of his staff initiated telephone calls, and wrote letters supporting the bond funding for the MetroBase Project; and

WHEREAS, at the urging of Assembly Member Luis Alejo, the Pooled Money Investment Board proceeded to sell Proposition 1B Bonds and the California Department of Transportation approved the inclusion of $\$ 11,010,000$ of the bond proceeds for the funding of the Operations Building component of the MetroBase Project thereby allowing the construction of a critical facility and the creation of 300 jobs in a time of high unemployment.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District does hereby commend Assembly Member Luis Alejo for his efforts in the advancement of public transportation service in Santa Cruz County and expresses appreciation on behalf of itself, the staff and all of the citizens of Santa Cruz County.
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BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be sent to Assembly Member Luis Alejo and that a copy of this resolution be entered into the official records of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 24th day of February by the following vote:

## AYES:

NOES:

## ABSTAIN:

## ABSENT:

## APPROVED

LYNN ROBINSON
Board Chair

## ATTEST

LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

MARGARET GALLAGHER
District Counsel

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

Resolution No.<br>On the Motion of Director: Duly Seconded by Director:<br>The Following Resolution is

## RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION <br> FOR THE ASSISTANCE OF ASSEMBLYMEMBER WILLIAM MONNING IN OBTAINING $\$ 11,010,000$ IN PROPOSITION 1B BOND FUNDS FOR METROBASE FUNDING FOR THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District was formed to provide public transportation to all of the citizens of Santa Cruz County; and

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District has identified the MetroBase Project as the top priority for capital funding; and

WHEREAS, the financial conditions of the State of California constrained the ability of the State Pooled Money Investment Board to sell Proposition 1B Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District filed an application with the California Department of Transportation for $\$ 11,010,000$ in Proposition 1B Bond Funds for the construction of the Operations Building component of the MetroBase Project; and

WHEREAS, the Pooled Money Investment Board had been reluctant to sell bonds and the California Department of Transportation had not included the request form the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District on the list of project identified for bond funding; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Member William Monning and members of his staff initiated telephone calls, and wrote letters supporting the bond funding for the MetroBase Project; and

WHEREAS, at the urging of Assembly Member William Monning, the Pooled Money Investment Board proceeded to sell Proposition 1B Bonds and the California Department of Transportation approved the inclusion of $\$ 11,010,000$ of the bond proceeds for the funding of the Operations Building component of the MetroBase Project thereby allowing the construction of a critical facility and the creation of 300 jobs in a time of high unemployment.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District does hereby commend Assembly Member William Monning for his efforts in the advancement of public transportation service in Santa Cruz County and expresses appreciation on behalf of itself, the staff and all of the citizens of Santa Cruz County.
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BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be sent to Assembly Member William Monning and that a copy of this resolution be entered into the official records of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 24th day of February by the following vote:

## AYES:

NOES:

## ABSTAIN:

## ABSENT:

## APPROVED

## ATTEST

LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

MARGARET GALLAGHER
District Counsel

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Leslie R. White, General Manager

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF CONTINUING SPONSORSHIP OF LEADERSHIP SANTA CRUZ COUNTY IN ORDER TO PROVIDE EDUCATION ON TRANSPORTATION ISSUES, SERVICES, AND FACILITIES AND TO PROVIDE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR METRO STAFF TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROGRAM.

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors approve METRO continuing to be a sponsor of the Leadership Santa Cruz County Program, providing information regarding public transit services and facilities to the class Members, and providing buses on March 30, 2012, April 13, 2012, and June 1, 2012 for tours of various facilities and activities.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- The Leadership Santa Cruz County program provides information regarding activities throughout the County for classes of approximately 25 to 50 individuals annually.
- In 2005 the Board of Directors approved METRO becoming a sponsor of the Leadership Santa Cruz County Program by providing information regarding services and facilities, and by providing a bus to tour various facilities and view activities. The Board has continued the sponsorship of Leadership Santa Cruz County in 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011.
- The Leadership Santa Cruz County staff has requested that METRO continue to be a sponsor of the program by providing information to members of the class and by providing a bus for a tour of various facilities on March 30, 2012, April 13, 2012, and June 1, 2012.
- Prior to 2005 METRO had not participated in providing information to the Leadership Santa Cruz County classes nor sponsored the program. In 2007/2008 a Member of the METRO staff took advantage of the Leadership Santa Cruz County by participating in the program and in 2008/2009 two additional METRO staff members participated in the program, and in 2010/2011 there were two METRO staff members participating in the program. Currently, three METRO Staff Members are participating in the program. The program is provided to METRO staff members at no cost in exchange for the bus service that METRO has provided through its sponsorship.
- The cost of becoming a sponsor of Leadership Santa Cruz County would be the cost of providing the buses for the facilities tours on March 30, 2012, April 13, 2012, and June 1, 2012. The estimated cost for providing the buses for the Leadership Santa Cruz County tours is approximately $\$ 3,000$.
- Staff recommends that the Board of Directors approve the request from Leadership Santa Cruz County staff.


## III. DISCUSSION

The Leadership Santa Cruz County Program provides information about, and orientation to, various programs and facilities annually for approximately 25 to 50 individuals from the community. Many of these individuals hold key leadership positions in the community.

In 2005 METRO received a request for program sponsorship from Gary W. Smith, Executive Director of Leadership Santa Cruz County. The requested sponsorship was in the form of providing a bus for a tour of facilities, and a presentation by METRO of information about the system, services, facilities and projects. The Board of Directors approved the sponsorship request and the event was very successful. METRO has continued to be a sponsor of the program in 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011. Leadership Santa Cruz County has requested that METRO continue to be a sponsor of the program by providing support, including the bus on three different days, for programs this year. A copy of the request letter from the Leadership Santa Cruz County Executive Director David Vincent is attached to this Staff Report. Participating in the Leadership Santa Cruz County program provides an opportunity to orient individuals regarding the benefits of a strong public transit system.

Prior to 2005 METRO had not participated in providing information to the Leadership Santa Cruz County classes nor sponsored the program. In 2007/2008 a Member of the METRO staff took advantage of the Leadership Santa Cruz County by participating in the program and has found it to be very beneficial. In 2008/2009 and 2010/2011 two METRO staff members participated in the Leadership Santa Cruz County Program. Currently, three METRO staff members are participating in the program. Based on the experiences of participating staff members, METRO intends to send additional staff members to the program as staff time permits. The program is provided to METRO staff members at no cost in exchange for the bus service that METRO provides through its sponsorship

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors approve the request to continue sponsorship of Leadership Santa Cruz County, including the provision of buses for tours of facilities and activities on March 30, 2012, April 13, 2012, and June 1, 2012.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

The cost of becoming a sponsor of the Leadership Santa Cruz County program through providing buses for facilities tours would be approximately $\$ 3,000$ plus presentation materials.

Board of Directors
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## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Letter from David Vincent, January 21, 2012.

ATTACHMENT A


Les White
General Manager
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
110 Vernon Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Dear Mr. White:

The purpose of this letter is to request the help of the SCMTD for this year's Leadership Santa Cruz County Class. As you know, both SCMTD and LSCC have benefited from a strong partnership over the years. Emerging leaders throughout the county have a better opportunity to understand just how their community works by visiting unfamiliar areas by bus and SCMTD benefits by having employees participate in the class at no cost. Everyone in the class better understands what SCMTD provides in terms of services and community outreach. This year three SCMTD employees are benefiting from their participation in Class 27.

Once again LSCC will need to transport the class to various parts of the county as part of their learning experience. As you have seen in the past, it is extremely helpful when the class can travel as a group so that they can learn while in transit and also discuss their experiences amongst themselves. Specifically, it would be of tremendous help if SCMTD could supply the transportation needs for approximately 44 students on the following dates:

- The Pajaro Valley Day - March 30, 2012.
- The Agriculture Day - April 13, 2012.
- The Environment \& Industry Day - June 12012

Thank you for your consideration of this request. LSCC is proud to have you as a partner.

Sincerely,


Leadership Santa Cruz County

## Leadership Santa Cruz County wuyw.leadershinsccure

Executive Director: Dave Vincent - 210 View Court, Aptos, CA 95003
Phone \& Fax: (831)662-3881 E-Mail: leadershipscc@cruzio.com

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Frank Cheng, Project Manager \& IT Manager

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AWARD OF CONTRACT WITH UNITED INDUSTRIES GROUP, INC. FOR PURCHASE OF A 15,000 GALLON VERTICAL LNG STORAGE TANK FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$311,731.00

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a contract with United Industries Group, Inc., for purchase of a 15,000 gallon vertical LNG fuel storage tank for an amount not to exceed $\$ 311,731.00$ and designate Frank Cheng, Project Manager \& IT Manager as Contract Administrator.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Santa Cruz METRO needs to expand the LNG fueling capacities as Santa Cruz METRO's fleet of CNG powered buses continues to increase. This will also create a buffer from short or missed fuel deliveries and ensure meeting our fleet pullout levels.
- A competitive procurement was conducted to solicit bids from qualified firms.
- One firm submitted bids for Santa Cruz METRO's review.
- Staff and Engineering Contractor have reviewed submitted bid.


## III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO needs to expand the CNG fueling capacities as Santa Cruz METRO's fleet of CNG powered buses continues to increase. Currently the fueling station at 1200B River Street has only one storage tank for LNG fuel. This facility was designed for expansion and inclusion of a second tank. A second fuel tank is needed to ensure adequate supply of LNG fuel for the increase in daily fueling requirements to the CNG bus fleet and to ensure supply should any delivery problems arise.

On December 27, 2011, Santa Cruz METRO Invitation for Bid No. 12-20 was mailed to nineteen (19) firms, was legally advertised, and a notice was posted on Santa Cruz METRO's web site. On January 30, 2012 one bid was received and opened. Staff comprised of Frank Cheng, Project Manager \& IT Manager and Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent, and James Dong of Raymundo Engineering (engineering firm hired to provide plans and specifications for this project) have reviewed the submitted bid. James Dong has recommended award to United Industries Group,

Inc. as they have taken no exceptions to the technical requirements for the LNG tank based on his review of their bid. A sole bid analysis was required due to only one bid being received.

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to sign a contract with United Industries Group, Inc., for purchase of a 15,000 gallon vertical LNG fuel storage tank for an amount not to exceed $\$ 311,731.00$ and designate Frank Cheng, Project Manager \& IT Manager as Contract Administrator. Contractor will provide all equipment and materials meeting all Santa Cruz METRO specifications and requirements of the contract, and the Contract Administrator will ensure contract compliance.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funds to support contract are included in the MetroBase PTMISEA budget.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Contract with United Industries Group, Inc.

Prepared By: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent
Date Prepared: February 14, 2012
Note: The IFB along with its Exhibits and any Addendum(s) are available for review at the Administration Office of Santa Cruz METRO.

## Attachment A

## CONTRACT FOR PROCUREMENT OF ONE 15,000 GALLON, VERTICAL LNG FUEL STORAGE TANK (12-20)

THIS CONTRACT is made effective on March 1, 2012 between the SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT, a political subdivision of the State of California ("Santa Cruz METRO "), and UNITED INDUSTRIES GROUP, INC. ("Contractor").

## 1. RECITALS

1.01 Santa Cruz METRO's Primary Objective

Santa Cruz METRO is a public entity whose primary objective is providing public transportation and has its principal office at 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, California 95060.
1.02 Santa Cruz METRO's Need for one 15,000 Gallon, Vertical LNG Fuel Storage Tank

Santa Cruz METRO requires the purchase of one 15,000 Gallon, Vertical LNG Fuel Storage Tank to be used for standard purposes. In order to obtain said 15,000 Gallon, Vertical LNG Fuel Storage Tank, Santa Cruz METRO issued an Invitation for Bids, dated December 27, 2011 setting forth specifications for such 15,000 Gallon, Vertical LNG Fuel Storage Tank. The Invitation for Bids is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A.

### 1.03 Contractor's Bid Form

Contractor is a supplier of one 15,000 Gallon, Vertical LNG Fuel Storage Tank desired by Santa Cruz METRO and whose principal place of business is at 11 Rancho Circle, Lake Forest, California. Pursuant to the Invitation for Bids by Santa Cruz METRO, Contractor submitted a bid for Provision of said 15,000 Gallon, Vertical LNG Fuel Storage Tank, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit B.

### 1.04 Selection of Contractor and Intent of Contract

On January 30, 2012, Santa Cruz METRO selected Contractor as the lowest responsive, responsible bidder to provide said 15,000 Gallon, Vertical LNG Fuel Storage Tank. The purpose of this Contract is to set forth the provisions of this procurement.

### 1.05 Contractor and Supplier Synonymous

For the purposes of this Contract, the terms "contractor" and "supplier" are synonymous.

Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor agree as follows:

## 2. INCORPORATED DOCUMENTS AND APPLICABLE LAW

2.01 Documents Incorporated in This Contract

The documents listed below are attached to this Contract and by reference made a part hereof. This is an integrated Contract. This writing constitutes the final expression of the parties' Contract, and it is a complete and exclusive statement of the provisions of that Contract, except for written amendments, if any, made after the date of this Contract in accordance with Section 13.14 of the General Conditions of the Contract.
a) Exhibit A

Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's "Invitation for Bids" dated December 27, 2011.
b) Exhibit B (Bid Form)

Contractor's Bid Form to Santa Cruz METRO for one 15,000 Gallon, Vertical LNG Fuel Storage Tank, signed by Contractor and dated January 27, 2012.

### 2.02 Conflicts

Where in conflict, the provisions of this writing supersede those of the abovereferenced documents, Exhibits A and B. Where in conflict, the provisions of Exhibit A supersede Exhibit B.
2.03 Recitals

The Recitals set forth in Article 1 are part of this Contract.

## 3. TIME OF PERFORMANCE

### 3.01 General

Contractor shall perform work under this Contract at such times to enable it to meet the time schedules specified in the Specifications Section of the IFB. The Contractor shall not be responsible for delays caused by force majeure events described in Section 2 of the General Conditions of the Contract.

### 3.02 Term

The term of this Contract commences on the execution of the contract and shall remain in force for a one (1) year period thereafter. Santa Cruz METRO and

Contractor may extend the term of this Contract at any time for any reason upon mutual written consent.

## 4. COMPENSATION

### 4.01 Terms of Payment

Upon written acceptance, Santa Cruz METRO agrees to pay Contractor as identified in the Bid Form, Exhibit B, not to exceed $\$ 311,731$ upon satisfactory completion of all work under the terms and provisions of this Contract within thirty (30) days thereof. Contractor understands and agrees that if he/she exceeds the $\$ 311,731$ maximum amount payable under this contract, that it does so at its own risk.

### 4.02 Invoices

Contractor shall submit invoices with a purchase order number provided by Santa Cruz METRO on a monthly basis. Contractor's invoices shall include detailed records showing actual time devoted, work accomplished, date work accomplished, personnel used, and amount billed per hour. Expenses shall only be billed if allowed under the contract. Telephone call expenses shall show the nature of the call and identify location and individual called.

Said invoice records shall be kept up-to-date at all times and shall be available for inspection by Santa Cruz METRO (or any grantor of Santa Cruz METRO, including, without limitation, any State or Federal agency providing project funding or reimbursement) at any time for any reason upon demand for not less than four (4) years after the date of expiration or termination of the contract. Under penalty of law, Contractor represents that all amounts billed to Santa Cruz METRO are (1) actually incurred; (2) reasonable in amount; (3) related to this contract; and (4) necessary for performance of the services. No expenses shall be paid by Santa Cruz METRO unless specifically allowed by this contract.

## 5. NOTICES

All notices under this Contract shall be deemed duly given upon delivery, if delivered by hand; or three (3) days after posting, if sent by registered mail, receipt requested; to a party hereto at the address hereinunder set forth or to such other address as a party may designate by notice pursuant hereto.

Santa Cruz METRO
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
110 Vernon Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Attention: General Manager

## CONTRACTOR

United Industries Group, Inc.
11 Rancho Circle
Lake Forest, CA 92630
Attention: Art Yanovskiy

## 6. AUTHORITY

Each party has full power and authority to enter into and perform this Contract and the person signing this Contract on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into it. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it.

Signed on $\qquad$

## SANTA CRUZ METRO—SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR - UNITED INDUSTRIES GROUP, INC.

By
M. Mulvaney

Vice President

Approved as to Form:

Margaret R. Gallagher
District Counsel

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Erron Alvey, Purchasing Agent

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF CONTRACT RENEWAL WITH NELLA OIL COMPANY, LLC DBA OLYMPIAN FOR OFF-SITE REFUELING OF PARACRUZ VEHICLE FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$250,000

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to enter into a one-year contract extension with Nella Oil Company, LLC doing business as Olympian for off-site refueling of ParaCruz vehicles for an amount not to exceed \$250,000.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Santa Cruz METRO has a contract with Nella Oil Company DBA Olympian for offsite fueling of ParaCruz vehicles.
- This contract was established on March 1, 2010 for a one-year period with four optional one-year extensions.
- The current contract approved by the Board of Directors will expire on February 28, 2012.
- Nella Oil Company DBA Olympian has requested a contract extension for one additional year with no changes to current contract terms and conditions.


## III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO has a contract with Nella Oil Company DBA Olympian for off-site fueling of ParaCruz vehicles that is due to expire on February 28, 2012. Nella Oil Company DBA Olympian has reviewed the contract and has requested a contract extension for one additional year with no changes to current contract terms and conditions.

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to sign a one-year contract extension on behalf of Santa Cruz METRO with Nella Oil Company DBA Olympian for an amount not to exceed $\$ 250,000$.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Santa Cruz METRO needs $\$ 250,000$ for this contract amendment as the new ParaCruz VersaShuttles consume more fuel than the mini-vans they replaced and the cost of fuel has increased.

Funds to support this contract are included in the ParaCruz FY12 fuel budget.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Letter Received from Nella Oil Company DBA Olympian
Attachment B: Contract Amendment with Nella Oil Company DBA Olympian

## Attachment A

## Flyers

Santa Cruz Metro


110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95606

RE: $\quad$ Santa Cruz METRO Contract No. 10-16 for Off Site Fueling of ParaCruz Vehicles
Dear Ms. Alvev:
Thank you for your letter dated December 27, 2011 regarding the above referenced contract Please be advised that we wish to continue the contract at the same terms

If you need additional information or wish to contact me, you can do so at (530) 885-0401 ext. 2029. Thank you

Sincerely,
Runt Pk

## Robert Foster

Executive Assistant


February 9 di 2012
Master Car Wash will extend the contract agreement with ME TRO PARACRUZ for an other year. (Feb 28, 2013) with the same terms and conditions for ParaCruz Vehicle Washing Services

$\frac{2 / 9 / 12}{\text { Date }}$

## Attachment B

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT <br> SECOND AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT NO. 10-16 <br> FOR PARACRUZ VEHICLE FUELING SERVICES

This Second Amendment to Contract No. 10-16 for ParaCruz Vehicle Fueling Services is made effective March 1, 2012 between the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, a political subdivision of the State of California ("Santa Cruz METRO") and NELLA Oil Company, LLC ("Contractor").

## I. RECITALS

1.1 Santa Cruz METRO and Devco Oil, Inc. entered into a Contract for ParaCruz Vehicle Fueling Services ("Contract") on March 1, 2010.
1.2 NELLA Oil Company, LLC bought out Devco Oil, Inc. in April 2011.
1.3 NELLA Oil Company, LLC took over this contract under the existing terms and conditions, becoming "Contractor" at that time.
1.4 The Contract allows for the extension upon mutual written consent.

Therefore, Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor amend the Contract as follows:
II. TERM
2.1 Article 4.01 is amended to include the following language:

This contract shall continue through February 28, 2013. This Contract may be mutually extended by agreement of both parties.

## III. COMPENSATION

3.1 Article 5.01 is amended to include the following language:

METRO shall compensate Contractor in an amount not to exceed $\$ 250,000$ under this amendment.

## III. REMAINING TERMS AND CONDITIONS

3.1 All other provisions of the Contract that are not affected by this amendment shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

## Attachment B

## IV. AUTHORITY

4.1 Each party has full power to enter into and perform this Second Amendment to the Contract and the person signing this Second Amendment on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into it. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this Second Amendment to the Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it.

Signed on $\qquad$

```
Santa Cruz METRO
SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT
```

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR
NELLA Oil Company, LLC

By
Richard Teske
General Manager

## Approved as to Form:

Margaret R. Gallagher
District Counsel

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Leslie R. White, General Manager
SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING:
1.) THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH RNL DESIGN, INC. FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$800,000 AND FOR THE PERIOD OF TIME OF MARCH 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2015.
2.) THE GENERAL MANAGER TO ISSUE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES.
3.) ESTABLISHMENT OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE SPECIALIST POSITION TO ASSIST THE PROJECT MANAGER IN RECORD KEEPING AND CONTRACTOR MONITORING.
4.) THE GENERAL MANAGER TO ISSUE AN INVITATION FOR BIDS (IFB) FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE OPERATIONS BUILDING, PARKING STRUCTURE,BUS PARKING FACILITY AND DEMOLITION OF EXISTING OPERATIONS BUILDING.

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors approve a contract with RNL Design, Inc. for $\$ 800,000$, issuing an RFP for Construction Management Services, establishing an Administrative Specialist position, and issuing an IFB for the construction of the Operations Building and adjoining facilities.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- In November 2006 the voters of California passed proposition 1B which authorized the sale of bonds to finance transportation improvement projects.
- Included in the Proposition 1B measure was the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA).
- The distribution of the funds from the PTMISEA is based on bond sales, project readiness, and overall funds available to an area.
- Under the PTMISEA formula METRO is entitled to receive approximately \$27 million over the ten year life of the program.
- METRO has used PTMISEA funds to assist in the construction of the Service/Fueling Facility, construction of the Maintenance Facility, and renovation of the Administration/Facilities Building.
- The final component of the MetroBase Project is the construction of the Operations Building, Parking Structure, Bus Parking Facility, and the demolition of the current Operations Building.
- On February 10, 2012 METRO received a check in the amount of $\$ 11,010,047$ from the PTMISEA program. The PTMISEA program will owe METRO $\$ 5.8$ million in funds from future bond sales.
- The current construction budget for the Operations Building is $\$ 17.6$ million. The current funds from the PTMISEA, combined with funds from the Small Transit Intensive Cities (STIC) funds, the sale of Sakata Lane property, as well as a portion of the State Transit Assistance Funds will provide the funding necessary to cover the cost of construction as well as the design, oversight, and administration costs. It is anticipated that the State Transit Funds will be reimbursed to METRO by the PTMISEA at the time that the remainder of the program is appropriated and the bonds sold. METRO has received a Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) that will allow the construction to proceed with the funds being reimbursed by the State PTMISEA.
- The MetroBase Operations, Service, and Maintenance Facilities have been designed by RNL, Inc. It is necessary for updating the final bid documents, bid oversight, and construction oversight functions to be conducted by RNL, Inc. The prior contract with RNL, Inc expired on December 31, 2010 with an unspent balance of $\$ 600,000$. The additional construction time as well as updating the bid specifications to current codes will require $\$ 200,000$ for a contract total of $\$ 800,000$. This cost will be paid by project funds.
- In order to maintain on-site engineering services it will be necessary to contract for the services of a Construction Management Company. In prior the construction projects METRO has used Harris and Associates. However, due to the time that has expired between the completion of the Maintenance Building and this construction it is necessary to issue a new Request for Proposals (RFP) to obtain these services.
- To achieve maximum savings in the cost of project management it is proposed that the position of Administrative Specialist be established for this project. The cost of performing the clerical support and project monitoring duties for this project will cost approximately $30 \%$ less through the use of METRO personnel. The duration of the Operations Project construction and close out is anticipated to be three years. At that time the Administrative Specialist position could be either transferred to other construction projects or phased out.
- Staff Recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to issue an Invitation to Bid (IFB) using the updated building codes and specifications for the construction of the MetroBase Operations Building, Parking Structure, Bus
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Parking facility, and the demolition of the existing Operations building at 1200 River Street.

## III. DISCUSSION

Prior to 2006 the State of California had episodic financial participation in financing transit capital projects. Funding that was made available came from the State Public Transportation Account (PTA) through the Regional State Transportation Improvement Program (STPI) process and was often deducted from the total amount available for roads. In 2006 the voters of the State of California approved Proposition 1B which authorized the sale of bonds for a 10 year transportation capital improvement program. Included in Proposition 1B was the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account ( PTMISEA). The program provided $\$ 3.6$ billion in capital financing for transit projects. The Santa Cruz METRO share of the PTMISEA is approximately $\$ 27$ million. METRO has used PTMISEA funds to assist in the construction of the Service/Fueling Facility, construction of the Maintenance Facility, and renovation of the Administration/Facilities Building. The final component of the MetroBase Project is the construction of the Operations Building, Parking Structure, Bus Parking Facility, and the demolition of the current Operations Building.
On February 10, 2012 METRO received a check in the amount of $\$ 11,010,047$ from the PTMISEA program. The PTMISEA program will owe METRO $\$ 5.8$ million in funds from future bond sales. The current construction budget for the Operations Building is $\$ 17.6$ million. The current funds from the PTMISEA, combined with funds from the Small Transit Intensive Cities (STIC) funds, the sale of Sakata Lane property, as well as a portion of the State Transit Assistance Funds will provide the funding necessary to cover the cost of construction as well as the design, oversight, and administration costs. It is anticipated that the State Transit Funds will be reimbursed to METRO by the PTMISEA at the time that the remainder of the program is appropriated and the bonds sold. METRO has received a Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) that will allow the construction to proceed with the funds being reimbursed by the State PTMISEA. The Project Funding and Budget is attached to this Staff Report.

The MetroBase Operations, Service, and Maintenance Facilities have been designed by RNL, Inc. It is necessary for updating the final bid documents, bid oversight, and construction oversight functions to be conducted by RNL, Inc. The prior contract with RNL, Inc expired on December 31, 2010 with an unspent balance of $\$ 600,000$. The additional construction time as well as updating the bid specifications to current codes will require $\$ 200,000$ for a contract total of $\$ 800,000$. This cost will be paid by project funds.

In order to maintain on-site engineering services it will be necessary to contract for the services of a Construction Management Company. In the prior construction projects METRO has used Harris and Associates. However, due to the time that has expired between the completion of the Maintenance Building and this construction it is necessary
to issue a new Request for Proposals (RFP) to obtain these services. It is anticipated that the Construction Management contract will cost approximately $\$ 1,000,000$ for the threeyear construction period.

To achieve maximum savings in the cost of project management it is proposed that the position of Administrative Specialist be established for this project. The cost of performing the clerical support and project monitoring duties for this project will cost approximately $30 \%$ less through the use of METRO personnel. The duration of the Operations Project construction and close out is anticipated to be three years. At that time the Administrative Specialist position could be either transferred to other construction projects or phased out. It is estimated that the cost for this position will be $\$ 215,000$ with salary and benefits for the three year duration of construction.

Staff Recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to issue an Invitation to Bid (IFB) using the updated building codes and specifications for the construction of the MetroBase Operations Building, Parking Structure, Bus Parking facility, and the demolition of the existing Operations building at 1200 River Street.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Approval of the recommendations contained in this Staff Report will require approximately $\$ 20$ million in construction, oversight, and management costs over three years which will be offset with funding from the PTMISEA, State Transit Assistance loans, federal funds, and the proceeds form the sale of property.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Project Funding and Budget

## Attachment A

## Project Funding and Budget

| Project Funding |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| FY 10 PTMISEA | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| FY11-13 PTMISEA | $\$ 11,010,047$ |
| FY09 STIC | $\$ 1,108,062$ |
| SAKATA SALE | $\$ 1,333,382$ |
| FY 12 STA (loan) | $\$ 2,800,000$ |
| FY 13 STA (loan) | $\$ 2,800,000$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 20,051,491$ |
|  |  |
| Project Budget | $\$ 17,600,000$ |
| CONSTRUCTION | $\$ 800,000$ |
| RNL CONTRACT | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT CONTRACT | $\$ 400,000$ |
| PROJECT MANAGEMENT | $\$ 215,000$ |
| ADMINISTRATIVE SPECIALIST | $\$ 20,015,000$ |


[^0]:    AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT
    The City Council Chambers are located in an accessible facility. Any person who requires an accommodation or an auxiliary aid or service to participate in the meeting, or to access the agenda and the agenda packet, should contact Tony Tapiz, Administrative Services Coordinator, at 831-426-6080 as soon as possible in advance of the Board of Directors meeting. Hearing impaired individuals should call 711 for assistance in contacting Santa Cruz METRO regarding special requirements to participate in the Board meeting. For information regarding this agenda or interpretation services, please call Santa Cruz METRO at 831-426-6080.

[^1]:    MARGARET GALLAGHER
    District Counsel

[^2]:    WHEREAS, Judy K. Souza provided the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District with dedicated service, guidance, and leadership during her tenure; and

