BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING AGENDA JANUARY 28, 2011
SANTA CRUZ CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS* *809 CENTER STREET* SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA 9:00 a.m. - 12:00 noon

> | THE BOARD MEETING AGENDA PACKET CAN BE FOUND ONLINE AT |
| :--- |
| www.SCMTD.COM OR AT SANTA CRUZ METRO'S ADMINISTRATIVE |
| OFFICES LOCATED AT 110 VERNON STREET, SANTA CRUZ, CA |

## NOTE: THE BOARD CHAIR MAY TAKE ITEMS OUT OF ORDER

SECTION I: OPEN SESSION - 9:00 a.m.

1. ROLL CALL
2. ORAL ANNOUNCEMENT: AMY WEISS WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR SPANISH LANGUAGE INTERPRETATION DURING "ORAL COMMUNICATIONS" AND FOR ANY OTHER AGENDA ITEM FOR WHICH THESE SERVICES ARE NEEDED
3. CONSIDERATION OF:
1) ELECTION OF DIRECTORS TO SERVE AS BOARD OFFICERS
2) APPOINTMENTS TO THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FOR 2011
Presented by: Leslie R. White, General Manager
4. ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATION TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
5. LABOR ORGANIZATION COMMUNICATIONS
6. ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION TO SUPPORT EXISTING AGENDA ITEMS

## CONSENT AGENDA

7-1. ACCEPT AND FILE PRELIMINARILY APPROVED CLAIMS FOR THE MONTH OF OCTOBER 2010

7-2. ACCEPT AND FILE MONTHLY BUDGET STATUS REPORTS FOR OCTOBER 2010 AND APPROVAL OF BUDGET TRANSFERS

7-3. ACCEPT AND FILE PRELIMINARILY APPROVED CLAIMS FOR THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER 2010
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7-4. ACCEPT AND FILE MONTHLY BUDGET STATUS REPORTS FOR NOVEMBER 2010 AND APPROVAL OF BUDGET TRANSFERS

7-5. ACCEPT AND FILE PARACRUZ OPERATIONS STATUS REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER 2010

7-6. ACCEPT AND FILE RIDERSHIP AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR NOVEMBER 2010
7-7. ACCEPT AND FILE HIGHWAY 17 STATUS REPORT FOR NOVEMBER 2010
7-8. ACCEPT AND FILE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ MONTHLY SERVICE REPORT FOR NOVEMBER 2010

7-9. APPROVE REGULAR BOARD MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 17, 2010
7-10. ACCEPT AND FILE MINUTES REFLECTING VOTING RESULTS FROM APPOINTEES TO THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FOR PREVIOUS MEETINGS

7-11. ACCEPT AND FILE STATUS REPORT OF ACTIVE GRANTS AND SUBMITTED GRANT PROPOSALS FOR JANUARY 2011

7-12. ACCEPT AND FILE STATUS REPORT OF FEDERAL AND STATE LEGISLATION AND CURRENT LEGISLATIVE ISSUES

7-13. CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTING A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES AND DESIGNATING THE GENERAL MANAGER AS THE AUTHORIZED AGENT TO EXECUTE ACTIONS NECESSARY TO RECEIVE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICE ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT (PTMISEA) FUNDS ON BEHALF OF SANTA CRUZ METRO

7-14. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT WITH THE LAW OFFICES OF MARIE F. SANG FOR LEGAL SERVICES IN THE AREA OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION TO EXTEND THE TERM OF THE CONTRACT FOR ONE (1) ADDITIONAL YEAR.

7-15. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE A ONE YEAR CONTRACT RENEWAL FOR FURNISHING HEAVY DUTY COACH AND AUTOMOTIVE BATTERIES WITH BATTERIES USA, INC. FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$25,000

7-16. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT WITH SC FUELS FOR DELIVERY OF ULTRA-LOW SULFUR DIESEL FUEL FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $\$ 1,500,000$

7-17. CONSIDERATION OF AGREEMENT WITH THE SANTA CRUZ SEASIDE COMPANY FOR THE PROVISION OF LATE-NIGHT SERVICE

7-18. ACCEPT AND FILE REPORT ON STATUS OF METRO GFI GENFARE AUTOMATIC FARE COLLECTION SYSTEM UPGRADE

## REGULAR AGENDA

8. PRESENTATION OF EMPLOYEE LONGEVITY AWARDS PRESENTED BY: CHAIR PIRE
9. CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR THE SERVICES OF MIKE ROTKIN AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT
Presented by: Chair Pirie
10. CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR THE SERVICES OF MARCELA TAVANTZIS AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT
Presented by: Chair Pirie
11. CONSIDERATION OF A RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR THE SERVICES OF BATTISTA BREGANTE AS FACILITIES MAINTENANCE WORKER I FOR THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT
Presented by: Chair Pirie
12. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH CAPITALEDGE ADVOCACY, LLC FOR FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE SERVICES
Presented by: Leslie R. White, General Manager
13. CONSIDERATION OF LETTER FROM CABRILLO COLLEGE REGARDING CESSATION OF STUDENT BUS PASS PROGRAM
Presented by: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager and Acting Assistant General Manager
14. CONSIDERATION OF A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF THE 2002 CHANCE COACH COMPRESSED NATURAL GAS RUBBER TIRED TROLLEY TO SOUTH METRO AREA REGIONAL TRANSIT IN WILSONVILLE, OREGON, A FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION (FTA) DIRECT RECIPIENT AGENCY, UPON RECEIPT OF THE CITY OF SANTA CRUZ'S RELEASE OF INTEREST AND FTA APPROVAL OF SUCH TRANSFER
Presented by: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager and Acting Assistant General Manager
15. CONSIDERATION OF A GRANT BUDGET AMENDMENT TO SUPPLEMENT THE LOCAL MATCH AMOUNT ON FTA 5309 CAPITAL GRANT CA-04-0156 FOR THE PURCHASE OF NEW FAREBOXES AND REDUCE THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THIS PROJECT BY
\$12,380
Presented by: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager and Acting Assistant General Manager

# 16. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH MOORE \& ASSOCIATES FOR WATSONVILLE TRANSIT PLANNING STUDY 

Presented by: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager and Acting Assistant General Manager
17. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH DAY WIRELESS FOR UPGRADE OF SANTA CRUZ METRO'S LAND MOBILE RADIO SYSTEM
Presented by: Ciro Aguirre, Operations Manager
18. CONSIDERATION OF WRITTEN REQUEST TO PROVIDE BUS ADVERTISING SPACE FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROMOTING "POETRY ON THE BUS" AFFILIATED WITH THE POETRY IN MOTION PROJECT
Presented by: Ciro Aguirre, Operations Manager
19. ACCEPT AND FILE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITORS REPORT FOR YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2010
Presented by: Debbie Kinslow, Assistant Finance Manager
20. ORAL ANNOUNCEMENT: THE NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED BOARD MEETING WILL BE HELD FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 2011 AT 9:30 A.M. AT THE SANTA CRUZ METRO ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES LOCATED AT 110 VERNON STREET, SANTA CRUZ Presented by: Chair Pirie

## ADJOURN

## NOTICE TO PUBLIC

Members of the public may address the Board of Directors on a topic not on the agenda but within the jurisdiction of the Board of Directors or on the consent agenda by approaching the Board during consideration of Agenda Item \#3 "Oral and Written Communications", under Section I.
Presentations will be limited in time in accordance with District Resolution 69-2-1.
When addressing the Board, the individual may, but is not required to, provide his/her name and address in an audible tone for the record.

Members of the public may address the Board of Directors on a topic on the agenda by approaching the Board immediately after presentation of the staff report but before the Board of Directors' deliberation on the topic to be addressed. Presentations will be limited in time in accordance with Santa Cruz METRO Resolution 69-2-1.

The Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District does not discriminate on the basis of disability. The City Council Chambers is located in an accessible facility. Any person who requires an accommodation or an auxiliary aid or service to participate in the meeting, please contact Tony Tapiz, Administrative Services Coordinator, at 831-426-6080 as soon as possible in advance of the Board of Directors meeting. Hearing impaired individuals should call 711 for assistance in contacting Santa Cruz METRO regarding special requirements to participate in the Board meeting. A Spanish language translator will be available during "Oral Communications" and for any other agenda item for which these services are needed. This meeting will be broadcast live by Community Television of Santa Cruz on Channel 26.

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Leslie R. White, General Manager

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF ELECTING DIRECTORS TO SERVE AS BOARD OFFICERS, ELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVES AND ALTERNATES TO THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION.

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors elect individuals to the positions Board Chair, Vice Chair, Representatives and Alternates for the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Article 6 of the METRO Bylaws provides that the Board of Directors shall annually elect individuals to the positions of Chair and Vice Chair.
- In order to maintain representation on the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC) it is necessary that the Board of Directors elect individuals to the three positions and three alternate positions that are provided for METRO.
- On January 14, 2011 the Board of Directors nominated individuals to stand for election to the positions referenced in this Staff Report.
- Elections for the positions referenced in this Staff Report are scheduled to be held at the beginning of the January 28, 2011 Board of Directors meeting.


## III. DISCUSSION

The terms of the officers and appointees of the Board of Directors in the positions of Chair, Vice Chair, and SCCRTC appointees expire in January 2011. The METRO Bylaws provide that the Board of Directors shall identify nominees to be considered for election to the positions herein referenced. On January 14, 2011 the Board of Directors nominated individuals for consideration for election to the offices referenced in this Staff Report.

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors elect members to the positions of Chair, Vice Chair, and SCCRTC appointees and alternates. In accordance with the METRO Bylaws, nominations remain open until the positions are filled through election. The election for the referenced positions is scheduled to be held on January 28, 2011.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funding support for the positions identified in this Staff Report is contained in the adopted METRO FY 2010/2011 Operating Budget.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: List of individuals nominated by the Board of Directors January 14, 2011

## ATTACHMENT A

## Board Nominees January 14, 2011

## Chair

John Leopold
Ellen Pirie

## Vice Chair

Lynn Robinson

## SCCRTC Representatives

Dene Bustichi
Daniel Dodge
Donald (Norm) Hagen
Lynn Robinson

## SCCRTC Alternates (in order)

Ron Graves
Daniel Dodge
Michelle Hinkle

## HCA Representative

None

## HCA Alternate

None
Note: With exception of the SCCRTC Alternates, the Nominees are listed in alphabetical order.
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MONTEREY BAY SYSTEMS
NEW FLYER INDUSTRIES LIMITED
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NORTHSTAR, INC.
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 TOLINE, DONALD
U.S. BANK

UNITED PARCEL SERVICE
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE PROGRAM
VONWAL, YVETE
WARNOCK, APRIL

WEST PAYMENT CENTER
 AITKÉN, ANGELA
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W
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# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager and Acting Assistant General Manager
SUBJECT: MONTHLY BUDGET STATUS REPORTS FOR OCTOBER 2010 AND APPROVAL OF BUDGET TRANSFERS

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors accept and file the monthly budget status reports for October 2010 and approve the budget transfers for October 2010.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Operating Revenues for the month of October 2010 were $\$ 12 \mathrm{~K}$ or 0.2 \% over the amount of revenue expected for October 2010.
- Consolidated Operating Expenses for the month of October 2010 were $\$ 271 \mathrm{~K}$ or 9 \% under budget for the month of October 2010.
- Capital Budget spending year to date through October 2010 was $\$ 855 \mathrm{~K}$ or $6 \%$ of the Capital budget.


## III. DISCUSSION

An analysis of Santa Cruz METRO’s budget status is prepared monthly in order to apprise the Board of Directors of Santa Cruz METRO's actual revenues, expenses and capital in relation to the adopted operating and capital budgets for the fiscal year. The attached monthly revenue, expense and capital reports represent the status of Santa Cruz METRO’s FY11 operating and capital budgets versus actual expenditures for the month.

The fiscal year has elapsed 33\%.
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## A. Operating Revenue

For the month of October 2010 Operating Revenues were $\$ 12 \mathrm{~K}$ or 0.2 \% over the amount of revenue expected for October 2010. Revenue variances are explained in the notes at the end of the revenue report.

## B. Operating Expense by Department

Total Operating Expenses by Department for the month of October 2010 were $\$ 271 \mathrm{~K}$ or 9 \% under budget; 0.2 \% over where we were in FY10. The majority of the variance is due to lower than anticipated Personnel and Repair Rev. Vehicles expenses.

## C. Consolidated Operating Expenses

Consolidated Operating Expenses for the month of October 2010 were $\$ 271 \mathrm{~K}$ or 9 \% under budget. Personnel Expenses, Repair Rev. Vehicles, and Repairs Dist. Properties all contributed to the variance. Further explanation of these accounts is contained in the notes following the report.

## D. Capital Budget

Capital Budget spending year to date through October 2010 was $\$ 855 \mathrm{~K}$ or 6 \% of the Capital budget. Of this, $\$ 372 \mathrm{~K}$ or 19 \% has been spent on the MetroBase Maintenance Facility project, $\$ 250 \mathrm{~K}$ or $21 \%$ has been spent on the Transit Mgmt. Info. Technology project, and $\$ 126 \mathrm{~K}$ or 25 \% has been spent on IT Projects.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Due to the severe economic downturn and the resulting significant decline in revenue, staff is implementing cost - cutting strategies and diligently looking at different scenarios and options in order to close the projected budget gap.

Approval of the budget transfers will increase some line item expenses and decrease others. Overall, the changes are expense-neutral.

Attachment A: FY11 Operating Revenue for the month ending - 10/31/10
FY11 Operating Expenses by Department for the month ending - 10/31/10
FY11 Consolidated Operating Expenses for the month ending - 10/31/10
FY11 Capital Budget Reports for the month ending - 10/31/10
FY11 Budget Transfers for the month ending - 10/31/10

Prepared by: Kristina Mihaylova, Financial Analyst
Date Prepared: December 20, 2010

## Attachment A
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Revenue Source Passenger Fares Special Transit Fares Highway 17 Fares

Highway 17 Payments
Commissions \＄
Advertising Income Rent Income－SC Pacific Station
Rent Income－Watsonville TC Rent Income－General

Interest Income $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Other Non－Transp Revenue } & \$ \\ \text { Sales Tax Revenue } & \$\end{array}$

Transp Dev Act（TDA）－Op Asst
Subtotal Other Revenue
FTA Sec 5307 －Op Asst \＄ FTA Sec 5309 －ARRA Oprtg STA－Op Assistance Sec 5303 －AMBAG Funding FTA Sec 5317 －Op Assistance Subtotal Grant Revenue
Rent Income－SC Pacific Station Rent Income－General
Interest Income Sales Tax Revenue
Transp Dev Act（TDA）
$\qquad$ 212,911 ．． －
$\begin{array}{lll}\$ 000 ‘ 0 L Z & \$ 0000 L Z \\ \$ & \$ & \end{array}$

\＄ 0\％

## 

- 

$(11,667)$
-
$(10,117)$
$\frac{1}{0}$
30
0
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { YTD Year Over Year Comparison } \\
& \text { Actual } \\
& \text { FY11 } \\
& \underline{\text { FY10 }} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$


Current Period Notes:

1) Advertising Income is over budget due to more advertising than expected.
2) Interest Income is over budget due to revenue budgeted using County Treas
3) Interest Income is over budget due to revenue budgeted using County Treasury estimates, while a higher interest rate was actually paid.
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 $\begin{array}{rr}- & \$ \\ 172,057 & \$ \\ 472,601 & \$ \\ 167,457 & \$ \\ 176,954 & \$ \\ 177,032 & \$ \\ 162,709 & \$ \\ - & \$ \\ 316,990 & \$ \\ 1,207,316 & \$ \\ 682,829 & \$ \\ 4,524,045 & \$ \\ 1,113,511 & \$ \\ - & \$ \\ 627,300 & \$ \\ - & \$ \\ - & \$ \\ - & \$\end{array}$



 $\leftrightarrow \leftrightarrow$


今 76,998
302,053
 $1,119,005$
274,175 $\begin{array}{lll} & - & \$ \\ & 158,250 & \$ \\ & & \$\end{array}$ $\leftrightarrow \infty$
 69,916
269,950 1，071，817 등荡

Departmental Personnel Expenses Depa－SCCIC \＄

700 －SCCIC 1300 －Customer Service 1300 －Customer Service
1400 －Human Resources 1500 －Information Technology 1700 －District Counsel 2200 －Facilities Maintenance 3100 －Paratransit Program 3200 －Operations 3300 －Bus Operators 9001 －Cobra Benefits 9005 －Retired Employee 9014 －Operating Grants 110020 －Operating Grants －New Flyer Parts Credit
Subtotal Personnel Expenses

FY11
Operating Expenses by Department For the month ending－October 31， 2010

Current Period




 ＊＊does not include depreciation
Current Period Notes:
1）Administration is under budget due to less than anticipated training and travel expenses，as well as cost cutting measures in place．
2）Finance is under budget due to less than anticipated personnel and insurance expenses．
3）IT is under budget due to less than anticipated Repair－Equipment expenses for the month．
4）Risk Management is under budget due to fewer budgeted settlement claims paid in October 2010.
5）Facilities Maintenance is under budget due to extended leaves and lease expenses reclassed form Facilities to Paratransit．
6）Paratransit is under budget due to vacant funded position and extended leaves．
7）Operations is under budget due to budget transfer in October 2010 for temp help expenses incurred in the first three months of the fiscal year．
8）Bus Operators is under budget due to extended leaves．
レレスヨ $\ddagger$ dəgdxヨ 009
7）Fleet is under budget due to vacant funded position and lower than anticipated rev vehicle repair costs．
FRINGE BENEFITS 501011 Bus Operator Pay 5 501021 Other Salaries
FY11
For the month ending - October 31, 2010
Year to Date
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|  |  | Actual |  | Budget |  | \$ Var | \% Var | Notes |  | Actual |  | Budget |  | \$ Var | \% Var |  | FY11 |  | FY10 |  | \$ Var | \% Var |
| LABOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 501011 Bus Operator Pay | \$ | 612,405 | \$ | 645,062 | \$ | $(32,657)$ | -5\% |  | \$ | 2,465,444 | \$ | 2,628,276 | \$ | $(162,832)$ | -6\% | \$ | 2,465,444 | \$ | 2,536,017 | \$ | $(70,573)$ | -3\% |
| 501013 Bus Operator Overtime | \$ | 139,447 | \$ | 128,466 | \$ | 10,981 | 9\% |  | \$ | 483,497 | \$ | 513,863 | \$ | $(30,367)$ | -6\% | \$ | 483,497 | \$ | 516,432 | \$ | $(32,935)$ | -6\% |
| 501021 Other Salaries | \$ | 542,761 | \$ | 550,159 | \$ | $(7,398)$ | -1\% |  | \$ | 2,163,634 | \$ | 2,204,646 | \$ | $(41,012)$ | -2\% | \$ | 2,163,634 | \$ | 2,170,517 | \$ | $(6,883)$ | 0\% |
| 501023 Other Overtime | \$ | 18,873 | \$ | 29,757 | \$ | $(10,884)$ | -37\% |  | \$ | 95,128 | \$ | 119,027 | \$ | $(23,900)$ | -20\% | \$ | 95,128 | \$ | 118,519 | \$ | $(23,391)$ | -20\% |
| Total Labor - | \$ | 1,313,486 | \$ | 1,353,444 | \$ | $(39,958)$ | -3\% |  | \$ | 5,207,702 | \$ | 5,465,813 | \$ | $(258,111)$ | -5\% | \$ | 5,207,702 | \$ | 5,341,485 | \$ | $(133,783)$ | -3\% |

services

503011 Acctg \＆Audit Fees
503012 Admin \＆Bank Fees
503031 Prof \＆Tech Fees 503033 Legal Services 503034 Pre－Employ Exams 503161 Custodial Services 503162 Uniform \＆Laundry 503171 Security Services 503221 Classified／Legal Ads 503225 Graphic Services 503351 Repair－Bldg \＆Impr 503352 Repair－Equipment 503354 Repair－Non Rev Vehicle
503363 Haz Mat Disposal 503363 Haz Mat Disposal
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## Grant-Funded Projects

| MetroBase Maintenance Facility (5309) / (PTMISEA) | \$ | 372,056 | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 1,627,944 | 19\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchase Smartcard Farebox System (ARRA) (5311) | \$ | 30 | \$ | 2,362,000 | \$ | 2,361,970 | 0\% |
| Purchase of 425 Front Street (FTA) / (TCRP) | \$ | 21,696 | \$ | 2,075,000 | \$ | 2,053,304 | 1\% |
| Purchase 27 ParaCruz Vehicles (ARRA) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,750,000 | \$ | 1,750,000 | 0\% |
| Transit Mgmt. Info. Technology (ARRA) | \$ | 249,667 | \$ | 1,165,000 | \$ | 915,333 | 21\% |
| Comprehensive Security \& Surveillance Sys (OHS-1B) | \$ | - | \$ | 440,505 | \$ | 440,505 | 0\% |
| Facilities Video Surveillance Project (OHS-1B) | \$ | 9,900 | \$ | 185,000 | \$ | 175,100 | 5\% |
| Fleet - Land Mobile Radio Project (OHS-1B) | \$ | 53,154 | \$ | 195,000 | \$ | 141,846 | 27\% |
| Trapeze Pass Interactive Voice Response System * | \$ | 22,427 | \$ | 22,427 | \$ | - | 100\% |

Subtotal Grant Funded Projects

## IT Projects

| Replace Fleet \& Facilities Maintenance Software | $\$$ | 8,154 | $\$$ | 170,000 | $\$$ | 161,846 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HR Software Upgrade | $\$$ | 73,673 | $\$$ | 250,000 | $\$$ | 176,327 |
| Trapeze Pass Customer Certification Software | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 5,000 | $\$$ | 5,000 |
| Automated Purchasing System Software | $\$$ | 44,022 | $\$$ | 84,000 | $\$$ | 39,978 |
| Subtotal IT Projects | $\$$ | 125,849 | $\$$ | 509,000 | $\$$ | 383,151 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facilities Repair \& Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MTC Lane Four Shelter Replacement | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 75,000 | $\$$ | 75,000 |
| Repair, Reseal, Restripe (Sinkholes) - Operations | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 4,000 | $\$$ | 4,000 |
| Subtotal Facilities Repairs \& Improvements Projects | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 79,000 | $\$$ | 79,000 |

## Attachment A
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\% Spent YTD


| TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDING | $\$$ | 854,936 | $\$$ | $13,282,932$ | $\$$ | $12,427,996$ | $6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

* Budget transfer from FY10 to FY11 for unspent funds on the Trapeze IVR project was approved at the 10/22 BOD meeting.
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## FY 11 BUDGET LINE ITEM TRANSFERS For the month ending - October 31, 2010

|  | ACCOUNT \# | ACCOUNT TITLE | AMOUNT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRANSFER \# FY11-11 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER FROM: | 501021-2200 | Other Salaries | \$ | $(9,000)$ |
| TRANSFER TO: | 503041-2200 | Temp Help | \$ | 9,000 |
| REASON: | Temporary Worke | Needed due to Extended Lea |  |  |
| TRANSFER \# FY11-12 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER FROM: | 504012-3100 | Fuel/Lube Rev Veh | \$ | $(4,233)$ |
| TRANSFER TO: | 505031-3100 | Telecommunications | \$ | 4,233 |
| REASON: | Payment for AT\& | digital phone line to be installed |  |  |
| TRANSFER \# FY11-19 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER FROM: | 501021-3200 | Other Salaries | \$ | $(5,000)$ |
|  | 502109-3200 | Sick Leave | \$ | $(11,009)$ |
| TRANSFER TO: | 503041-3200 | Temp Help | \$ | 16,009 |
| REASON: | Need funds to cov | Temp Help Budget through 0 |  |  |
| TRANSFER \# FY11-21 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER FROM: | 502101-1100 | Holiday Pay | \$ | (633) |
|  | 502109-1100 | Sick Leave | \$ | $(2,533)$ |
|  | 502111-1100 | Annual Leave | \$ | $(4,645)$ |
|  | 502121-1100 | Other Paid Absences | \$ | (396) |
| TRANSFER TO: | 503041-1100 | Temp Help | \$ | 8,207 |
| REASON: | To cover Temp H | expenses for Admin Assista |  |  |

DATE 01/06/11 10:49



| 840.14 | 002237 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 408.84 | 002918 |
| 486.28 | 001 |
|  |  |
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| 500.00 | 001113 |
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REGAN，MICHAEL
ROBERT HALF MANAGMENT RESOURCE OCHA FEDERICO G ROCHA，FEDERICO G．
ROSSBRO ENGINEERING LTD．
SALINAS VALLEY FORD SALES

SANTA CRUZ AUTO PARTS，INC．
SANTA CRUZ ELECTRONICS，INC．
SANTA CRUZ MUNICIPAL UTILITIES
SANTA CRUZ MUNICIPAL UTILITIES
200.00 E 081
$1,533.76$
001098
$\begin{array}{rl}200.00 & \mathrm{E} 524 \\ 798.00 & 623 \\ 2,762.33 & 018\end{array}$ 230.66135
27.20848
$9,259.08 \quad 079$ SOQUEL III ASSOCIATES
SPECIALIZED AUTO AND
STATE STEEL COMPANY

UNITED PARCEL SERVICE
VALLEY POWER SYSTEMS，INC．

$\wedge$ $\begin{array}{ll}\text { ANTHEM BLUE CROSS } & \\ \text { ASSURANT EMPLOYEE BENEFITS } & \\ \text { AUTOMATIC DOOR SYSTEMS，INC．} & \\ \text { BRINKS AWARDS \＆SIGNS } & 7 \\ \text { BUSTICHI，DENE } & 7 \\ \text { CAPITALEDGE ADVOCACY，LLC } & \\ \text { CITY OF SCOTTS VALLEY } & \\ \text { CITY OF WATSONVILLE }\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{ll}200.00 & 001016 \\ 214.83 & 002861\end{array}$
 ALLARD＇S SEPTIC SERVICE
AMERICAN MESSAGING SVCS，L
 NOV 10 PERS CHOIC NOV 10 LTD
SVCE CALL／VERNON
NAMEPLATES／FIN NOV 10 LTD
SVCE CALL／VERNON
NAMEPLATES／FIN
$10 / 22$ BOD MTG

 REV VEH PARTS／FL
 9／15－10／13
$9 / 15-10 / 13$
1200A RVR
$9 / 15-10 / 13 ~ V E R N O N ~$
 $9 / 15-10 / 13111$ DUB $9 / 15-10 / 13$ 1200B RVR
$9 / 15-10 / 13$ PACIFIC
号



REV VEH PARTS／FL
REV VEH PARTS／FL
REV VEH PARTS／FL PC CARDS／ADM
NOV 10 VSP
SEPT 10 PT SVCS

  NOV PAGERS／FAC
NOV 10 PERS CHOICE
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CULP，JANET L．Ac．，Dipl．O．M．
CUMMINS WEST，INC．
DEVCO OIL
DIXON \＆SON TIRE，INC．
DIXON \＆SON TIRE，INC．

PROBUILD
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2，732．80 001098
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ROBERT HALF MANAGMENT RESOURCE } \\ & \\ & \\ \text { ROBINSON，LYNN MARIE } & 7 \\ \text { ROTKIN，MIKE } & 7 \\ \text { S．C．FUELS } & 0\end{array}$
S．C．FUELS
SALINAS VALL SALINAS VALLEY FORD SALES
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LAW LIBRARY SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LAW LIBRARY
SANTA CRUZ RECORDS MNGMT INC
SCOTTS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT SPECIALIZED AUTO AND $\begin{array}{ll}\text { SPECTRUM HUMAN RESOURCE } & \\ \text { STONE，MARK } & 7 \\ \text { THANH N．VU MD } & 7 \\ \text { UNITED PARCEL SERVICE } & \end{array}$ UNITED SITE SERVICES
VALLEY POWER SYSTEMS，INC． VERIZON CALIFORNIA
WATSONVILLE CADILLAC，BUICK， WATSONVILLE CADILLAC，BUICK，
ZEE MEDICAL SERVICE CO．
 AT\＆T $\square$


CITY OF SANTA CRUZ
CLARKE，SUSAN
CLEAN ENERGY
CUMMINS WEST，INC．
DIESEL MARINE ELECTRIC，INC．
DIETRICH IRON WORKS，INC．
DIXON \＆SON TIRE，INC．
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|  <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  | 9/25-10/22 PROF SVCS

 FLU SHOT RPR/PT CLEAN SUPPLY/FL UNIF \& LAUNDRY/FAC
UNIF \& LAUNDRY/FL
岂



| 37811 | 11/22/10 | 2,905.16 | 110 | JESSICA GROCERY STORE, INC. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37812 | 11/22/10 | 499.32 | 039 | KINKO'S INC. |  |
| 37813 | 11/22/10 | 252.66 | E117 | LIPPERD, SANDRA |  |
| 37814 | 11/22/10 | 1,685.95 | 001119 | MACERICH PARTNERSHIP LP | 7 |
| 37815 | 11/22/10 | 1,725.00 | 001330 | MAXIM HEALTH SYSTEMS, LLC |  |
| 37816 | 11/22/10 | 1,003.29 | 001342 | MCW ASSOCIATES, INC. |  |
| 37817 | 11/22/10 | 1,115.67 | 001052 | MID VALLEY SUPPLY |  |
| 37818 | 11/22/10 | 310.63 | 041 | MISSION UNIFORM |  |
| 37819 | 11/22/10 | 20.48 | 288 | MUNCIE TRANSIT SUPPLY |  |
| 37820 | 11/22/10 | 10,192.18 | 001063 | NEW FLYER INDUSTRIES LIMITED |  |
| 37821 | 11/22/10 | 1,521.62 | 002721 | NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS |  |
| 37822 | 11/22/10 | 91.69 | 161 | OCEAN CHEVROLET |  |
| 37823 | 11/22/10 | 1,740.00 | E645 | OLANDER, JOY |  |
| 37824 | 11/22/10 | 5,244.00 | 009 | PACIFIC GAS \& ELECTRIC |  |
| 37825 | 11/22/10 | 127.00 | 001153 | REPUBLIC ELEVATOR COMPANY |  |
| 37826 | 11/22/10 | 22,432.44 | 904 | RNL DESIGN |  |
| 37827 | 11/22/10 | 2,200. 25 | 001098 | ROBERT HALF MANAGMENT RESOURCE |  |
| 37828 | 11/22/10 | 2,262. 81 | 018 | SALINAS VALLEY FORD SALES |  |
| 37829 | 11/22/10 | 818.21 | 135 | SANTA CRUZ AUTO PARTS, INC. |  |
| 37830 | 11/22/10 | 7,703.44 | 977 | SANTA CRUZ TRANSPORTATION, LLC | 7 |
| 37831 | 11/22/10 | 2,500.00 | 002267 | SHAW / YODER / ANTWIH, INC. |  |
| 37832 | 11/22/10 | 12,461.74 | 001075 | SOQUEL III ASSOCIATES | 7 |
| 37833 | 11/22/10 | 1,800.64 | 001232 | SPECIALIZED AUTO AND |  |
| 37834 | 11/22/10 | 542.00 | 080 | STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION |  |
| 37835 | 11/22/10 | 1,118.65 | 002829 | VALLEY POWER SYSTEMS, INC. |  |



37811 11/22/10
 2,905. 16110
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NEW FLYER INDUSTRIES LIMITED
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# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager and Acting Assistant General Manager
SUBJECT: MONTHLY BUDGET STATUS REPORTS FOR NOVEMBER 2010 AND APPROVAL OF BUDGET TRANSFERS

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors accept and file the monthly budget status reports for November 2010 and approve the budget transfers for November 2010.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Operating Revenues for the month of November 2010 were $\$ 294 \mathrm{~K}$ or $15 \%$ over the amount of revenue expected for November 2010.
- Consolidated Operating Expenses for the month of November 2010 were $\$ 161 \mathrm{~K}$ or 5 \% under budget for the month of November 2010.
- Capital Budget spending year to date through November 2010 was $\$ 1,726 \mathrm{~K}$ or $13 \%$ of the Capital budget.


## III. DISCUSSION

An analysis of Santa Cruz METRO's budget status is prepared monthly in order to apprise the Board of Directors of Santa Cruz METRO's actual revenues, expenses and capital in relation to the adopted operating and capital budgets for the fiscal year. The attached monthly revenue, expense and capital reports represent the status of Santa Cruz METRO's FY11 operating and capital budgets versus actual expenditures for the month.

The fiscal year has elapsed 42\%.

Board of Directors
Board Meeting of January 28, 2011
Page 2

## A. Operating Revenue

For the month of November 2010 Operating Revenues were $\$ 294 \mathrm{~K}$ or $15 \%$ over the amount of revenue expected for November 2010. Revenue variances are explained in the notes at the end of the revenue report.

## B. Operating Expense by Department

Total Operating Expenses by Department for the month of November 2010 were $\$ 161 \mathrm{~K}$ or $5 \%$ under budget; $2 \%$ over where we were in FY10. The majority of the variance is due to lower than anticipated Services and Mobile Materials and Supplies expenses

## C. Consolidated Operating Expenses

Consolidated Operating Expenses for the month of November 2010 were $\$ 161 \mathrm{~K}$ or $5 \%$ under budget. Personnel Expenses, Repair - Equipment, Rev Vehicle Parts, and Other Taxes all contributed to the variance. Further explanation of these accounts is contained in the notes following the report.

## D. Capital Budget

Capital Budget spending year to date through November 2010 was $\$ 1,726 \mathrm{~K}$ or $13 \%$ of the Capital budget. Of this, $\$ 373 \mathrm{~K}$ or $19 \%$ has been spent on the MetroBase Maintenance Facility project, $\$ 850 \mathrm{~K}$ or $36 \%$ has been spent on the Smartcard Farebox System project, $\$ 250 \mathrm{~K}$ or $21 \%$ has been spent on the Transit Mgmt. Info. Technology project, and $\$ 138 \mathrm{~K}$ or $27 \%$ has been spent on IT Projects.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Due to the severe economic downturn and the resulting significant decline in revenue, staff is implementing cost - cutting strategies and diligently looking at different scenarios and options in order to close the projected budget gap.

Approval of the budget transfers will increase some line item expenses and decrease others. Overall, the changes are expense-neutral.

Attachment A: FY11 Operating Revenue for the month ending - 11/30/10
FY11 Operating Expenses by Department for the month ending - 11/30/10
FY11 Consolidated Operating Expenses for the month ending - 11/30/10
FY11 Capital Budget Reports for the month ending - 11/30/10
FY11 Budget Transfers for the month ending - 11/30/10
Prepared by: Kristina Mihaylova, Financial Analyst
Date Prepared: January 18, 2010

## Attachment A



## santa cruz METRO <br> 42\% <br> Percent of Year Elapsed

Revenue Source Passenger Fares Sid Tr as Fare Special Transit Fare
Highway 17 Fares Highway 17 Payments
Commissions

| Actual |  | Budget |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 266,577 | $\$$ | 218,931 |  |
| 20,787 | $\$$ | 20,60 | $\$$ |
| 30,558 | $\$$ | 34,891 |  |
| 84,475 | $\$$ | 113,453 |  |
| 37,035 | $\$$ | 27,306 | $\$$ |
| 789,433 | $\$$ | 724,241 | $\$$ |

For the month ending - November 30, 2010 YTD Year Over Year Comparison
Actual

## FY11

 $\frac{\text { Actual }}{1,493,510}$ Year to Date

 | $\$ 14,194,792$ | $\$ 13,563,589$ | $\$ 631,203$ | $5 \%$ | $\$ 14,194,792$ | $\$ 14,559,535$ | $\$(364,743)$ | $-3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 14,654,265$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\$ 14,654,265$ | $\$ 14,399,371$ |  |  |  |
| $\$ 1459,473)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| $\$ 14,194,792$ | \$ 14,559,535 | \$ $(364,743)$ | $-3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |


|  | $14,654,265$ | $\$$ | $14,399,371$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ | $(459,473)$ | $\$$ | 160,164 |

$\stackrel{5}{9}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { YTD Year Over Year Comparison } \\
\text { Actual }
\end{array} \\
& \text { FY11 FY10 } \quad \underline{\text { SVar }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\underset{\substack{0}}{\stackrel{5}{0}}
$$

$$
\underset{\cos }{\frac{5}{5}}
$$

FY11


[^0]Current Period Notes：

 ＊＊does not include depreciation
1）Administration is under budget due to a vacant funded position，as well as less than anticipated travel expenses in November 2010.
2）Finance is under budget due to audit fees budgeted as being paid in November，while payments will be made later in the fiscal year，and less than anticipated insurance expenses．
$$
\text { 3) Risk Management is under budget due to fewer budgeted settlement claims paid in November } 2010 .
$$
4）Facilities Maintenance is under budget due to lower personnel expenses（employees on extended leaves），utilities，and Property and SVT taxes paid in October and December 2010，
while budgeted in November 2010．
5）Paratransit Program is under budget due to vacant funded positions，extended leaves and lower than anticipated prices of fuel．
6）Bus Operators is over budget due to higher than anticipated worker＇s comp insurance（open claims for active employees and retirees）and overtime expenses in November 2010.
FRINGE BENEFITS

\％Var

\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lr}
\$ & (1,257 \\
\$ & 4,257 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]


FY11
Consolidated Operating Expenses
For the month ending－November 30， 2010
Year to Date
प
0
0
0
0
YTD Year Over Year Comparison
Actual
FY10
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## \＄

```
\＄Var \％Var Notes Actual
```


45,104
57,315
98,120
43,083
22,917
3,042
65,569
20,833
14,000
156,388
12,125


 Actual

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\$ & (10,521) & -100 \% \\
\$ & (109) & -6 \%
\end{array}
$$

$-100 \%$
$-6 \%$
$-43 \%$
$-13 \%$
$-100 \%$
$-57 \%$
$2 \%$
$6 \%$
$-50 \%$
$0 \%$
$-80 \%$
$0 \%$

－
 15,020
847,320
\＄ $-19 \% \quad \$$

## SERVICES

503011 Acctg \＆Audit Fees 503012 Admin \＆Bank Fees 503031 Prof \＆Tech Fees 503033 Legal Services 503034 Pre－Employ Exams
 $\begin{array}{lrrrrr} & \$ & 4,427 & \$ & 4,167 \\ \text { 503161 Custodial Services } & \$ & 1,401 & \$ & 2,800 & \\ 503162 \text { Uniform \＆Laundry } & \$ & 31,349 & \$ & 31,278 & \$ \\ \text { 503171 Security Services } & \$ & 486 & \$ & 2,425 & \\ \text { 503221 Classified／Legal Ads } & \$ & - & \$ & - & \$ \\ \text { 503222 Legal Advertising } & \$ & - & \$ & 333 & \$ \\ \text { 503225 Graphic Services } & \$ & 4,895 & \$ & 8,333 & \\ \text { 503351 Repair－Bldg \＆Impr } & \$ & 38,429 & \$ & 69,283 & \$ \\ \text { 503352 Repair－Equipment } & \$ & \$ 8,655 & \$ & 34,167 \\ \text { 503353 Repair－Rev Vehicle } & \$ & 389 & \$ & 2,083 \\ \text { 503354 Repair－Non Rev Vehicle } & \$ & 2,679 & \$ & 4,125\end{array}$






 $\begin{array}{lr}\$ & 229 \\ \$ & 2,679\end{array}$

> | $-66 \%$ |
| :---: |
| $51 \%$ |
| $24 \%$ |
| $-100 \%$ |
| $26 \%$ |
| $34 \%$ |



PURCHASED TRANSPORTATION
FY11
Consolidated Operating Expenses
For the month ending - November 30, 2010

| - |  |  |  | rrent Perio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Year to Date |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { YTD } \\ \text { Act } \end{gathered}$ |  | Over Year | Com | parison |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ctual |  | Budget |  | \$ Var | \% Var | Notes |  | Actual |  | Budget |  | \$ Var | \% Var |  | FY11 |  | FY10 |  | \$ Var | \% Var |
| PURCHASED TRANSPORTATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 503406 Contr/Paratrans | \$ | 18,194 | \$ | 20,833 | \$ | $(2,639)$ | -13\% |  | \$ | 79,528 | \$ | 104,167 | \$ | $(24,639)$ | -24\% | \$ | 79,528 | \$ | 114,924 | \$ | $(35,396)$ | -31\% |
| Total Purchased Transportation - | \$ | 18,194 | \$ | 20,833 | \$ | $(2,639)$ | -13\% |  | \$ | 79,528 | \$ | 104,167 | \$ | $(24,639)$ | -24\% | \$ | 79,528 | \$ | 114,924 | \$ | $(35,396)$ | -31\% |
| MISC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 509011 Dues \& Subscriptions | \$ | 5,602 | \$ | 5,419 | \$ | 183 | 3\% |  | \$ | 27,990 | \$ | 28,093 | \$ | (103) | 0\% | \$ | 27,990 | \$ | 25,581 | \$ | 2,409 | 9\% |
| 509085 Advertising - Rev Product | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 0\% |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 0\% | \$ | - | \$ | - | , | - | 0\% |
| 509101 Emp Incentive Prog | \$ | 1,151 | \$ | 2,883 | \$ | $(1,732)$ | -60\% |  | \$ | 3,573 | \$ | 14,417 | \$ | $(10,843)$ | -75\% | \$ | 3,573 | \$ | 1,852 | \$ | 1,721 | 93\% |
| 509121 Employee Training | \$ | 2,105 | \$ | 4,132 | \$ | $(2,027)$ | -49\% |  | \$ | 9,327 | \$ | 26,658 | \$ | $(17,331)$ | -65\% | \$ | 9,327 | \$ | 1,781 | \$ | 7,546 | 424\% |
| 509123 Travel | \$ | 1,462 | \$ | 7,565 | \$ | $(6,103)$ | -81\% |  | \$ | 17,835 | \$ | 37,825 | + | $(19,990)$ | -53\% | \$ | 17,835 | \$ | 18,962 | \$ | $(1,127)$ | -6\% |
| 509125 Local Meeting Exp | \$ | 184 | \$ | 413 | \$ | (229) | -55\% |  | \$ | 991 | \$ | 2,063 | \$ | $(1,071)$ | -52\% | \$ | 991 | \$ | 1,055 | \$ | (64) | -6\% |
| 509127 Board Director Fees | \$ | 450 | \$ | 1,100 | \$ | (650) | -59\% |  | \$ | 2,300 | \$ | 5,500 | S | $(3,200)$ | -58\% | \$ | 2,300 | \$ | 2,850 | \$ | (550) | -19\% |
| 509150 Contributions | \$ | - | \$ | 54 | \$ | (54) | -100\% |  | \$ | - | \$ | 271 | \$ | (271) | -100\% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 0\% |
| 509197 Sales Tax Expense |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | 0\% |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 0\% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 0\% |
| 509198 Cash Over/Short | \$ | 1 | \$ | 42 | \$ | (41) | -98\% |  | \$ | 10 | \$ | 208 | \$ | (198) | -95\% | \$ | 10 | \$ | 202 | \$ | (192) | -95\% |
| Total Misc - | \$ | 10,955 | \$ | 21,607 | \$ | $(10,652)$ | $-49 \%$ |  | \$ | 62,027 | \$ | 115,034 | \$ | $(53,007)$ | -46\% | \$ | 62,027 | \$ | 52,283 | \$ | 9,744 | 19\% |
| LEASES \& RENTALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512011 Facility Rentals | \$ | 31,090 | \$ | 39,499 | \$ | $(8,410)$ | -21\% |  | \$ | 155,252 | \$ | 217,082 | \$ | $(61,829)$ | -28\% | \$ | 155,252 | \$ | 302,455 | \$ | $(147,203)$ | -49\% |
| 512061 Equipment Rentals | \$ | 768 | \$ | 1,758 | \$ | (990) | -56\% |  | \$ | 4,230 | \$ | 9,592 | \$ | $(5,362)$ | -56\% | \$ | 4,230 | \$ | 5,708 | \$ | $(1,478)$ | -26\% |
| Total Leases \& Rentals - | \$ | 31,858 | \$ | 41,258 | \$ | $(9,400)$ | -23\% |  | \$ | 159,482 | \$ | 226,673 | \$ | $(67,191)$ | -30\% | \$ | 159,482 | \$ | 308,163 | \$ | $(148,681)$ | -48\% |
| Total Non-Personnel Expenses - | \$ | 550,651 | \$ | 719,159 |  | 168,507) | -23\% |  | \$ | 2,811,863 | \$ | 3,542,865 | \$ | $(731,002)$ | -21\% | \$ | 2,811,863 | \$ | 2,719,007 | \$ | 92,856 | 3\% |
| total operating expense - | \$ | ,990,768 | \$ | 3,151,598 |  | 160,830) | -5\% |  | \$ | 14,654,264 | \$ | 15,776,107 | \$ | 1,121,842) | -7\% | \$ | 14,654,264 | \$ | 14,399,371 | \$ | 254,893 | 2\% |

** does not include depreciation
Current Period Notes:

1) Total Personnel Expenses are slightly over budget due to higher than anticipated worker's comp insurance and bus operator overtime expenses in November 2010.
2) Acctg \& Audit Fees are under budget due to audit fees budgeted as being paid in November, while payments will be made later in the fiscal year.
3) Repair - Equipment is under budget due to inability to anticipate when repair costs will be incurred and straight lining of the budget.
4) Other Taxes is under budget due to Property taxes and SVT related expenses (Bluebonnet Wastewater) budgeted as being paid in November, while payments were actually made in October 2010.
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## Grant-Funded Projects

| MetroBase Maintenance Facility (5309) / (PTMISEA) | \$ | 373,007 | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 1,626,993 | 19\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchase Smartcard Farebox System (ARRA) (5311) | \$ | 850,082 | \$ | 2,362,000 | \$ | 1,511,918 | 36\% |
| Purchase of 425 Front Street (FTA) / (TCRP) | \$ | 26,428 | \$ | 2,075,000 | \$ | 2,048,572 | 1\% |
| Purchase 27 ParaCruz Vehicles (ARRA) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,750,000 | \$ | 1,750,000 | 0\% |
| Transit Mgmt. Info. Technology (ARRA) | \$ | 249,667 | \$ | 1,165,000 | \$ | 915,333 | 21\% |
| Comprehensive Security \& Surveillance Sys (OHS-1B) | \$ | - | \$ | 440,505 | \$ | 440,505 | 0\% |
| Facilities Video Surveillance Project (OHS-1B) | \$ | 13,365 | \$ | 185,000 | \$ | 171,635 | 7\% |
| Fleet - Land Mobile Radio Project (OHS-1B) | \$ | 53,154 | \$ | 195,000 | \$ | 141,846 | 27\% |
| Trapeze Pass Interactive Voice Response System * | \$ | 22,427 | \$ | 22,427 | \$ | - | 100\% |
| Subtotal Grant Funded Projects | \$ | 1,588,130 | \$ | 10,194,932 | \$ | 8,606,802 | 16\% |
| IT Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace Fleet \& Facilities Maintenance Software | \$ | 8,154 | \$ | 170,000 | \$ | 161,846 | 5\% |
| HR Software Upgrade | \$ | 85,420 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 164,580 | 34\% |
| Trapeze Pass Customer Certification Software | \$ | - | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 5,000 | 0\% |
| Automated Purchasing System Software | \$ | 44,022 | \$ | 84,000 | \$ | 39,978 | 52\% |
| Subtotal IT Projects | \$ | 137,596 | \$ | 509,000 | \$ | 371,404 | 27\% |
| Facilities Repair \& Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MTC Lane Four Shelter Replacement | \$ | - | \$ | 75,000 | \$ | 75,000 | 0\% |
| Repair, Reseal, Restripe (Sinkholes) - Operations | \$ | - | \$ | 4,000 | \$ | 4,000 | 0\% |
| Subtotal Facilities Repairs \& Improvements Projects | \$ | - | \$ | 79,000 | \$ | 79,000 | 0\% |

## Attachment A

FY11 Capital Budget October and November 10
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| CAPITAL FUNDING |
| :--- |
| Federal Capital Grants |
| State - Measure A - (VTA) |
| State - PTMISEA (1B) |
| State Security Bond Funds (1B) |
| State - CalTrans (Section 5311) |
| Traffic Congestion Relief Program |
| State Transit Assistance (STA) (C |
| Local Operating Match |
| TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDING |

* Budget transfer from FY10 to FY11 for unspent funds on the Trapeze IVR project was approved at the 10/22 BOD meeting.
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## FY 11 BUDGET LINE ITEM TRANSFERS For the month ending - November 30, 2010

|  | ACCOUNT \# | ACCOUNT TITLE | AMOUNT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRANSFER \# FY11-13 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER FROM: | 501021-3100 | Other Salaries | \$ | $(1,610)$ |
| TRANSFER TO: | 503041-3100 | Temp Help | \$ | 1,610 |
| REASON: | Temporary Worker Needed due to Extended Leaves. |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER \# FY11-14 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER FROM: | 504311-3100 | Office Supplies | \$ | (300) |
| TRANSFER TO: | 504217-3100 | Photo Supply/Processing | \$ | 300 |
| REASON: | Need funds to purchase digital camera for Eligibility Department. |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER \# FY11-15 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER FROM: | 512011-2200 | Facility Lease \& Rent | \$ | $(20,000)$ |
| TRANSFER TO: | 503352-2200 | Repair - Equipment | \$ | 20,000 |
| REASON: | Needed to fund repairs at the CNG station. |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER \# FY11-16 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER FROM: | 503161-2200 | Custodial Services | \$ | $(9,600)$ |
| TRANSFER TO: | 503161-3100 | Custodial Services | \$ | 9,600 |
| REASON: <br> to Paracruz budget to | Move Paracruz custodial expenses from Facilities budget meet NTD reporting requirements. |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER \# FY11-17 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER FROM: | 503353-4100 | Repair -Rev Veh | \$ | (639) |
| TRANSFER TO: | 503353-3100 | Repair -Rev Veh | \$ | 639 |
| REASON: | Need funds for smog checks for 16 Paracruz vans. |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER \# FY11-18 |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSFER FROM: | 501021-2200 | Other Salaries | \$ | $(17,970)$ |
| TRANSFER TO: | 503041-2200 | Temp Help | \$ | 17,970 |
| REASON: <br> Worker . | Need funds to cover Temp Help expenses for Custodial Service |  |  |  |

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors

FROM: April Warnock, Paratransit Superintendent

## SUBJECT: METRO PARACRUZ OPERATIONS STATUS REPORT

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

## This report is for information only - no action requested

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- METRO ParaCruz is the federally mandated ADA complementary paratransit program of the Transit District, providing shared ride, door-to-door demand-response transportation to customers certified as having disabilities that prevent them from independently using the fixed route bus.
- METRO assumed direct operation of paratransit services November 1, 2004. This service had been delivered under contract since 1992.
- Discussion of ParaCruz Operations Status Report.
- Attachment A: On-time Performance Chart displays the percentage of pick-ups within the "ready window" and a breakdown in 5-minute increments for pick-ups beyond the "ready window". The monthly Customer Service Reports summary is included.
- Attachment B: Report of ParaCruz' operating statistics. Performance Averages and Performance Goals are reflected in the Comparative Operating Statistics Table in order to establish and compare actual performance measures, as performance is a critical indicator as to ParaCruz' efficiency.
- Attachments C and D: ParaCruz Performance Charts displaying trends in rider-ship and mileage spanning a period of three years.
- Attachment E: Current calendar year's statistical information on the number of ParaCruz in-person eligibility assessments, including a comparison to past years, since implementation in August of 2002.


## III. DISCUSSION

In November 2010 ParaCruz rides increased by 198 rides from November 2009. The number of rides in November 2010 was markedly decreased by 478 rides from October 2010. While the decrease in rides between October and November is the typical trend, the difference between the number of rides this year is smaller than in the past two years.

Call Center statistics reflect that we experienced problems with the phone system attributed to wiring issues that have been identified. Corrective measures are underway that will alleviate the issue and improve the system's performance.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

NONE

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: ParaCruz On-time Performance Chart
Attachment B: Comparative Operating Statistics Table
Attachment C: Number of Rides Comparison Chart and Shared vs. Total Rides Chart
Attachment D: Mileage Comparison Chart and Year to Date Mileage Chart
Attachment E: Eligibility Chart

## ATTACHMENT A

Board of Directors
Board Meeting January 28, 2011

| ParaCruz On-time Performance Report |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nov 2009 | Nov 2010 |
| Total pick ups | 7795 | 7993 |
| Percent in "ready window" | $\mathbf{9 5 . 0 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 0 3 \%}$ |
| 1 to 5 minutes late | $2.04 \% 1.69 \%$ |  |
| 6 to 10 minutes late | $1.36 \% 1.15 \%$ |  |
| 11 to 15 minutes late | $.58 \% .55 \%$ |  |
| 16 to 20 minutes late | $.58 \% .23 \%$ |  |
| 21 to 25 minutes late | $.21 \% .21 \%$ |  |
| 26 to 30 minutes late | $.06 \% .06 \%$ |  |
| 31 to 35 minutes late | $.06 \% .06 \%$ |  |
| 36 to 40 minutes late | $.03 \% .01 \%$ |  |
| 41 or more minutes late <br> (excessively late $/$ missed trips) | $.01 \% .00 \%$ |  |
| Total beyond "ready window" | $\mathbf{4 . 9 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 7 \%}$ |

During the month of November 2010, ParaCruz received thirteen (13) Customer Service Reports. Three (3) of the reports were valid complaints. Two (2) of the reports were unverifiable. Six (6) reports were not valid, and two (2) of the reports were compliments.

## ATTACHMENT B

Board of Directors
Board Meeting January 28, 2011

|  | Nov 09 | Nov 10 | Fiscal 09-10 | Fiscal 10-11 | Performance <br> Averages | Performance <br> Goals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requested | 8047 | 8843 | 42,513 | 41,992 | 8436 |  |
| Performed | 7137 | 7993 | 39,596 | 39,931 | 7268 |  |
| Cancels | $21.37 \%$ | $20.98 \%$ | $18.02 \%$ | $17.52 \%$ | $18.41 \%$ |  |
| No Shows | $2.51 \%$ | $1.65 \%$ | $3.06 \%$ | $2.05 \%$ | $2.63 \%$ | Less than 3\% |
| Total miles | 48,596 | 50,800 | 257,073 | 261,437 | 50,516 |  |
| Av trip miles | 4.95 | 4.78 | 5.11 | 4.92 | 5.04 |  |
| Within ready <br> window | $95.07 \%$ | $96.25 \%$ | $95.57 \%$ | $96.24 \%$ | $95.57 \%$ | 92.00\% or better |$|$| N |
| :---: |

Comparative Operating Statistics This Fiscal Year, Last Fiscal Year through November 2010.

## ATTACHMENT C

TOTAL RIDES vs. SHARED RIDES

| 10000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8000 | 0 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5000 | - | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHARED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3000 | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN |
| $\triangle$-FY 08-09 | 7849 | 7163 | 8050 | 8766 | 7137 | 7382 | 7173 | 7150 | 8615 | 7966 | 8308 | 7821 |
| $\checkmark$ FFY 09-10 | 7918 | 7062 | 8364 | 8462 | 7795 | 7209 | 6985 | 7300 | 8720 | 8119 | 8336 | 7817 |
| - - FY 10-11 | 7605 | 7357 | 8508 | 8471 | 7993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$-FY 08-09 | 5094 | 4219 | 4495 | 4942 | 4909 | 3725 | 4647 | 3696 | 4578 | 4138 | 4722 | 4415 |
| *FY 09-10 | 4034 | 3413 | 4781 | 4747 | 4337 | 3578 | 3780 | 4005 | 4618 | 4198 | 4420 | 4139 |
| - \#-FY 10-11 | 4124 | 3784 | 4998 | 4754 | 4346 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NUMBER OF RIDES COMPARISON CHART


## ATTACHMENT D

## MILEAGE COMPARISON



YEAR TO DATE MILEAGE COMPARISON CHART


## ATTACHMENT E

| MONTHLY ASSESSMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UNRESTRICTED | RESTRICTED | RESTRICTED | TEMPORARY | DENIED | TOTAL |
|  |  | CONDITIONAL | TRIP BY TRIP |  |  |  |
| NOVEMBER 2009 | 32 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 43 |
| DECEMBER 2009 | 30 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 37 |
| JANUARY 2010 | 35 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 46 |
| FEBRUARY 2010 | 42 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 48 |
| MARCH 2010 | 48 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 56 |
| APRIL 2010 | 29 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 43 |
| MAY 2010 | 44 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 56 |
| JUNE 2010 | 49 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| JULY 2010 | 33 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 45 |
| AUGUST 2010 | 31 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 45 |
| SEPTEMBER 2010 | 55 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 70 |
| OCTOBER 2010 | 58 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 71 |
| NOVEMBER 2010 | 45 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 55 |


| NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE RIDERS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | ACTIVE |
| 2005 | 5336 |
| 2006 | 5315 |
| 2007 | 4820 |
| 2008 | 4895 |
| 2009 | 5291 |

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager \& Acting Assistant General Manager

## SUBJECT: SANTA CRUZ METRO SYSTEM RIDERSHIP AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR NOVEMBER 2010

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

## This report is for informational purposes only. No action is required

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Total ridership for the month of November 2010 was 532,348 , which is an increase of 20,714 riders or $3.9 \%$ versus November 2009.
- Routes in November 2010 with notable increases in comparison to November 2009 are: Route 69N-Santa Cruz/Capitola Cabrillo Night, Route 20D-Westside Supplemental, and Route 16- University via Laurel East.
- Routes in November 2010 with notable decreases in comparison to November 2009 are: Route 74-Ohlone Parkway/Rolling Hills, Route 54-Capitola/Rio Del Mar, and Route 42-Davenport/Bonny Doon.
- There were 3.2 hours of dropped service amounting to 68.15 miles of dropped service in November 2010.
- Fourteen (14) buses reported issues with lifts while in service.


## III. DISCUSSION

In the nineteen (19) weekdays, eight (8) weekend days, and three (3) holidays of November 2010, Santa Cruz METRO's total ridership was 532,348 riders. This was a gain from the previous year, increasing by 20,714 riders or $3.9 \%$. Higher than expected ridership levels from the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) has most likely caused the increase in ridership. Currently, FY11 YTD ridership is 2,269,607, which is down $0.7 \%$ from FY10.

Routes 69N, 20D, and 16 all show consistent growth from the previous November, gaining at least a $7.9 \%$ ridership increase from November 2009. Route 69N serves Cabrillo College at night which has been well utilized by Cabrillo students. Strong ridership on Route 20D shows a continuous demand for service to and from UCSC and Westside Santa Cruz. Route 16 is the most ridden route in the entire county, serving mostly UCSC students seven days a week; this route has increase from high demand for transit service on the weekends at UCSC.

Other Routes with significant ridership increases from November 2009 to November 2010 include: Route 20, Route 71, Route 19, and Route 55. Much of these increases are due to
demand from UCSC and Cabrillo College because the month of November is generally a strong month for school term transit service.

Routes 74, 54, and 42 have seen steady decreases and have contributed to poor ridership. Each of these under performing routes has their unique issues. Route 74-Ohlone Parkway/Rolling Hills, a local Watsonville route, has been underutilized from high school and younger students. Route 54-Capitola/Aptos/Le Selva has also lost ridership due to reduced frequency from the September service reduction. Finally, Route 42-Davenport/Bonny Doon has under performing ridership from long cycle times and reduced frequency from the September service reduction.

Other Routes with significant ridership decreases include: Highway 17, Route 56, UC Night Owl, and Route 68. The majority of these routes were subjected to cuts to frequency and service span during the Fall 2010 Service Reduction.

There were 3.2 hours of dropped service amounting to 68.15 miles of dropped service due to accidents, mechanical problems, and other issues. During service, fourteen (14) buses reported issues with the passenger lifts.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS.

Revenue derived from passenger fares and passes is reflected in the FY11 Revenue.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

| Attachment A: | November 2010 Ridership Report |
| :--- | :--- |
| Attachment B: | November 2009 Ridership Report |
| Attachment C: | FYTD \% Change in Ridership |
| Attachment D: | Route by Route Ridership |
| Attachment E: | Dropped Service for FY11 |
| Attachment F: | In Service Passenger Lift Problems |

Prepared by: Erich Friedrich, Jr. Transportation Planner.
Date Prepared: January 20, 2011

Attachment A
Santa Cruz METRO
November 2010 Ridership Report

| ROUTE | Miles | Hours | UC Student | UC Staff | Cabrillo | Full Fare | Tickets | SID Fare | Day Pass | SID Day Pass | Passes/ Free Fare | Pacific Shores | Total Ridership | Passengers Per Mile | Passengers Per Hour | W/C | Bike |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 5,107.22 | 428.00 | 29,058 | 1,424 | 91 | 848 | 40 | 58 | 13 | 7 | 967 | 11 | 32,517 | 6.37 | 75.97 | 25 | 920 |
| 13 | 2,088.48 | 178.60 | 12,650 | 432 | 30 | 273 | 16 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 419 | 3 | 13,853 | 6.63 | 77.56 | 4 | 301 |
| 15 | 7,191.90 | 603.65 | 44,652 | 1,424 | 167 | 938 | 37 | 78 | 15 | 1 | 1,018 | 13 | 48,343 | 6.72 | 80.08 | 10 | 1,270 |
| 16 | 14,456.94 | 1,173.69 | 94,497 | 2,879 | 360 | 2,803 | 96 | 188 | 22 | 8 | 2,855 | 27 | 103,735 | 7.18 | 88.38 | 41 | 2,590 |
| 19 | 5,499.99 | 415.67 | 27,883 | 927 | 197 | 754 | 27 | 93 | 8 | 5 | 916 | 8 | 30,818 | 5.60 | 74.14 | 10 | 710 |
| 3 | 2,155.20 | 180.00 | 405 | 121 | 91 | 441 | 38 | 164 | 12 | 17 | 1,459 | 99 | 2,847 | 1.32 | 15.82 | 29 | 82 |
| 4 | 1,567.10 | 163.00 | 112 | 30 | 130 | 422 | 188 | 262 | 8 | 12 | 2,818 | 11 | 3,993 | 2.55 | 24.50 | 18 | 68 |
| 8 | 100.24 | 8.66 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 99 | 1 | 122 | 1.22 | 14.09 | 0 | 1 |
| 12A | 250.11 | 18.05 | 895 | 75 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 1,020 | 4.08 | 56.51 | 0 | 46 |
| 20 | 5,919.92 | 394.39 | 21,389 | 868 | 225 | 1,081 | 59 | 191 | 20 | 10 | 1,967 | 202 | 26,012 | 4.39 | 65.96 | 17 | 681 |
| 30 | 1,135.96 | 66.66 | 26 | 5 | 12 | 238 | 17 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 409 | 1 | 723 | 0.64 | 10.85 | 1 | 18 |
| 33 | 448.74 | 20.70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 281 | 0 | 423 | 0.94 | 20.43 | 0 | 3 |
| 34 | 251.28 | 15.89 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 59 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 212 | 0.84 | 13.34 | 1 | 2 |
| 35 | 36,696.85 | 1,843.88 | 879 | 360 | 1,517 | 11,542 | 724 | 1,146 | 271 | 150 | 21,213 | 17 | 37,819 | 1.03 | 20.51 | 50 | 1,719 |
| 40 | 2,847.56 | 112.84 | 52 | 14 | 47 | 581 | 15 | 81 | 20 | 11 | 789 | 11 | 1,621 | 0.57 | 14.37 | 0 | 62 |
| 41 | 2,938.28 | 122.00 | 228 | 60 | 59 | 662 | 28 | 26 | 11 | 5 | 703 | 1 | 1,783 | 0.61 | 14.61 | 0 | 167 |
| 42 | 1,426.90 | 52.16 | 173 | 8 | 13 | 172 | 7 | 29 | 2 | 2 | 187 | 4 | 597 | 0.42 | 11.45 | 0 | 39 |
| 54 | 1,109.20 | 51.01 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 101 | 3 | 18 | 4 | 3 | 192 | 0 | 355 | 0.32 | 6.96 | 2 | 21 |
| 55 | 2,697.20 | 183.34 | 24 | 14 | 1,186 | 714 | 65 | 155 | 19 | 16 | 1,840 | 1 | 4,034 | 1.50 | 22.00 | 99 | 165 |
| 56 | 834.40 | 36.66 | 7 | 1 | 181 | 135 | 9 | 21 | 8 | 14 | 257 | 0 | 633 | 0.76 | 17.27 | 1 | 20 |
| 66 | 6,321.34 | 541.48 | 1,552 | 330 | 549 | 4,522 | 348 | 687 | 72 | 47 | 7,170 | 9 | 15,286 | 2.42 | 28.23 | 205 | 535 |
| 68 | 4,990.46 | 401.87 | 1,331 | 300 | 422 | 2,428 | 149 | 348 | 54 | 27 | 4,202 | 3 | 9,264 | 1.86 | 23.05 | 89 | 297 |
| 69 | 3,000.12 | 274.70 | 1,048 | 206 | 376 | 2,416 | 151 | 334 | 22 | 30 | 4,182 | 26 | 8,791 | 2.93 | 32.00 | 87 | 323 |
| 69A | 13,893.66 | 747.81 | 1,380 | 442 | 775 | 9,070 | 675 | 1,408 | 93 | 90 | 9,703 | 22 | 23,658 | 1.70 | 31.64 | 267 | 877 |
| 69N | 1,496.08 | 120.00 | 619 | 123 | 342 | 604 | 21 | 61 | 1 | 1 | 1,056 | 3 | 2,831 | 1.89 | 23.59 | 32 | 172 |
| 69W | 13,854.91 | 771.10 | 1,675 | 442 | 4,181 | 9,469 | 648 | 1,214 | 114 | 108 | 11,303 | 28 | 29,182 | 2.11 | 37.84 | 268 | 1,016 |
| 71 | 47,275.48 | 2,703.09 | 2,888 | 1,027 | 11,323 | 26,703 | 2,227 | 3,439 | 304 | 263 | 29,854 | 51 | 78,079 | 1.65 | 28.89 | 389 | 3,246 |
| 72 | 5,260.08 | 254.34 | 11 | 4 | 272 | 1,769 | 75 | 292 | 22 | 21 | 1,303 | 0 | 3,769 | 0.72 | 14.82 | 17 | 39 |
| 74 | 3,197.14 | 186.66 | 54 | 11 | 116 | 1,339 | 87 | 273 | 4 | 27 | 790 | 0 | 2,701 | 0.84 | 14.47 | 8 | 17 |
| 75 | 5,700.79 | 359.39 | 21 | 15 | 238 | 3,141 | 181 | 704 | 51 | 59 | 2,681 | 0 | 7,091 | 1.24 | 19.73 | 57 | 130 |
| 79 | 1,569.26 | 91.66 | 14 | 3 | 98 | 610 | 73 | 213 | 23 | 53 | 706 | 0 | 1,793 | 1.14 | 19.56 | 96 | 23 |
| 91x | 5,018.42 | 216.00 | 369 | 153 | 1,216 | 1,444 | 264 | 140 | 52 | 28 | 1,602 | 0 | 5,268 | 1.05 | 24.39 | 20 | 319 |
| UC Supp. | 1,789.49 | 110.47 | 8,902 | 309 | 2 | 68 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 4 | 9,392 | 5.25 | 85.02 | 1 | 184 |
| Night Owl | 967.76 | 57.87 | 3,599 | 56 | 3 | 149 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 3,839 | 3.97 | 66.34 | 0 | 68 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 209,058.45 | 12,905.29 | 256,403 | 12,064 | 24,258 | 85,662 | 6,281 | 11,674 | 1,255 | 1,018 | 113,233 | 556 | 512,404 | 2.45 | 39.70 | 1,844 | 16,131 |
| ROUTE |  |  | VTA/SC Day Pass | CalTrain | ECO Pass | Full <br> Fare | Tickets | SID <br> Riders | $17$ <br> Day Pass | Passes <br> Free Rides |  |  | RIDERSHIP | Passengers Per Mile | Passengers <br> Per Hour | WIC | Bike |
| 17 | 46,608.74 | 1,476.67 | 5 | 32 | 165 | 3,022 | 1,284 | 1,205 | 8 | 14,223 |  |  | 19,944 | 0.43 | 13.51 | 59 | 1,436 |

Attachment B


## FYTD \% Change in Ridership Through November 2010



Route by Route Ridership

| November 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Route | Destination | FY11 <br> Riders | FY10 Riders | +/- from <br> last year | \% |
| 1 | 33 | Lompico | 423 | 283 | 140 | 49.5\% |
| 2 | 69N | Santa Cruz/Capitola Cabrillo Night | 2,831 | 2,015 | 816 | 40.5\% |
| 3 | Sup | Route 20 Supplemental | 9,392 | 7,642 | 1,750 | 22.9\% |
| 4 | 12 | University/Eastside Direct | 1,020 | 848 | 172 | 20.3\% |
| 5 | 13 | University via Walnut | 13,853 | 12,266 | 1,587 | 12.9\% |
| 6 | 19 | University via Lower Bay | 30,818 | 27,482 | 3,336 | 12.1\% |
| 7 | 72 | Corralitos | 3,769 | 3,422 | 347 | 10.1\% |
| 8 | 15 | University via Laurel West | 48,343 | 43,969 | 4,374 | 9.9\% |
| 9 | 10 | University via High St. | 32,517 | 29,586 | 2,931 | 9.9\% |
| 10 | 20 | University via Westside | 26,012 | 23,780 | 2,232 | 9.4\% |
| 11 | 91 | Santa Cruz-Watsonville Express | 5,268 | 4,826 | 442 | 9.2\% |
| 12 | 69W | Santa Cruz/Capitola/Cabrillo Watsonville | 29,182 | 26,786 | 2,396 | 8.9\% |
| 13 | 16 | University via Laurel East | 103,735 | 96,150 | 7,585 | 7.9\% |
| 14 | 40 | Davenport | 1,621 | 1,516 | 105 | 6.9\% |
| 15 | 71 | Watsonville/Santa Cruz | 78,079 | 74,346 | 3,733 | 5.0\% |
| 16 | 55 | Capitola/Rio Del Mar | 4,034 | 3,878 | 156 | 4.0\% |
| 17 | 69 | Santa Cruz/Capitola | 8,791 | 8,499 | 292 | 3.4\% |
| 18 | 79 | East Lake | 1,793 | 1,750 | 43 | 2.5\% |
| 19 | 35 | San Lorenzo Valley | 37,819 | 37,066 | 753 | 2.0\% |
| 20 | 41 | Bonny Doon | 1,783 | 1,750 | 33 | 1.9\% |
| 21 | 69A | Santa Cruz/Capitola/ Watsonville | 23,658 | 23,361 | 297 | 1.3\% |
| 22 | 3 | Natural Bridges | 2,847 | 2,885 | -38 | -1.3\% |
| 23 | 4 | Harvey West/Emeline | 3,993 | 4,085 | -92 | -2.3\% |
| 24 | 66 | Live Oak via 17th Avenue | 15,286 | 15,738 | -452 | -2.9\% |
| 25 | 75 | Green Valley | 7,091 | 7,317 | -226 | -3.1\% |
| 26 | 74 | Ohlone Parkway/Rolling Hills | 2,701 | 2,842 | -141 | -5.0\% |
| 27 | 68 | Live Oak via Broadway/Portola | 9,264 | 10,332 | -1,068 | -10.3\% |
| 28 | 34 | South Felton | 212 | 250 | -38 | -15.2\% |
| 29 | 17 | Santa Cruz/San J ose | 19,944 | 27,204 | -7,260 | -26.7\% |
| 30 | N/O | Night Owl | 3,839 | 5,348 | -1,509 | -28.2\% |
| 31 | 56 | Capitola/La Selva | 633 | 1,251 | -618 | -49.4\% |
| 32 | 42 | Davenport/Bonny Doon | 597 | 1,414 | -817 | -57.8\% |
| 33 | 54 | Capitola/Aptos/La Selva | 355 | 902 | -547 | -60.6\% |
| 34 | 8 | Emeline | 122 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 35 | 30 | Santa Cruz/Scotts Valley | 723 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 36 | 31 \& 32 | Santa Cruz/Scotts Valley | N/A | 2,028 | N/A | N/A |
| 37 | 53 | Capitola/Dominican | N/A | 587 | N/A | N/A |
| 38 | 70 | Santa Cruz/Cabrillo | N/A | 7,630 | N/A | N/A |
| 39 | 76 | Corralitos/Buena Vista | N/A | 847 | N/A | N/A |
| 40 | 7 \& 9 | Beach St./Prospect Heights | N/A | 1,220 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/A |
| 41 | 27x | University Express | N/A | 4,728 | N/A | N/A |
| 42 | 68N | Beach/Broadway/Portola Night | N/A | 2,206 | N/A | N/A |
|  | ALS |  | 532,348 | 530,035 | 20,714 | 3.9\% |

Attachment E

## Dropped Service for FY11



Attachment F

SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT
Passenger Lift Problems
MONTH OF NOVEMBER 2010

|  | BUS \# | DATE | DAY | REASON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2226 | D/C LF 40 | 1-Nov | Monday | Kneel does not always work. |
| 9838 | GIL 40 | 3-Nov | Wednesday | Lift is not working. Won't deploy. |
| 9840 | GIL 40 | 4-Nov | Thursday | Lift got stuck |
| 2806 | C 40 LF 08 | 4-Nov | Thursday | Kneel switch very loose. "Kneel" not raising at times. |
| 9812 | LFF 35 | 5-Nov | Friday | Kneel would not come up and at some time, fare box stopped working correctly. Turned off power at rear and it started working again. A while later, kneel stopped working again. |
| 2219 | D/C LF 35 | 6-Nov | Saturday | Kneel switch stopped working. |
| 2306 | 17 ORI 40 | 6-Nov | Saturday | Kneel takes extra effort and time to come back up - either shut down or wait for high idle to take effect. |
| 2208 | CNG LFF 40 | 7-Nov | Sunday | Lift/Ramp/Kneel alarm not loud enough |
| 9829 | LFF 40 | 7-Nov | Sunday | Ramp bent - have to force it to stow and force it up. |
| 2208 | CNG LFF 40 | 9-Nov | Tuesday | Ramp does not deploy; must deploy manually. |
| 9812 | LFF 35 | 10-Nov | Wednesday | Kneel switch gets stuck. |
| 2207 | CNG LFF 40 | 10-Nov | Wednesday | Kneel slow to go up. |
| 2303 | 17 ORI 40 | 15-Nov | Monday | No beeper for lift. |
| 2214 | D/C LF 35 | 27-Nov | Saturday | Kneel beeping does not sound. |
| 9838 | GIL 40 | 29-Nov | Monday | Kneel did not raise up. |
| 2303 | 17 ORI 40 | 29-Nov | Monday | Lift doesn't beep when deployed. |
| 9820 | LFF 40 | 30-Nov | Tuesday | Kneel not working. |

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager \& Acting Assistant General Manager

## SUBJECT: HIGHWAY 17 EXPRESS SERVICE REPORT FOR NOVEMBER 2010

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

## This report is for informational purposes only. No action is required

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Total ridership for the month of November 2010 was 19,946 , which is an decrease of 7,258 riders or -26.7\% from November 2009.
- FY11 average ridership per weekday was 784. This is an 19.6\% decrease from FY10.
- FY11 riders per revenue hour were 13.26 riders per hour, which is a $21.1 \%$ decrease from FY10.
- November 2010 Highway 17 Express operating costs was $\$ 145,054.78$ with November 2010 fare revenue at $\$ 84,475.28$ and additional funds from AMTRAK and SJSU at $\$ 13,491.46$ resulting in a $67.5 \%$ cost recovery ratio.


## III. DISCUSSION

In the nineteen (19) weekdays, eight (8) weekend days, and three (3) holidays of November 2010, the Highway 17 Express total ridership was 19,946 riders. This was a loss from the previous year, decreasing by 7,258 riders or simply $-26.7 \%$. A proportion of this loss is due to an expected drop in ridership after a nearly $25 \%$ increase in Highway 17 Express fares which began June 10, 2010.

FY11 average weekday ridership on the Highway 17 Express was 784 riders per weekday, a 19.6\% decrease from 975 riders per weekday in FY10. Simultaneously Highway 17 Express has seen a $21.1 \%$ decrease in riders per revenue hour from 16.80 riders per revenue hour to 13.26 riders per revenue hour. These decreases in ridership could be due to stable gasoline prices as well as continually high unemployment rates in both Santa Clara and Santa Cruz counties. However such drastic drops in ridership even with such variables as high unemployment, gas prices, and fare increases, is very peculiar. Santa Cruz METRO staff is continuing to audit these counts to ensure that ridership data is being counted accurately on the Highway 17 Express.

The operating cost of the Highway 17 Express for November 2010 was $\$ 145,054.78$. A respectable $67.5 \%$ of the operating costs were recovered from fare revenue of $\$ 84,475.28$ and additional funds from AMTRAK and SJSU of \$13,491.46 totaling to $\$ 97,966.74$ in November 2010. Please see attachments regarding these figures.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS.

Revenue derived from passenger fares and passes is reflected in the FY11 Revenue.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Highway 17 Express Operating Statistics Summary Fiscal Year 2011
Attachment B: Highway 17 Express Revenue \& Expenditure Summary Fiscal Year 2011
Attachment C: Highway 17 Express Operating Statistics Summary Fiscal Year 2010

Prepared by: Erich Friedrich, Jr. Transportation Planner.
Date Prepared: January 20, 2011

HIGHWAY 17 EXPRESS
REVENUE \& EXPENDITURE SUMMARY


[^1]Abbreviations: SCMTD = Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit Distric

* SCMTD Invoice
** Expenses for SJSU blocks less farebox for SJSU block
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager \& Acting Assistant General Manager

## SUBJECT: UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA - SANTA CRUZ MONTHLY SERVICE REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER 2010

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

This report is for information purposes only. No action is required

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- There were nineteen (19) school-term service days in November 2010 and twenty (20) in November 2009.
- Revenue received from UCSC was $\$ 343,789.84$ versus $\$ 319,759.62$ an increase of 7.5\%.
- System-wide UCSC ridership increased by 5.2\% FYTD.
- Total student ridership increased by 5.5\% FYTD.
- Total Faculty/Staff ridership increased by 1.7\% FYTD.
- Average Student ridership per school day decreased by $0.3 \%$.
- Average Faculty/Staff ridership per weekday decreased by $2.2 \%$


## III. DISCUSSION

For the month of November 2010, there were nineteen (19) school-term service days.
UCSC Revenue in November 2010 increased a total of \$2,750.54 or $0.7 \%$ over November 2009 due to increases in UCSC ridership. UCSC ridership for all Santa Cruz METRO service in November 2010 was positive compared to November 2009, with a increase of 5.2\% FYTD. Monthly comparisons included a $0.3 \%$ decrease in Average Student ridership per weekday and a 2.2\% decrease in Average Faculty/ Staff ridership per weekday in November 2010 from November 2009. These variations in ridership are from higher use of transit service to and from the university on weekends, the deletion of the Route 27X, along with high transfer student enrollment figures for the 2010-2011 school year.

Please see attached charts and graphs that will depict average UCSC Student and Faculty/Staff ridership decreasing by $0.3 \%$ and $2.2 \%$ respectively.
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## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS.

Total revenue received as of November 2010 is positive $\$ 74,497.15$ or $7.24 \%$ FYTD over November 2009 actuals.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Total UCSC Monthly Revenue
Attachment B: Total UCSC Ridership
Attachment C: Monthly UCSC Ridership
Attachment D: Total UCSC Student Ridership
Attachment E: Total UCSC Faculty/Staff Ridership

Prepared by: Erich Friedrich, Jr. Transportation Planner.
Date Prepared: January 19, 2010
Total UCSC Monthly Revenue

| Date | Regular Student Bill | Regular Staff Bill | Night Owl Bill | Supplemental Bill | 27x | TOTAL | Last Year | \% Change | \$ Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jul-09 | \$48,734.00 | \$13,306.66 |  |  |  | \$62,040.66 | \$ 64,874.83 | -4.4\% | -\$2,834.17 |
| Aug-09 | \$41,885.71 | \$13,429.30 |  |  |  | \$55,315.01 | \$ 71,020.75 | -22.1\% | -\$15,705.74 |
| Sep-09 | \$153,393.69 | \$15,756.32 | \$2,760.13 | \$652.54 | \$2,408.04 | \$174,970.72 | \$ 178,369.12 | -1.9\% | -\$3,398.40 |
| Oct-09 | \$385,944.49 | \$16,972.17 | \$10,275.05 | \$0.00 | \$4,055.38 | \$417,247.09 | \$ 450,795.38 | -7.4\% | -\$33,548.29 |
| Nov-09 | \$291,654.82 | \$15,207.74 | \$7,550.34 | \$918.86 | \$4,427.86 | \$319,759.62 | \$ 310,209.41 | 3.1\% | \$9,550.21 |
| Dec-09 | \$115,072.85 | \$11,307.11 | \$3,421.37 | \$1,091.96 | \$1,985.66 | \$132,878.95 | \$ 151,680.37 | -12.4\% | -\$18,801.42 |
| Jan-10 | \$331,619.03 | \$15,284.03 | \$8,693.45 | \$0.00 | \$3,366.77 | \$358,963.28 | \$ 356,147.42 | 0.8\% | \$2,815.86 |
| Feb-10 | \$302,031.88 | \$15,521.39 | \$9,450.70 | \$0.00 | \$3,594.24 | \$330,598.21 | \$ 348,030.15 | -5.0\% | -\$17,431.94 |
| Mar-10 | \$258,226.37 | \$17,196.20 | \$7,032.35 | \$1,954.18 | \$5,453.59 | \$289,862.69 | \$ 286,520.90 | 1.2\% | \$3,341.79 |
| Apr-10 | \$335,640.76 | \$17,968.82 | \$11,416.52 | \$924.71 | \$4,228.34 | \$370,179.15 | \$ 374,495.45 | -1.2\% | -\$4,316.30 |
| May-10 | \$302,677.34 | \$17,018.18 | \$9,891.33 | \$894.26 | \$4,356.87 | \$334,837.98 | \$ 336,405.92 | -0.5\% | -\$1,567.94 |
| Jun-10 | \$135,200.88 | \$15,521.39 | \$2,945.43 | \$1,493.05 | \$3,033.66 | \$158,194.41 | \$ 160,072.95 | -1.2\% | -\$1,878.54 |
| FY 2010 Total | \$2,702,081.82 | \$184,489.31 | \$73,436.67 | \$7,929.56 | \$36,910.41 | \$3,004,847.77 | \$3,088,622.65 | -2.71\% | -\$83,774.88 |
| FY 11 UCSC Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Regular Student Bill | Regular Staff Bill | $\begin{gathered} \text { Night Owl } \\ \text { Bill } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Supplemental Bill | 27x | TOTAL | Last Year | \% Change | \$ Change |
| Jul-10 | \$55,331.80 | \$14,766.93 |  |  |  | \$70,098.73 | \$ 62,040.66 | 13.0\% | \$8,058.07 |
| Aug-10 | \$50,493.86 | \$15,448.73 |  |  |  | \$65,942.59 | \$ 55,315.01 | 19.2\% | \$10,627.58 |
| Sep-10 | \$183,733.09 | \$16,468.14 | \$3,800.23 | \$0.00 |  | \$204,001.46 | \$ 174,970.72 | 16.6\% | \$29,030.74 |
| Oct-10 | \$391,142.75 | \$16,516.19 | \$11,720.34 | \$618.35 |  | \$419,997.63 | \$ 417,247.09 | 0.7\% | \$2,750.54 |
| Nov-10 | \$315,888.50 | \$14,862.85 | \$9,662.40 | \$3,376.09 |  | \$343,789.84 | \$ 319,759.62 | 7.5\% | \$24,030.22 |
| Dec-10 |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Jan-11 |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Feb-11 |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Mar-11 |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Apr-11 |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| May-11 |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Jun-11 |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| FY 2010 Total | \$996,590.00 | \$78,062.84 | \$25,182.97 | \$3,994.44 | \$0.00 | \$1,103,830.25 | \$1,029,333.10 | 7.24\% | \$74,497.15 |

Total UCSC Ridership

| FY 2010 UCSC Ridership |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | July | August | September | October | November | December | January | February | March | April | May | June | Total |
| Student | 42,121 | 36,202 | 130,437 | 318,699 | 240,838 | 95,023 | 273,839 | 253,307 | 209,334 | 277,945 | 250,573 | 111,722 | 2,240,040 |
| Staff | 11,501 | 11,607 | 13,030 | 14,015 | 12,558 | 9,337 | 12,621 | 12,978 | 14,039 | 14,849 | 14,063 | 12,836 | 153,434 |
| Total | 53,622 | 47,809 | 143,467 | 332,714 | 253,396 | 104,360 | 286,460 | 266,285 | 223,373 | 292,794 | 264,636 | 124,558 | 2,393,474 |
| Percentage Difference Between This Year and Last Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student | 11.8\% | -10.4\% | -0.6\% | -9.8\% | -11.8\% | -17.4\% | -2.4\% | -6.6\% | -5.6\% | -4.7\% | -3.5\% | -5.2\% | -6.4\% |
| Staff | -13.3\% | -22.8\% | -17.0\% | -22.9\% | -17.9\% | -17.1\% | -6.4\% | -6.5\% | -0.6\% | 4.7\% | 8.0\% | 1.6\% | -9.8\% |
| Total | 5.3\% | -13.8\% | -2.4\% | -10.4\% | -12.2\% | -17.3\% | -2.6\% | -6.6\% | -5.3\% | -4.3\% | -2.9\% | -4.5\% | -6.6\% |
| FY 2011 UCSC Ridership |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | July | August | September | October | November | December | January | February | March | April | May | June | Total |
| Student | 45,691 | 41,696 | 149,134 | 317,486 | 256,403 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 810,410 |
| Staff | 12,194 | 12,757 | 13,367 | 13,406 | 12,064 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63,788 |
| Total | 57,885 | 54,453 | 162,501 | 330,892 | 268,467 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 874,198 |
| Percentage Difference Between This Year and Last Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student | 8.5\% | 15.2\% | 14.3\% | -0.4\% | 6.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staff | 6.0\% | 9.9\% | 2.6\% | -4.3\% | -3.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8.0\% | 13.9\% | 13.3\% | -0.5\% | 5.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | UCSC Ridership FYTD |  | FYTD 2010 FYTD 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Student |  | 768,297 | 810,410 | 5.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Staff |  | 62,711 | 63,788 | 1.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  | 831,008 | 874,198 | 5.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |

Monthly UCSC Ridership

| Nov 2010 | Student Ridership |  |  | Faculty/ Staff Ridership |  |  | Average Student Ridership Per School Day |  |  | Average Faculty/Staff Ridership Per Weekday |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 11 | FY 10 | \% | FY 11 | FY 10 | \% | FY 11 | FY 10 | \% | FY 11 | FY 10 | \% |
| Regular Service | 243,902 | 224,390 | 8.7\% | 11,699 | 12,000 | -2.5\% | 11,521.5 | 11,219.5 | 2.7\% | 557.1 | 545.5 | 2.1\% |
| Supplemental | 8,902 | 7,202 | 23.6\% | 309 | 351 | -12.0\% | 494.6 | 400.1 | 23.6\% | 14.7 | 16.0 | -7.8\% |
| Night Owl | 3,599 | 4,944 | -27.2\% | 56 | 22 | 154.5\% | 199.9 | 274.7 | -27.2\% | 2.7 | 1.0 | 166.7\% |
| 27x | 0 | 6,464 | -100.0\% | 0 | 224 | -100.0\% | 0.0 | 359.1 | -100.0\% | 0.0 | 24.9 | -100.0\% |
| TOTAL | 256,403 | 243,000 | 5.5\% | 12,064 | 12,597 | -4.2\% | 12,216.0 | 12,253.4 | -0.3\% | 574.5 | 587.3 | -2.2\% |

Attachment D
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A Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District met on Friday, December 24, 2010 at the Santa Cruz City Council Chambers, 809 Center Street, Santa Cruz, CA.

Chair Pirie called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m.

## SECTION 1: OPEN SESSION

1. ROLL CALL:

## DIRECTORS PRESENT

Dene Bustichi
Ellen Pirie
Donald Hagen
Michelle Hinkle
John Leopold
Lynn Robinson
Hilary Bryant
Ex-Officio Donna Blitzer

## STAFF PRESENT

Angela Aitken, Acting AGM/Finance
Manager
Bob Cotter, Maintenance Manager
Frank Cheng, MB Project Manager
Mary Ferrick, Fixed Route Superintendent
Margaret Gallagher, District Counsel

## DIRECTORS ABSENT

Mark Stone

Debbie Kinslow, Asst Finance Manager
Robyn Slater, Human Resources Manager April Warnock, Paratransit Superintendent Les White, General Manager

## EMPLOYEES AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO VOLUNTARILY INDICATED THEY WERE PRESENT

John Daugherty, SEA Manny Martinez, PSA
Eduardo Montesino, UTU
Bonnie Morr, UTU
Karena Pushnik, SCCRTC

Will Regan, VMU
Amy Weiss, Spanish Interpreter
Veronica Elsey, E\&D TAC

## 3. ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATION TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

 Written:a. Fern Feldman
re: External Bus Announcements

Oral:
Karena Pushnik of the Santa Cruz Regional Transportation Commission announced that her agency's Guide for Specialized Transportation was available to the public. A copy is attached to the file copy of these minutes.

## 4. LABOR ORGANIZATION COMMUNICATIONS

Bonnie Morr welcomed Director Bryant.
5. ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION TO SUPPORT EXISTING AGENDA ITEMS

None.
CONSENT AGENDA
6-1. APPROVE PRELIMINARILY APPROVED CLAIMS FOR THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER $\underline{2010}$

6-2. MONTHLY BUDGET STATUS REPORTS FOR SEPTEMBER 2010, APPROVAL OF BUDGET TRANSFERS, AND AUTHORIZATION TO ADD \$68,500 TO THE FY11 CAPITAL BUDGET

6-3. CONSIDERATION OF TORT CLAIMS: REJECT THE CLAIM OF MARIO AREVALO, \# 10-0036; REJECT THE CLAIM OF BAO NGUYEN, \#10-0037

6-4. ACCEPT AND FILE MAC AGENDA OF DECEMBER 15, 2010
6-5. ACCEPT AND FILE PARACRUZ OPERATIONS STATUS REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF OCTOBER 2010

6-6. ACCEPT AND FILE RIDERSHIP AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR OCTOBER 2010
6-7. ACCEPT AND FILE HIGHWAY 17 STATUS REPORT FOR OCTOBER 2010
6-8. ACCEPT AND FILE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ MONTHLY SERVICE REPORT FOR OCTOBER 2010

6-9. APPROVE REGULAR BOARD MEETING MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 19, 2010

6-10. ACCEPT AND FILE MINUTES REFLECTING VOTING RESULTS FROM APPOINTEES TO THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FOR THE NOVEMBER 2010 MEETING(S)

6-11. ACCEPT AND FILE STATUS REPORT OF ACTIVE GRANTS AND SUBMITTED GRANT PROPOSALS FOR DECEMBER 2010

6-12. ACCEPT AND FILE STATUS REPORT OF FEDERAL AND STATE LEGISLATION AND CURRENT LEGISLATIVE ISSUES

6-13. CONSIDERATION OF OWNED AND LEASED PROPERTY INVENTORIES TO DETERMINE IF THERE IS ANY PROPERTY IN EXCESS OF SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT'S FORESEEABLE NEEDS

6-14. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH BRINKS FOR CASH VAULT PICK-UP SERVICES AND COIN \& CURRENCY PROCESSING SERVICES

6-15. CONSIDERATION OF CONTRACT AMENDMENTS WITH SPECIALIZED AUTO AND FLEET SERVICES, INC. AND DOC AUTO LLC. TO EXTEND PARACRUZ VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SERVICES FOR A PERIOD OF ONE YEAR

6-16. ACCEPT AND FILE LETTER FROM CABRILLO COLLEGE REGARDING CESSATION OF STUDENT BUS PASS PROGRAM, AND CONSIDERATION OF THE INSTALLATION OF TICKET VENDING MACHINES AT CABRILLO COLLEGE

6-17. CONSIDERATION OF DECLARING 29 CHEVROLET VANS, 2 FORD VANS, A FORD SEDAN, AND A DODGE VAN AS EXCESS FOR PURPOSES OF DISPOSAL OR AUCTION

6-18. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT FOR A ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF THE CONTRACT WITH PAT PIRAS CONSULTING FOR REVIEW OF THE ADA PARATRANSIT ELIGIBILITY PROCESS

6-19. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO EXTEND THE 989 ARRA PASS-THROUGH AGREEMENT WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ (UCSC) TO MARCH 31, 2011

6-20. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT FOR HASTUS ANNUAL MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT WITH GIRO, INC.

6-21. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING FOR DELTA DENTAL INSURANCE COVERAGE AND SIGN THE STABILIZATION CONSENT AGREEMENT THROUGH THE CALIFORINA STATE ASSOCIATIONS OF COUNTIES EXCESS INSURANCE AUTHORITY (CSAC-EIA-EIA)

6-22. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT FOR AN EXTENSION OF THE CONTRACT WITH CLASSIC GRAPHICS FOR VEHICLE BODY REPAIR AND PAINT SERVICES FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$200,000

Item \#6-16 was pulled from the Consent Agenda and moved to the Regular Agenda as item \#13.1

## ACTION: MOTION: DIRECTOR HAGEN SECOND: DIRECTOR ROTKIN

Approve the Consent Agenda as amended.
Motion passed unanimously with Director Stone being absent.

## REGULAR AGENDA

## 7. PRESENTATION OF EMPLOYEE LONGEVITY AWARDS

The following employees were acknowledged for their years of service:
TEN YEARS: Rebecca Daniel, Paralegal; Eileen Wagley, ADA Eligibility Coordinator
8. CONSIDERATION OF A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF THE 2002 CHANCE COACH COMPRESSED NATURAL GAS RUBBER TIRED TROLLEY TO SOUTH METRO AREA REGIONAL TRANSIT IN WILSONVILLE, OREGON, A FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION (FTA) DIRECT RECIPIENT AGENCY, UPON RECEIPT OF THE CITY OF SANTA CRUZ'S RELEASE OF INTEREST AND FTA APPROVAL OF SUCH TRANSFER

Summary: Leslie White, General Manager, discussed the history of the trolley and deferred the matter to the January 28, 2011 Board of Director's meeting

ACTION: MOTION: DIRECTOR BUSTICHI SECOND: DIRECTOR HAGEN
Defer discussion of the Transfer of the Trolley to the January 28, 2011 Board of Directors meeting.

Motion passed unanimously with Director Stone being absent.
9. CONSIDERATION OF SANTA CRUZ METRO'S RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION OF MONTEREY BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS (AMBAG) BLUEPRINT PLANNING PROJECTS

Summary: Leslie R. White presented the staff report in response to the AMBAG Blueprint Planning Projects. There was a discussion about the flaws of the project.
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ACTION: MOTION: DIRECTOR LEOPOLD SECOND: DIRECTOR ROBINSON
Accept staff response to AMBAG Blueprint Planning Projects.
Motion passed unanimously with Director Stone being absent.
10. CONSIDERATION OF THE APPROVAL OF THE CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENT AND REPAIR OF PROPOSED COUNTY-WIDE BUS STOPS USING \$500,000 IN STATE TRANSIT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUNDS VIA THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION (SCCRTC)

Summary: Tove Beatty, Legislative and Grants Analyst, detailed the project. There was a discussion about what was allowable for repairs. Veronica Elsey, E\&D TAC, said that there were still some unanswered questions, and she hoped that E\&D TAC would be consulted again as the project progresses.

ACTION: MOTION: DIRECTOR LEOPOLD SECOND: DIRECTOR HAGEN
Approve Bus Stop Construction, Improvement and Repair project
Motion passed unanimously with Director Stone being absent.
11. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH OJO TECHNOLOGY FOR A CLOSED CIRCUIT TELEVISION SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM AT THE WATSONVILLE TRANSIT CENTER FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$164,000

ACTION: MOTION: DIRECTOR BUSTICHI SECOND: DIRECTOR HINKLE
Authorize the general manager to execute a contract with Ojo Technology for a closed circuit television surveillance system at the Watsonville Transit Center for an amount not to exceed $\$ 164,000$

Motion passed unanimously with Director Stone being absent.
12. CONSIDERATION OF AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH SHAW/YODER/ANTWIH, INC. FOR STATE LEGISLATIVE SERVICES

Summary: Leslie R. White, General Manager reported that he was very satisfied with the services of Shaw/Yoder/Antwih.
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Authorize the General Manager to execute a contract with Shaw/Yoder/Antwih, Inc. for state legislative services.

Motion passed unanimously with Director Stone being absent.
13. CONSIDERATION OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT WITH WEST BAY BUILDERS, INC. EXTENDING THE CONTRACT EXPIRATION DATE FOR THE METROBASE MAINTENANCE BUILDING TO JUNE 30, 2011, WHILE MAINTAINING THE CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION DATE OF AUGUST 29, 2009

ACTION: MOTION: DIRECTOR BUSTICHI SECOND: DIRECTOR LEOPOLD

Approve the amendment to the contract with West Bay Builders, Inc., extending the contract expiration date for the Metrobase Maintenance Building to June 30, 2011, while maintaining the construction completion date of August 29, 2009

Motion passed unanimously with Director Stone being absent.

### 13.1 ACCEPT AND FILE LETTER FROM CABRILLO COLLEGE REGARDING CESSATION OF STUDENT BUS PASS PROGRAM, AND CONSIDERATION OF THE INSTALLATION OF TICKET VENDING MACHINES AT CABRILLO COLLEGE

## Summary:

Angela Aitken reported that Cabrillo College has indicated that it will terminate its Bus Pass Policy. Director Leopold asked for verification that the action could be taken without the consent of the Cabrillo College Board of Trustees.

ACTION: MOTION: DIRECTOR ROBINSON SECOND: DIRECTOR HAGEN
Continue item at next Board of Director's meeting on January 28, 2011
Motion passed unanimously with Director Stone being absent.
14. ORAL ANNOUNCEMENT: THE NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED BOARD MEETING WILL BE HELD FRIDAY, JANUARY 14, 2011 AT 9:30 A.M. AT THE SANTA CRUZ METRO ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES LOCATED AT 110 VERNON STREET, IN SANTA CRUZ

## 15. REVIEW OF ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED IN CLOSED SESSION

Margaret Gallagher, District Counsel, said that the Board of Directors would have a conference with its Legal Counsel regarding the existing claim of PG\&E v. Santa Cruz METRO.
16. ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING CLOSED SESSION

SECTION II: CLOSED SESSION

Minutes- Board of Directors
December 17, 2010
Page 7
Chair Pirie adjourned to Closed Session at 10:20 a.m. and reconvened to Open Session at 10:31 a.m.

## SECTION III: RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION

## 17. REPORT OF CLOSED SESSION

Margaret Gallagher stated that there was no reportable action taken in Closed Session.

## ADJOURN

There being no further business, Chair Pirie adjourned the meeting at 10:31 a.m.
Respectfully submitted,
ANTHONY TAPIZ
Administrative Services Coordinator

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Leslie R. White, General Manager

## SUBJECT: ACCEPT AND FILE VOTING RESULTS FROM APPOINTEES TO THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FOR PREVIOUS MEETINGS

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors accept and file the voting results from appointees to the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Per the action taken by the Board of Directors, staff is providing the minutes from the most recent meetings of the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission.
- Each month staff will provide the minutes from the previous month's SCCRTC meetings.


## III. DISCUSSION

The Board requested that staff include in the Board Packet information relating to the voting results from the appointees to the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission. Staff is enclosing the minutes from these meetings as a mechanism of complying with this request.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

There is no cost impact from this action.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Minutes of the December 2, 2010 Regular SCCRTC Meeting

Prepared by: Tony Tapiz, Administrative Services Coordinator.
Date Prepared: January 20, 2011

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission

## MI NUTES

Thursday
December 2, 2010
9:00 a.m.
Board of Supervisors Chambers
701 Ocean St
Santa Cruz CA 95060

1. Roll call

The meeting was called to order at 9:05 am.
Members present:

Dene Bustichi
Neal Coonerty
Gustavo Gonzalez (Alt)
Ron Graves
Randy Johnson
Don Lane
Aileen Loe (ex officio)
Staff present:
George Dondero
Gini Pineda
Rachel Moriconi

John Leopold
Robin Musitelli (Alt)
Kirby Nicol
Antonio Rivas
Mark Stone
Marcela Tavantzis

Luis Mendez
Yesenia Parra
Kim Shultz
2. Oral communications

J ack Nelson asked Commissioners to take note that 78\% of Santa Cruz County voters voted against Proposition 23 which would have suspended AB 32, the "Global Warming Act of 2006".
3. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas

Executive Director George Dondero said that there is a replacement page for Item 23 and noted that the STARS Pilot Project Application Manual was distributed to Commissioners and that copies are available for the public.

CONSENT AGENDA (Leopold/Rivas - approved unanimously)
Commissioner Stone, referring to Item 14c, said that he hoped all local jurisdictions would provide comments on the Federal Register Notice regarding the Proposed Urban Area Criteria for the 2010 Census. He said that the current
proposal to make Salinas-Watsonville-Santa Cruz a single urban agglomeration is not in the best interests of these communities.

Commissioner Johnson said that comments were made to the Scotts Valley City Council and noted the negative effect this designation would have on transit. Commissioner Tavantzis said that the Watsonville City Council has sent their comments.

## MI NUTES

4. Approved draft minutes of the November 4, 2010 regular SCCRTC meeting
5. Accepted draft minutes of September 15, 2010 Safe on 17 Task Force meeting
6. Accepted draft minutes of the October 28, 2010 Sustainable Transportation \& Access Rating System (STARS) Technical Advisory Committee meeting
7. Accepted draft minutes of November 18, 2010 Interagency Technical Advisory Committee (ITAC) Meeting

## POLICY ITEMS

No consent items

## PROJ ECTS and PLANNI NG ITEMS

8. Accepted first quarter quarterly Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) work program progress report
9. Accepted American Recovery and Reinvestment (ARRA) delivery report

## BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES ITEMS

10. Accepted status report on Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenues
11. Approved Fiscal Year (FY) 10-11 budget and work program amendment (Resolution 15-11)

## ADMI NI STRATI ON ITEMS

No consent items

## I NFORMATI ON/ OTHER ITEMS

12. Accepted monthly meeting schedule
13. Accepted correspondence log
14. Accepted letters from SCCRTC committees and staff to other agencies
a. Letter from the Bicycle Committee to Caltrans regarding the Pacific Coast Bicycle Route realignment in the City of Santa Cruz
b. Letter from RTC to Monterey Bay Nursery regarding Highway 1/17 Interchange Merge Lanes Landscaping Project
c. Letter from RTC to US Census Bureau regarding comments on Federal Register Notice 8/24/10, Vol 75, No. 163 Proposed Urban Area Criteria for the 2010 Census
15. Accepted miscellaneous written comments from the public on SCCRTC projects and transportation issues - None
16. Accepted information items - None

## REGULAR AGENDA

17. Commissioner reports-none
18. Director's report

Executive Director George Dondero said that Santa Cruz County received $\$ 12.1$ million of ARRA funding and thanked staff and local jurisdictions for getting projects done within the required timeframes.

Mr. Dondero thanked Monterey Bay Nursery for their initiative and great job in providing the native coast trees for landscaping along the Highway 1/17 Merge Lanes Project.

Mr. Dondero reported that CaICOG is being restructured and may no longer be sponsoring transportation bills. He noted that many members disagree with this idea.

Mr. Dondero and staff members made presentations to Leadership Santa Cruz County, the Business Council and Action Pajaro Valley and will be making presentations to the Committee on the Environment and the Pajaro Valley Chamber of Commerce. He noted that Calera, a company that produces cement that sequesters carbon, will make a presentation to the Santa Cruz City Council on December 14.

Mr. Dondero reported that the tapings for the RTC's "Transportation Café" segments have been received very well and that the next Transportation Café topic will be on bike and pedestrian programs.

Mr. Dondero said that RTC staff and consultants met with the California Transportation Commission to discuss the allocation request for the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line and requested support at the J an 19-20 CTC meeting. He added that Sierra Northern is developing plans for the recreational rail service and that their proposal will not interfere with Big Trees current service. They will bring their plans to the Commission and RTC committees before finalizing. Mr. Dondero said that Sierra Northern wants to establish a storage area for train cars in the Santa Cruz wye area.
19. Appreciation of departing commissioners for their service

Commissioner Johnson said that the RTC has been fortunate to have had great representation from the south county area. He spoke about the contributions of outgoing Commissioners Rivas, Campos and Tavantzis noting that Commissioner Rivas was instrumental in bringing Safe Routes to School funding to Watsonville; that Commissioner Campos was a major factor in making the rail line purchase successful; and that Commissioner Tavantzis always looked for ways to save the Commission money.
20. Election of 2011 RTC chair and vice-chair

Commissioner Leopold moved and Commissioner Bustichi seconded to nominate Commissioner Stone as 2011 RTC Chair. The motion passed unanimously.

Commissioner Stone continued the meeting as Chair. He thanked Commissioner Johnson for his leadership role and said that he brought a regional perspective to the Commission.

Commissioner Bustichi moved and Commissioner Rivas seconded to nominate Commissioner Nicol as vice-chair. The motion passed unanimously.
21. Caltrans report and consider action items

Aileen Loe, Caltrans District 5, reported that the state had a productive bond sale, although she did not know how much funding will be directed to transportation.

Ms. Loe said that this year's state budget reduced Caltrans' Project Initiation Documents program about 25\% forcing costs for oversight onto local jurisdictions.

Ms. Loe acknowledged Dave Murray who is retiring after 34 years, saying that he helped build partnerships in the AMBAG region.

Commissioner Nicol noted that the original cost estimate for the Highway $1 /$ Salinas Rd interchange was $\$ 18$ million and is now listed at $\$ 12$ million. Ms. Loe will clarify the reason for the cost reduction. Commissioner Bustichi asked how Caltrans estimates construction costs. Ms. Loe said that rapid cost fluctuations had been challenging but that Caltrans was working to bring unit costs into alignment with the current economic environment.
22. Presentation from Santa Cruz County Public Works

County of Santa Cruz Assistant Public Works Director Steve Wiesener made a PowerPoint presentation on projects that have been recently completed, presently under construction, or planned for the future.

Most of the completed projects were sealing or resurfacing projects including projects on Freedom Blvd, Glen Canyon Rd, Holohan Rd, Jamison Creek, Mt Hermon Rd, Bear Creek Rd and Empire Grade. The majority of these projects used ARRA funds in addition to funding from other sources.

Projects under construction include major improvements at Green Valley / Holohan /Airport Blvd.

Future projects under development include a left turn lane, drainage and shoulder work project on Graham Hill Rd at Roaring Camp planned for summer/fall 2011; Calabasas Rd improvements planned for summer 2011; a pedestrian safety/ sidewalk project on Green Valley Rd set for fall 2012; and a left turn Iane at Bradley Elementary School on Corralitos Rd.

Mr. Wiesener said that using ARRA funding for road repair made RSTPX funding available for other projects. He said that the future needs of the county include safety improvements, storm damage repair, bike/pedestrian improvements, bridge/culvert repair, and signal operation improvements. He said that being a self-help county would greatly improve the county's ability to maintain and improve the transportation infrastructure.

Commissioner Bustichi asked that the area along Glen Canyon Rd which the county uses to contain debris be cleaned up and only used when necessary.

Responding to a question from Commissioner Rivas, County Public Works Director John Presleigh said that the current law does not allow the county to initiate a sales tax measure at this time because other local jurisdictions have passed sales tax measures. He said that laws need to be changed and he is working with the California State Association of Counties (CSAC) and the County CAO to help move legislative changes forward.
23. Status report on Sustainable Transportation Access Rating System (STARS)

Executive Director George Dondero said that the STARS Pilot Project Application Manual represents eleven months of collaboration between the RTC's STARS Technical Advisory Committee, the Sustainable Transportation Council and RTC staff. The manual includes twelve credits developed for potential application to the Highway 1 HOV Lanes project. The RTC will consider next steps in applying STARS credits to the HOV Lanes project which would allow it to be designated a STARS Certified Pilot Project.

Commissioners questioned whether recommendations to use local contractors would limit the number of contractors available to bid and if the manual language could be simplified so that the architect or engineer of record for the project could verify goals without undue reliance on consultants.

Mr. Dondero said that it would be good to vet the contracting aspect with the Sustainable Transportation Council to ensure the project is not tied up in consulting, but that construction is just one piece of being a STARS certified
project. He clarified that the Commission is not deciding today to submit for certification and that comments on the manual will be accepted anytime.

Bill Malone said he likes the STARS approach to look at alternatives to a proposed project and to consider access to transportation modes as a goal. He said that the EIR process seems to always support the proposed project and asked the Commission to be skeptical, consider the rail line as an alternative to highway widening and to use Vehicle Miles Travelled as a number when comparing alternatives.

J ack Nelson agreed that access is an important concept and asked the Commission to consider electronic access, adding that transportation demand management may lessen the need for more lanes on Highway 1.

Commissioner Graves said that he did not want to accept the manual prior to reading it. He moved and Commissioner Leopold seconded to approve modified staff recommendations to:

1. Accept Receive the STARS Pilot Project Application Manual with the 12 credits selected by the RTC-approved Technical Advisory Committee;
2. Direct staff to provide the Pilot Project Application Manual with the 12 STARS credits to the Highway 1 HOV Lanes Project Development Team for analysis of applying the credits to the project; and
3. Direct staff to return to the RTC with an analysis of implications of applying the 12 STARS credits to the Highway 1 HOV Lanes project for RTC consideration.
The motion passed unanimously.
4. Update on the Monterey Bay Area Regional Blueprint plan and SB375 implementation

Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) Executive Director John Doughty gave a presentation regarding the draft 2035 Monterey Bay Regional Blueprint: Envisioning the Monterey Bay Area. This document describes how communities in the Monterey Bay Area might grow in a more sustainable fashion over the next 25 years. Challenges include accommodating an aging population and lagging job growth. One of the biggest issues is where people work relative to their housing. Public input indicates support for infill development, preservation of agricultural land, alternative transportation methods and mixed use development that includes cultural and recreational areas. The Blueprint document lays the foundation for the Senate Bill 375 mandating Sustainable Communities Strategies to achieve regional greenhouse gas emissions targets.

Comments on the draft document will be accepted until December 15, 2010. The Blueprint document can be viewed at: www.ambag.org/programs/blueprint/blueprint/index.html.

Commissioners discussed the relationship between the Blueprint and regional housing allocation, developing employment clusters where transportation infrastructure already exists and state mandated housing allocations. It was
noted that AMBAG does not support the new urban designation as described in the Federal Register Notice.

Commissioner Tavantzis asked to see job distribution data for communities in addition to Salinas.

Jack Nelson said that a study in the East Bay showed that life satisfaction for employed people went down as commutes got longer.
25. Draft 2011 state and federal legislative programs and legislative update

Senior Planner Rachel Moriconi gave an update on the key legislative issues related to transportation in 2011 including continued challenges for transportation funding and a significant level of uncertainty regarding Proposition 26 passed by voters in November. The 2011 Legislative Programs focus on preserving and increasing funding for transportation projects in Santa Cruz County, development of the new federal transportation act, the FY2011 appropriations bills, and climate change legislation.

Commissioner Lane moved and Commissioner Rivas seconded to approve the staff recommendations that the Regional Transportation Commission adopt the 2011 State and Federal Legislative Programs.

The motion passed unanimously.
26. State 1 Corridor System Management Plan (CSMP)

Executive Director George Dondero said that staff is not asking the Commission to accept the report today.

Dave Murray, Caltrans District 5, said that the draft report was intended to monitor and improve the conditions of the corridor. He said that boundaries of the study went from Highway 68 to King St and includes Highway 183. He acknowledged that the corridor is too long to be truly analyzed without additional real time data collection and that they were unable to use the micro simulation model to analyze different scenarios for the corridor. Mr. Murray said that future plans would be designed for smaller segments of the corridor.

Commissioners discussed the usefulness of the data used for the modeling.
Comments on the draft plan may be submitted to adam_fukushima@dot.ca.gov.

Once the document is finalized, Caltrans would like the RTC to accept the document as part of its regional transportation planning process early next year and authorize the Executive Director to sign it as accepted by the RTC.
27. Review of items to be discussed in closed session

The closed session was tabled until the January meeting.

## CLOSED SESSI ON

28. Annual Performance Review for Executive Director pursuant to Government Code 54957

## OPEN SESSION

29. Report on closed session
30. Adjourn to special meeting of the Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies
a. No agenda items this month
31. Next Meetings

The meeting adjourned at 11:24am.
The next SCCRTC meeting is scheduled for Thursday, J anuary 13, 2011 at 9:00 a.m. at the Santa Cruz City Council Chambers, 809 Center Street., Santa Cruz, CA

There are no Transportation Policy Workshops scheduled for December 2010 or J anuary 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

Gini Pineda, Staff

## ATTENDEES

Les White
Steve Wiesener
Jack Sohriakoff
John Presleigh
Bill Malone
Jack Nelson

SCMTD
County Public Works
County Public Works
County Public Works

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager \& Acting Assistant General Manager

## SUBJECT: STATUS REPORT OF ACTIVE GRANTS AND SUBMITTED GRANT PROPOSALS FOR JANUARY 2011

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

## That the Board of Directors accept and file the status report on active grants and submitted grant proposals as of January 14, 2011.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Santa Cruz METRO relies upon grant funding from other agencies for more than 25\% of its FY11 operating revenue and over $95 \%$ of its FY11 capital funding.
- A list of Santa Cruz METRO’s active grants (Attachment A) and a list of grant proposals for new funds (Attachment B) are provided monthly in order to apprise the Board of the status of grants funding.
- Santa Cruz METRO has active grant awards totaling \$37,668,537.
- Items in bold on Attachments A and B depict changes from last month’s report.


## III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO relies upon grants from a number of other entities throughout the year for operating and capital funding assistance. Programs such as the Transportation Development Act (TDA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) urbanized area program annually allocate funds by formula while others such as the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District's AB2766 Motor Vehicle Emissions Reduction Program and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) discretionary planning grants are competitively awarded based on merit. Santa Cruz METRO relies on both formula and discretionary grant revenue to support its operating and capital budgets.

This staff report is to apprise the Board of Directors of active grants funding current projects and proposed grants for new projects and ongoing operating costs. Attachment A lists all of Santa Cruz METRO's active grants with the award amount, the remaining balance and the status of the projects funded by the grant. Attachment B lists Santa Cruz METRO’s open grant applications with a brief description, source and status of proposed funds.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Active grant awards for operating and capital projects total $\$ 37,668,537$. The annual application cycle has begun for routine formula funding for FY11 rural transit assistance and for Transportation Development Act funds.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Santa Cruz METRO Active Grants Status Report as of January 14, 2011
Attachment B: Santa Cruz METRO Grant Applications as of January 14, 2011

Staff Report prepared by Tove Beatty and Thomas Hiltner, Grants/Legislative Analysts
Date Prepared: January 18, 2010

## Attachment A

Santa Cruz METRO

| Grant | Description |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \$ Grant } \\ \text { Awarded } \end{gathered}$ |  | \$ Grant Balance | Funding Source | Grant Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smartcard Farebox; ParaCruz <br> Vans; IT Upgrades; <br> Operating Assistance | Upgrade dispatch, scheduling and customer information software; purchase 27 replacement paratransit vans; purchase new fareboxes with magnetic card readers; operating assistance. | \$ | 4,909,939 | \$ | 2,499,322 | Federal Transit Administration (FTA) 5307 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds | Project funding from ARRA through FTA urbanized area formula program. Santa Cruz METRO has received and installed fareboxes; is installing ticket vending machines; will receive all paratransit vans by $1 / 17 / 11$ and expects to complete the Giro/Hastus roll-out by early 2012. |
| Smartcard Farebox | Purchase new fareboxes with magnetic card readers. | \$ | 267,464 | \$ | 267,464 | California Department of Transportation (Caltrans 5311) ARRA funds | Project funding through Caltrans (FTA 5311) rural operating assistance program contributes to Smartcard farebox procurement. Santa Cruz METRO awarded contract to GFI for new fareboxes on 6/15/10. |
| UCSC Vans | Pass-through funding to UCSC for new paratransit vans | \$ | 125,000 | \$ | 7,309 | FTA 5307 ARRA funds passed from Santa Cruz METRO to UCSC | Ready to close out, final payment on 12/17/10. |
| Smartcard Farebox | Purchase new fareboxes with magnetic card readers. | \$ | 475,000 | \$ | 75,899 | Program <br> FTA 5309 Bus and Bus Facilities | Fareboxes installed, this grant will be closed-out with the final contract expenditure. |
| Bus Stop Improvements | Improve bus stops in Santa Cruz METRO service area | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | 500,000 | Caltrans from State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) | Staff will give final presentation to groups for final input on 12/2/10. Approved by Board of Directors at December 2010 for CTC meeting in January 2011. There are 107 suggested repairs/improvements across all districts. |
| CT Transit Planning-Watsonville | 18-month transit planning study of Watsonville service as subrecipient of MPO (AMBAG). | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 100,000 | Caltrans (FTA 5304) | RFP released and closed 1/4/11; proposals to be reviewed and consultant selected by 2/15/11. |
| FY11 AB2766 | For second CNG storage tank at Bus Fueling and Service facility. | \$ | 200,000 | \$ | 200,000 | AB2766 Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (Air District) AB 2766 Motor Vehicle Emissions Reduction Program | Santa Cruz METRO received contract 10/5/10; contingent on FTA 5308 one-time funding or PTMISEA (State funds) being received by January 2011 to complete project. |
| FFY10 Discretionary Bus/Facilities Program | Proposal for 12 new CNG buses from FTA discretionary grant program. | \$ | 4,830,600 | \$ | 4,830,600 | FTA 5309 Bus \& Bus Facilities/State of Good Repair Program | Received Notice of Award on 10/4/11. |
| Prop 84 Challenge Grant | Discretionary grant proposals for planning/zoning of unicorporated areas (Live Oak, Soquel Dr. corridor) w/ County of Santa Cruz; and sustainable growth communities grant w/ AMBAG. All need METRO as a partner. | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 10,000 | Funding from Proposition 84 Planning Grants from the State of California Strategic Growth Council | County received $\$ 500 \mathrm{~K}$ for Prop. 84 Sustainable Communities Planning Grant Program for a project entitled "The Santa Cruz County Sustainable Community and Transit Corridors Plan" on December 6, 2010. |
| Interns Grant | Give training experience in transit planning. Provide limited assistance to Santa Cruz METRO Planning staff | \$ | 6,250 | \$ |  | FTA 5304 through Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) | Planning Intern started 6/21 for Summer 2010. Grant funds expended and this grant will be reported closed and removed from this report in February. |
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| \# | Grant | Description |  | \$ Grant Awarded |  | \$ Grant Balance | Funding Source | Grant Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Dispatch, Surveillance, Radio | Replace dispatch console, install video surveillance and upgrade the fleet mobile radio system (LMR). | \$ | 440,505 | \$ | 316,243 | FY08 California Transit Security Grant Program (CTSGP) funds from California Emergency Management agency (Cal EMA) | Dispatch console purchased. Board approved \$164,000 contract award to Ojo for video surveillance project at the $12 / 17 / 10$ meeting. Radio equipment proposals received 10/14. Radio contract to be considered at $\mathbf{1 / 2 8}$ BOD mtg. \$ Grant Balance as of $\mathbf{1 / 1 1 / 1 1}$. Expires 3/31/11. |
| 12 | Comprehensive Security \& Surveillance | Continue video surveillance and LMR upgrade. | \$ | 440,505 | \$ | 440,505 | FY09 CTSGP funds from Cal EMA | Dispatch console purchased. Board approved \$164,000 contract award to Ojo for video surveillance project at the 12/17/10 meeting. Radio equipment proposals received 10/14. Radio contract to be considered at $\mathbf{1 / 2 8}$ BOD mtg. \$ Grant Balance as of $\mathbf{1 / 1 1 / 1 1}$. Expires 3/31/11. |
| 13 | Comprehensive Security \& Surveillance | Continue video surveillance, LMR upgrade and install emergency generator. | \$ | 440,505 | \$ |  | FY10 CTSGP funds from Cal EMA | Not received. CA Treasurer sold general obligation bonds on 11/19 and 11/23 to fund advance payment (anticipated $1 / 31 / 11$ ) for the project. Expires $3 / 31 / 13$. |
| 14 | FY11 Operating Assistance | FY11 Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenue for public transit operations. | \$ | 5,001,737 | \$ | 2,531,671 | State Controller's Office (SCO) through Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC) | On 11/4, SCCRTC made an additional allocation of \$27,259 in FY11 TDA funds. Grant funds are paid quarterly. |
| 15 | FY10 Operating Assistance | Operating Assistance | \$ | 4,898,314 | \$ | 4,898,314 | FTA 5307 urbanized area formula funds and Small Transit Intensive Cities (STIC) funds forOperating. | New grant executed 9/21/10. FY10 transit operations completed 6/30/10. Funds will be available for drawdown after the FY10 audit is completed. |
| 16 | FY10 Rural Operating Assistance | Operating assistance for public transit service in rural areas of Santa Cruz County. | \$ | 156,618 | \$ | 156,618 | Caltrans (FTA 5311) | Received fully executed contract from Caltrans on 11/11/10. |
| 17 | FY09 Operating/MetroBase const. | Operating Assistance and MetroBase construction funding. | \$ | 4,753,504 | \$ | 1,108,062 | FTA 5307 urbanized area formula funds and Small Transit Intensive Cities (STIC) funds for MetroBase construction. | FY09 transit operations were completed 6/30/09. MetroBase construction funds to be drawn down after State funds expended. No expiration. |
| 18 | MetroBase Development | MetroBase design, right-of-way and construction. As of $9 / 15 / 10$, funding from multiple years is reported within this single project rather than separately. | \$ | 6,884,929 | \$ | 490,352 | FY08, 09 funds from Prop. 1B Public Transportation Modernization and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) through Caltrans | FY08, FY09 PTMISEA funds are being spent on MetroBase development. November bond sales yielded only $\$ 78 \mathrm{M}$ for FY10 statewide obligations of $\$ 339 \mathrm{M}$. Santa Cruz METRO will likely not receive the FY10 allocation until the next bond sale. \$ Grant balance as of $\mathbf{1 / 1 0} / \mathbf{1 1}$. |
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## Attachment B

Santa Cruz Metro
Grant Applications as of January 14, 2011

| \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { Application } \\ \text { Date } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Grant | Description |  | \$ Grant | Funding Source | Status of Award |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3/30/2011 | Caltrans CBTP Grant | Discretionary grant. | TBD |  | State of California | Conceptual planning stage with County to develop proposal. |
| 2 | 1/31/2011 | FY11 Rural Operating Assistance | Operating assistance for public transit service in rural areas of Santa Cruz County. | \$ | 156,312 | Caltrans (FTA 5311) | Not Submitted. Application in development for submission by $\mathbf{1 / 3 1 / 1 1}$. |
| 3 | 3/31/2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY11 Transit } \\ & \text { Security } \\ & \text { Project } \end{aligned}$ | Santa Cruz METRO transit facilities security enhancement projects | \$ | 440,505 | FY11 CTSGP funds from Cal EMA | Received FY11 Investment Justification (Application) package 1/10/11. Projects to be developed in the near future for $3 / 31 / 11$ submission. BOD consideration of a resolution designating the Authorized Agent to execute the grant application needed at the 2/25/11 meeting. Funds will expire 3/31/14 according to the guidelines. |
| 4 | 2/15/2011 | FY11 PTMISEA | FY11 Public Transporation Modernization Improvement and Service Enhancement Activity (PTMISEA) funding for MetroBase Operations Building. |  | TBD | FY11 PTMISEA funds from Caltrans Division of Mass Transit | Allocation package and State Controller's Office estimate of allocation amount anticipated next week. BOD resolution for Certifications and Assurances needed at $1 / 28 / 11$ meeting for $2 / 15 / 11$ submission. FY11 allocation to Santa Cruz METRO unknown (and FY10 amount not yet funded-see active grant \#18). |
| 5 | 4/1/2011 | FY12 Operating Assistance | FY112Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenue for public transit operations. |  | TDA | SCCRTC | SCCRTC will provide estimate of FY12 TDA revenue in late February/early March when provided by the County Controller's Office in February. Based upon the FY11 allocation, the FY12 allocation could be slightly more than $\$ 5$ million since TDA revenue collection is trending upward. |
|  |  |  | Total | \$ | 596,817 |  |  |

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager \& Acting Assistant General Manager
SUBJECT: STATUS REPORTS OF PROPOSED FEDERAL AND STATE LEGISLATION AND CURRENT LEGISLATIVE ISSUES

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors accept and file the status reports of proposed Federal and
State legislation and current legislative issues through January 18, 2011.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Status reports on Federal House of Representatives’ and Senate’s proposed legislation and related issues are provided monthly to inform the Board of the status of legislation of interest to Santa Cruz METRO.
- This month's Federal report reflects pertinent legislative activities which occurred December 8, 2010 - January 18, 2011. Congress entered a lame-duck session on November 15, 2010, which lasted until December 23, 2010. It was a surprisingly productive session. In terms of transit funding, Continuing Resolutions were passed to keep government funded at FY 2010 levels until March 4, 2011.
- Status reports on the State Legislature's Assembly's and Senate's legislative issues are provided monthly to inform the Board of the status of State legislation of interest to Santa Cruz METRO.
- This month's reports include updates on relevant legislative issues, but no legislative reports as the new Congress was interrupted by the violence in Arizona and the State Legislature returned on January 14, 2011. Information on pertinent new legislation will be provided with the February 2011 status reports.


## III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO staff monitors and responds to Federal and State pending legislation on an ongoing basis. The purpose of this report is to inform the Board of Directors of the current status of pending legislation which may be of interest to or have an eventual impact on Santa Cruz METRO and/or the transit industry. The Federal and State Legislation Status Reports are updated monthly for this purpose.

Congressional Continuing Resolutions (CR), effective through December 18, 2010, had been passed in order to keep government running at current (FY 2010) levels. When they expired, new

CRs were approved extending funding to March 4, 2011, with time for the $112^{\text {th }}$ Congress to be seated. SAFETEA-LU is also extended to this date. Like its predecessors, this new resolution keeps spending at 2010 levels, with a few tweaks. This pushes weighty fiscal discussions such as long-term appropriations (or a surface transportation act) onto the new Congress. In a development announced on the date this report was prepared, Rep. John Mica (R-FL), new chair of the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, has proposed a "listening tour" to gather ideas for a new Act, beginning on February $18^{\text {th }}$, after the President's State of the Union address on January $25^{\text {th }}$ and the White House's budget announcements on February $14^{\text {th }}$. Also of note and because of these Continuing Resolutions, only five months of federal formula funding for the current fiscal year are available to apply for. The FTA will not be announcing the availability of competitive discretionary grants funds until the full year amounts are available, which is entirely dependent on the $112^{\text {th }}$ Congress authorizing a full year's funding.

In a January $4^{\text {th }}$ caucus, held prior to the swearing-in of the new Congress, GOP members held an unrecorded vote on a proposed Rules package. House Resolution number 5 (H.R. 5), among other things, separates the authorization and appropriations processes in regard to infrastructure (such as transit) and other spending. Rep. Mica made a last-minute appeal for an amendment allowing transportation funding some leeway. This has always been the case (since the Unified Budget Act of the mid-1960s), but Mica was rebuffed by GOP leadership, ostensibly in order to present a united GOP front in regard to new House Rules.

For the first time in decades, the transparency of the authorization process driving the appropriations process is lost. Should the appropriators decide authorizations are not to their liking, appropriations could be less than authorized funds, particularly in a session focused on deficit reduction by any means. Though GOP transit supporters have been verbally assured that transportation funding is not a specific target for reduction, this remains to be seen.

Also on the Federal front, Santa Cruz METRO received some good news from Mr. Timothy Trainor, Chief of the Geography Division of the Census Bureau at the Department of Commerce. In a letter that was distributed at the January 14, 2011 Board of Directors’ meeting and which was addressed to Representative Sam Farr, Chief Trainor states:

Please be assured that the comments we have received in writing and in various meetings. . .have convinced us that the criteria we proposed for determining when to merge urbanized areas are not appropriate. Based on the comments we have heard and received, we will ensure that the Watsonville, Santa Cruz, and Salinas urbanized areas, as well as other urbanized areas, remain separate areas.

For Santa Cruz METRO, this means that the flexibility to use FTA Section 5307/5340 funds for operational expenses (approximately $\$ 5$ million per year) is retained, staving off a potentially devastating blow to the agency's operational budget (and related jobs) for years to come. Please see Attachment A for other relevant information regarding Federal legislative issues and activity.
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On January 10, 2011, California’s new Governor Brown presented his proposed budget, a painful combination of cuts, tax extensions and restructuring of the relationship between state and local governments. A small, bright light on the horizon for transit funding is a possible increase in the amount of available State Transit Assistance funds to $\$ 329.6$ million instead of the $\$ 200$ million available in 2010-2011, which might mean an additional $\$ 1$ million to Santa Cruz METRO. The Governor proposes to re-enact, with a few tweaks, the "gas tax swap" enacted earlier in the year, since the passage of Proposition 26 on November 8, 2010 requires that all new taxes and/or fees be passed by a $2 / 3$ rds vote instead of a simple majority. As a result, the "gas tax swap" requires a new legislative vote to remain in place.

In not-so-good budget news, the Governor also announced that there would be no bond sales in calendar year 2011. Santa Cruz METRO had been expecting a Spring 2011 bond sale to, in part, finance the expected PTMISEA (or Public Transportation Improvement and Service Enhancement Account) allocation of approximately $\$ 16$ million to be used to complete the Operations Building capital project. If there are no bond sales in 2011, this funding stream will remain dry until such time that bonds are sold to finance it. The California Legislature returned to session on January 14, 2011, so new legislation will be reported on in the February 2011 report. Please see Attachment B for information on relevant State issues.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

As most potential legislation carries a fiscal impact, staff will report on a monthly basis of newly implemented federal and/or State legislation which financially impacts Santa Cruz METRO.

The response from the Geography Division of the Census Bureau preserved up to $\$ 5$ million per year in operational funding for Santa Cruz METRO. Though the October 8, 2010 approved State budget appropriated approximately $\$ 16$ million in capital funding to Santa Cruz METRO through the PTMISEA program, if there are no bond sales in 2011, the agency will not receive this capital funding until such time that it is available. Increases in the amount of available State Transit Assistance (STA) funding may net up to \$1 million in additional STA in FY 2011.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Federal Legislative Issues and Status Report, January 18, 2011.
Attachment B: State of California Legislative Issues and Status Report, January 18, 2011.

Staff Report Prepared by: Tove Beatty, Grants/Legislative Analyst
Date Prepared: January 18, 2011

## ATTACHMENT A

# Federal Legislative Issues and Status Report <br> January 18, 2010 

Current Legislative Issues
Department of Commerce Proposed Designation of Urbanized Areas (UAs)
Update at 12/7/10: An August 24, 2010 Department of Commerce announcement in the Federal Register named the Santa Cruz, Watsonville and Salinas areas of California ("Santalinasville"), as being lumped together in a single Urbanized Area (UA) based on computer models run on Census 2000 data and maps by the Geography Division of the Census Bureau. Changing into one UA eliminated Santa Cruz METRO's and Monterey-Salinas Transit's (MST) ability to use FTA 5307 funds for operational expense, as is currently done. An official response to the Census Bureau was submitted by the November 22, 2010 deadline. Among other things, Santa Cruz METRO is requested that all current Census' urban-rural designations remain the same in our area. Letters of support were received from Monterey-Salinas Transit, Monterey and Santa Cruz Local Agency Formation Commissions (LAFCOs) and AMBAG, all of which were taken by Rep. Farr to a meeting with the Chief of the Geography Division, Timothy Trainor.

Update at 1/18/11: On January 10, 2011, Chief Trainor sent a letter to Rep. Farr stating that, "Based on the comments we have heard and received, we will ensure that the Watsonville, Santa Cruz and Salinas urbanized areas, as well as other urbanized areas, remain separate areas."

## House Resolution 5 (H.R. 5)

Update at 1/18/11: In a secret caucus held on January $4^{\text {th, }}$ prior to the swearing in of the $112^{\text {th }}$ Congress, House GOP members held an unrecorded vote on a proposed Rules package. In this package (H.R. 5), a separation of the authorization and appropriations processes in regard to infrastructure (such as transit) funding was proposed and accepted by the GOP the next day. Rep. John Mica (R-FL), new chair of the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, made a last minute appeal for an amendment allowing transportation funding some leeway in regard to getting the authorized funding out the door by way of appropriations. This has always been the case (since the Unified Budget Act of the mid-1960s), but Mica was rebuffed by GOP leadership, ostensibly in order to present a united GOP front in regard to new Rules.

What this means to transit is that, for the first time in decades, the transparency of the authorization process driving the appropriations process is lost. Should the appropriators decide that what has been authorized isn't to their liking, appropriations could be less than authorized funding, particularly in a session focused on deficit reduction by any means possible. Though GOP transportation and transit supporters have been verbally assured that transportation funding is not a specific target for reduction, with the separation of the funding authorization from the appropriations process, this remains to be seen.

## Long Term Surface Transportation Act

Update at 1/18/11: Congress voted in the lame-duck session to extend the funding of government at current levels (with some minor tweaks) until March 4, 2011, in order to give the
$112^{\text {th }}$ Congress the opportunity to participate in decisions regarding funding the government going forward, while continuing to argue over the President's proposed budget and lack of a current working budget. Unfunded in this extension of government funding were the new healthcare measures scheduled to go into effect as of January 1, 2011, though most of them managed to go into effect anyway.

The biggest hurdle to consideration of a federal budget is the upcoming "debt ceiling" vote. Many new GOP representatives have vowed to shut government down in lieu of raising the current debt ceiling so that the nation does not default on loan and interest payments to foreign powers, such as China and several others. Expect this showdown to gain traction towards the end of February and beginning of March.

In the meantime, the FTA has announced the availability five months of current fiscal year funding (from October 2010-February 2011), as this is all that is approved at this time. It is not anticipated that the FTA will announce the full amounts of available operational funding and competitive, discretionary grants programs (to meet capital needs) until after March 4, 2011 and any decisions made at that time.

Chair Mica has proposed a series of hearings regarding a new long-term Surface Transportation Act, beginning on February $18^{\text {th }}$ and possibly extending through the end of February. Mica is calling this a "listening tour" to gather information and new ideas for the Act. The timing of Mica's hearings dovetails with expected announcements from the White House of the President's ideas at the State of the Union address on January $25^{\text {th }}$ and the annual budget announcement from the White House, expected by February $14^{\text {th }}$. Mica is a proponent of a healthy new long-term transportation act, but he will want financial offsets for any increased spending in order to please the GOP.

## Alternative Fuel Tax Credits (i.e. CNG) or "Tax Extenders"

The existing alternative-fuel tax credit which expired on $12 / 31 / 09$ and was worth $\$ 500,000$ or more annually to Santa Cruz METRO, has surfaced in several forms in the past 18 months, but suffered another blow when the "Assistance to States" legislation was passed in mid-August. The offsets used to pay for this legislation were the same offsets planned to offset energy tax credits.

Update at 1/18/11: A retroactive alternative fuel tax credit (to January 1, 2010) was passed in the lame-duck session and will result in approximately $\$ 500,000$ in revenue to Santa Cruz METRO for calendar year 2010. The tax credit extends until the end of federal fiscal year 20112012, or until September 30, 2012, just in time to be a major issue in the 2012 campaign, since it will be lumped with the discussion of once again extending the Bush tax cuts for the rich and/or middle class.

## Federal House and Senate Legislation Summary

The $112^{\text {th }}$ Congress was seated on January 5, 2011, and though several measures have been introduced, the violent attack in Tuscon slowed everything down for a week and House activity has just resumed as of the time this report was composed. The Senate is on break until January $25^{\text {th }}$. As a result, there is no transit-related legislation to summarize this month, but it will be provided with February's report as Attachment B.

# ATTACHMENT B <br> State of California <br> Legislative Issues and Status Report <br> January 18, 2011 

## The Governor's Proposed Budget:

On January 10, Governor Brown unveiled his proposed 2011-2012 State Budget, a painful combination of spending cuts and tax extensions totaling over $\$ 25$ billion. Along with proposed heavy cuts to health, welfare and social services programs, it also included an historic restructuring of the relationship between state and local governments, almost the reverse of what happened during his first stint when, due mostly to the passage of Proposition 13 and its effect on property tax revenue, governmental structure and responsibility shifted to Sacramento.

The Governor's proposed budget includes provisions that increase the State Transit Assistance program (STA) to a total of $\$ 329.6$ million, compared to $\$ 200$ million in fiscal year 2010-2011. For Santa Cruz METRO, if this holds steady, this may mean $\$ 1$ million or more in additional STA revenue in the coming fiscal year. The good news for transit in California is that the new Governor's proposed budget is, so far, in accordance with all of the California Transit Association's funding recommendations. The bad news for Santa Cruz is that the proposed budget also eliminates local redevelopment agencies and enterprise zones statewide, for an estimated potential savings of $\$ 2.62$ billion.

## Proposition 22 \& Proposition 26:

Proposition 22 proposed a constitutional amendment prohibiting the State from taking, borrowing or redirecting local taxpayer funds dedicated to public safety, emergency response and other vital local government services. Proposition 26, another constitutional amendment passed in November 2010 and requires that any change in state statutes resulting in any taxpayer paying a higher tax to be passed by a 2/3rds vote of the Legislature. Proposition 26 is retroactive to January 1, 2010.

Update © 1/18/11: Proposition 26 negates the "gas tax swap" made earlier this year unless it returns to the Legislature and gets a $2 / 3$ rds vote within the next 12 months, which is proposed in the Governor’s budget. Nonetheless, because Proposition 26 is retroactive to January 2010 and is subject to litigation and legal challenge, it may be a year or more before we know the full effect of the measure.

## The California Legislature

There is no legislative matrix (usually Attachment D) attached this month for either the Assembly or Senate of the California Legislature, as they just convened on January 14, 2011. The California Legislative Matrix report will return next month.

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager and Acting Assistant General Manager
SUBJECT: CONSIDER ADOPTING A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES AND DESIGNATING THE GENERAL MANAGER AS THE AUTHORIZED AGENT TO EXECUTE ACTIONS NECESSARY TO RECEIVE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICE ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT (PTMISEA) FUNDS ON BEHALF OF SANTA CRUZ METRO

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors adopt a resolution authorizing the execution of Certifications and Assurances and designating the General Manager to execute any actions and
agreements necessary to obtain Public Transportation, Modernization, Improvement and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) funds on behalf of Santa Cruz METRO.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Proposition 1B of 2006 established \$3.6 Billion for the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA).
- The California budget for FY11 appropriated $\$ 1.5$ billion in PTMISEA funds statewide, with an estimated allocation of $\$ 11,010,047$ to Santa Cruz METRO for MetroBase.
- PTMISEA Guidelines require that the Recipient Agency, Santa Cruz METRO, authorize execution of the attached Certifications and Assurances to be effective throughout the remainder of the PTMISEA program.
- PTMISEA Guidelines also require that Santa Cruz METRO designate an Authorized Agent to execute agreements.
- Adopting the attached resolution authorizes execution of the Certifications and Assurances and designates Leslie R. White, General Manager, as the Authorized Agent to execute all actions necessary to receive PTMISEA funds on behalf of Santa Cruz METRO.
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## III. DISCUSSION

In November, 2006, California voters approved the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 (Bond Act), balloted as Proposition 1B. Proposition 1B and its enabling legislation, SB 88, established the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) to fund public transportation improvement projects in California. SB 88 authorized $\$ 3.6$ billion from the sale of bonds to fund the PTMISEA program.

The State Controller's Office allocates PTMISEA funds to regional transportation planning agencies and public transit operators according to the same distribution as State Transit Assistance (STA) funds. The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission and Santa Cruz METRO are the eligible recipients in Santa Cruz County, and the SCCRTC agreed in 2007 to sponsor MetroBase construction with its allocation of PTMISEA funds. The SCCRTC's share of PTMISEA funds will flow directly to Santa Cruz METRO from the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans).

Over the life of the Bond Act, approximately $\$ 26$ million will accrue to Santa Cruz County. Santa Cruz METRO has programmed all of its anticipated PTMISEA revenue to MetroBase construction, including the new operations building and parking lot. Due to partial appropriations of the authorized PTMISEA funds, however, Santa Cruz METRO has so far received only $\$ 6,884,929$ in two allocations since the first allocation in early 2008. The legislature's piecemeal appropriation of funds year after year has constrained the ability of Santa Cruz METRO as well as other transit agencies to undertake the significant infrastructure projects for which voters approved Prop 1B in 2006. Though construction plans have been available and ready to contract for more than two years, lack of Prop 1B funds has prevented Santa Cruz METRO from soliciting construction bids for the Operations Building.

As a remedy to the small, annual appropriations of PTMISEA funds which have inhibited large construction projects, the California legislature appropriated $\$ 1.5$ billion to the PTMISEA program in the FY11 as advocated by the California Transit Association. This level of funding was to have enabled the full allocation of funds to Santa Cruz METRO necessary to complete MetroBase in accordance with a three-year expenditure plan which Santa Cruz METRO submitted to Caltrans in July 2010. Unfortunately, Caltrans has interpreted the authorizing legislation to allocate funds by formula to every eligible agency in the state, regardless of the amount requested in each agency's three-year expenditure plan. As a result, Santa Cruz METRO will receive an estimated $\$ 11,010,047$ for FY11 instead of $\$ 16,886,525$ requested in the threeyear expenditure plan. While this is a substantial shortfall impacting the construction schedule for the Operations Building, receiving bond revenue from the State is a larger impediment.

The ongoing California budget crisis continues to delay payment of PTMISEA allocations. The November 2010 bond sale provided only $\$ 78$ million against $\$ 350$ million in FY10 allocation requests. Furthermore, this allocation may be applied against previous years' unpaid allocation requests, leaving $\$ 2,491,423$ yet to be paid to Santa Cruz METRO for FY10. Since another bond sale will be required to pay the full FY10 allocations, Santa Cruz METRO’s receipt of its FY11

Board of Directors
Board Meeting of January 28, 2011
Page 3
\$11,010,047 allocation will be even further delayed, pushing construction of the Operations Building back until FY12 at the earliest.

Caltrans administers the California’s PTMISEA program as per AB1072 and AB672, both signed into law on October 11, 2009. In the revised Guidelines for the FY11Allocation Requests, Caltrans requires eligible recipients to adopt the attached resolution authorizing the execution of certifications and assurances and the separate designation of an Authorized Agent to execute any actions necessary to obtain PTMISEA funds.

Adopting the attached resolution authorizes the PTMISEA Bond Program Certifications and Assurances and designates Leslie R. White, General Manager, as the Authorized Agent for the PTMISEA program. Both forms will accompany the FY11 Allocation Request for PTMISEA funds.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

FY11 PTMISEA funds will provide approximately $\$ 11,010,047$ for the MetroBase project.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Resolution authorizing execution of Certifications and Assurances for the PTMISEA program and designating the General Manager as the Authorized Agent to execute agreements for PTMISEA funds.
Attachment B: Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) Bond Program Certifications and Assurances.

Attachment C: Authorized Agent form.

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

Resolution No. $\qquad$
11-01-03
On the Motion of Director: Duly Seconded by Director:
The Following Resolution is Adopted:

> RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF THE CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES FOR THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICE ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT BOND PROGRAM AND DESIGNATING LESLIE R. WHITE, GENERAL MANAGER, AS THE AUTHORIZED AGENT TO EXECUTE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO RECEIVE FUNDS FROM THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICE ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT

WHEREAS, California voters approved the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air qua lity, and P ort S ecurity B ond A ct of 2006 (Bond A ct) on N ovember, 2006 a s Proposition 1B; and

WHEREAS, the Bond Act and its enabling legislation in Senate Bill 88, Statutes of 2007 created $t$ he P ublic T ransportation M odernization Improvement a nd S ervice Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) with $\$ 3.6$ Billion to be funded by Bond sales; and

WHEREAS, SB 88 a dded to the California Government Code Sections 8879.55 and 8879.56 which authorize the State Controller's Office to allocate PTMISEA funds to eligible $r$ egional $t$ ransportation ag encies and designate $t$ he $C$ alifornia Department of Transportation a sthe administrative a gency for imp lementing a nd monitoring the PTMISEA and to establish Guidelines therefore; and

WHEREAS, the S anta Cruz M etropolitan Transit D istrict is an e ligible project sponsor a nd $m$ ay $r$ eceive $f$ unding from $t$ he Public T ransportation M odernization, Improvement and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA); and

WHEREAS, the S anta Cruz M etropolitan T ransit D istrict p roposes to use all PTMISEA funds a llocated to S anta C ruz C ounty according to t he formula in P ublic Utilities Code Sections 99313 and 99314 for MetroBase, a capital project in conformance with the PTMISEA Guidelines, the S anta Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's Financial Framework for Capital Budgets and the Regional Transportation Plan;
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NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the B oard of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District hereby agrees to comply with all conditions and requirements set forth in the Certification and A ssurances do cument and the applicable statutes, r egulations a nd g uidelines for all C alifornia P ublic T ransportation Modernization Improvement and Service Enhancement Account funded transit projects.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, $t$ hat $t$ he $B$ oard of Directors of the Santa Cruz M etropolitan Transit D istrict h ereby designates Leslie R. White, General Manager, as the Authorized A gent to execute any and all documents of the C alifornia P ublic T ransportation M odernization Improvement a nd S ervice Enhancement A ccount program a nd a ny a mendments $t$ hereto $w$ ith $t$ he $C$ alifornia Department of Transportation.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 28 th D ay of January, 2011 by the following vote:

AYES: Directors -

NOES: Directors -

ABSTAIN: Directors -
ABSENT: Directors -

## APPROVED

$\qquad$
ELLEN PIRIE
Board Chair
ATTEST
LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

MARGARET GALLAGHER
District Counsel

# Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) Bond Program 

## Certifications and Assurances

## Project Sponsor: $\underline{\text { Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District }}$

Effective Date of this Document: January 2011

The California Department of Transportation (Department) has adopted the following certifications and assurances for the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) bond program. As a condition of the receipt of PTMISEA bond funds, project sponsors must comply with these terms and conditions.

## A. General

(1) The project sponsor agrees to abide by the current PTMISEA Guidelines
(2) The project sponsor must submit to the Department a PTMISEA Program Expenditure Plan, listing all projects to be funded for the life of the bond, including the amount for each project and the year in which the funds will be requested.
(3) The project sponsor must submit to the Department a signed Authorized Agent form designating the representative who can submit documents on behalf of the project sponsor and a copy of the board resolution appointing the Authorized Agent.

## B. Project Administration

(1) The project sponsor certifies that required environmental documentation is complete before requesting an allocation of PTMISEA funds. The project sponsor assures that projects approved for PTMISEA funding comply with Public Resources Code § 21100 and § 21150.
(2) The project sponsor certifies that PTMISEA funds will be used only for the transit capital project and that the project will be completed and remains in operation for its useful life.
(3) The project sponsor certifies that it has the legal, financial, and technical capacity to carry out the project, including the safety and security aspects of that project.
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(4) The project sponsor certifies that they will notify the Department of pending litigation, dispute, or negative audit findings related to the project, before receiving an allocation of funds.
(5) The project sponsor must maintain satisfactory continuing control over the use of project equipment and facilities and will adequately maintain project equipment and facilities for the useful life of the project.
(6) Any interest the project sponsor earns on PTMISEA funds must be used only on approved PTMISEA projects.
(7) The project sponsor must notify the Department of any changes to the approved project with a Corrective Action Plan (CAP).
(8) Under extraordinary circumstances, a project sponsor may terminate a project prior to completion. In the event the Project Sponsor terminates a project prior to completion, the Project Sponsor must (1) contact the Department in writing and follow-up with a phone call verifying receipt of such notice; (2) pursuant to verification, submit a final report indicating the reason for the termination and demonstrating the expended funds were used on the intended purpose; (3) submit a request to reassign the funds to a new project within 180 days of termination.
(9) Funds must be encumbered and liquidated within the time allowed in the applicable budget act.
C. Reporting
(1) Per Government Code § 8879.55, the project sponsor must submit the following PTMISEA reports:
a. Semi-Annual Progress Reports by February $15^{\text {th }}$ and August $15^{\text {th }}$ each year.
b. A Final Report within six months of project completion.
c. The annual audit required under the Transportation Development Act (TDA), to verify receipt and appropriate expenditure of PTMISEA bond funds. A copy of the audit report must be submitted to the Department within six months of the close of the year (December 31) each year in which PTMISEA funds have been received or expended.

## D. Cost Principles

(1) The project sponsor agrees to comply with Title 2 of the Code of Federal Regulations 225 (2 CFR 225), Cost Principles for State and Local Government, and 49 CFR, Part 18, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments.
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(2) The project sponsor agrees, and will assure that its contractors and subcontractors will be obligated to agree, that (a) Contract Cost Principles and Procedures, 48 CFR, Federal Acquisition Regulations System, Chapter 1, Part 31, et seq., shall be used to determine the allowability of individual project cost items and (b) those parties shall comply with Federal administrative procedures in accordance with 49 CFR, Part 18, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments. Every sub-recipient receiving PTMISEA funds as a contractor or sub-contractor shall comply with Federal administrative procedures in accordance with 49 CFR, Part 18, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments.
(3) Any project cost for which the project sponsor has received payment that are determined by subsequent audit to be unallowable under 2 CFR 225, 48 CFR, Chapter 1, Part 31 or 49 CFR, Part 18, are subject to repayment by the project sponsor to the State of California (State). Should the project sponsor fail to reimburse moneys due to the State within thirty (30) days of demand, or within such other period as may be agreed in writing between the Parties hereto, the State is authorized to intercept and withhold future payments due the project sponsor from the State or any third-party source, including but not limited to, the State Treasurer and the State Controller.

## E. Record Retention

(1) The project sponsor agrees, and will assure that its contractors and subcontractors shall establish and maintain an accounting system and records that properly accumulate and segregate incurred project costs and matching funds by line item for the project. The accounting system of the project sponsor, its contractors and all subcontractors shall conform to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), enable the determination of incurred costs at interim points of completion, and provide support for reimbursement payment vouchers or invoices. All accounting records and other supporting papers of the project sponsor, its contractors and subcontractors connected with PTMISEA funding shall be maintained for a minimum of three (3) years from the date of final payment and shall be held open to inspection, copying, and audit by representatives of the State and the California State Auditor. Copies thereof will be furnished by the project sponsor, its contractors, and subcontractors upon receipt of any request made by the State or its agents. In conducting an audit of the costs claimed, the State will rely to the maximum extent possible on any prior audit of the Project Sponsor pursuant to the provisions of federal and State law. In the absence of such an audit, any acceptable audit work performed by the project sponsor's external and internal auditors may be relied upon and used by the State when planning and conducting additional audits.
(2) For the purpose of determining compliance with Title 21, California Code of Regulations, Section 2500 et seq., when applicable, and other matters connected with
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the performance of the project sponsor's contracts with third parties pursuant to Government Code § 8546.7, the project sponsor, its contractors and subcontractors and the State shall each maintain and make available for inspection all books, documents, papers, accounting records, and other evidence pertaining to the performance of such contracts, including, but not limited to, the costs of administering those various contracts. All of the above referenced parties shall make such materials available at their respective offices at all reasonable times during the entire project period and for three (3) years from the date of final payment. The State, the California State Auditor, or any duly authorized representative of the State, shall each have access to any books, records, and documents that are pertinent to a project for audits, examinations, excerpts, and transactions, and the project sponsor shall furnish copies thereof if requested.
(3) The project sponsor, its contractors and subcontractors will permit access to all records of employment, employment advertisements, employment application forms, and other pertinent data and records by the State Fair Employment Practices and Housing Commission, or any other agency of the State of California designated by the State, for the purpose of any investigation to ascertain compliance with this document.

## F. Special Situations

(1) A project sponsor may lend its unused funds from one year to another project sponsor for an eligible project, for maximum fund use each fiscal year (July1 - June 30). The project sponsor shall collect no interest on this loan.
(2) Once funds have been appropriated in the budget act, a project sponsor may begin a project with its own funds before receiving an allocation of bond funds, but does so at its own risk.
(3) The Department may perform an audit and/or request detailed project information of the project sponsor's PTMISEA funded projects at the Department's discretion at any time prior to the completion of the PTMISEA program.

I certify all of these conditions will be met.

## Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District

BY:

LESLIE R. WHITE, General Manager

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Robyn Slater, Human Resources Manager

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF CONTRACT RENEWAL WITH THE LAW OFFICES OF MARIE F. SANG FOR LEGAL SERVICES IN THE AREA OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the B oard of Directors a uthorize the General Manager to ex ecute an a mendment to the contract with the Law Offices of Marie F. Sang for legal services in the area of workers' compensation to extend the term of the contract for one (1) additional year.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- The Santa Cruz METRO has a contract (No. 08-11) for legal services in the area of workers' compensation.
- At the option of the Santa Cruz METRO, this contract may be renewed for two (2) additional one-year terms.
- Marie F. Sang has indicated that she is interested in extending the contract one additional year to February 28, 2012.
- It is requested that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute an amendment to the contract to extend the contract term for one additional year.


## III. DISCUSSION

The Santa Cruz METRO’s current contract with the Law Offices of Marie F. Sang for legal services in the area of workers' compensation is due to expire on February 28, 2011. Marie F. Sang has provided good service under this contract. An extension of the contract would be favorable to the Santa Cruz METRO. Section 4.01 of the contract allows the Santa Cruz METRO the option to renew the contract for two (2) additional one-year terms. Marie F. Sang has also reviewed the contract and has indicated her desire to extend the contract for one additional year.

Santa Cruz METRO staff is recommending that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute an amendment to the contract to extend the contract term for one additional year.
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## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funding for this contract is contained in the Santa Cruz METRO's budget for Worker's Compensation claims. Annual budget for this contract is $\$ 35,000$.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Contract Amendment

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT FIRST AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT NO. 08-11 FOR LEGAL SERVICES IN THE AREA OF WORKER'S COMPENSATION

This First Amendment to Contract No. 08-11 for legal services in the area of worker's compensation is made effective March 1, 2011 between the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, a political subdivision of the State of California ("Santa Cruz METRO") and The Law Offices of Marie K. Sang ("Contractor").

## I. RECITALS

1.1 Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor entered into a Contract for legal services in the area of worker’s compensation ("Contract") on March 1, 2010.
1.2 The Contract allows for the extension upon mutual written consent.

Therefore, Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor amend the Contract as follows:
II. TERM
2.1 Article 4.01 is amended to include the following language:

This Contract shall continue through February 28, 2012. This Contract may be mutually extended by agreement of both parties.

## III. REMAINING TERMS AND CONDITIONS

3.1 All other provisions of the Contract that are not affected by this amendment shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

## IV. AUTHORITY

4.1 Each party has full power to enter into and perform this First Amendment to the Contract and the person signing this First Amendment on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into it. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this First Amendment to the Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it.

Signed on $\qquad$

Santa Cruz METRO
SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR
The Law Offices of Marie K. Sang

By
Marie K. Sang
Owner

Approved as to Form:

Margaret R. Gallagher
District Counsel

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Robert Cotter, Manager of Maintenance

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF CONTRACT RENEWAL FOR FURNISHING HEAVY DUTY COACH AND AUTOMOTIVE BATTERIES TO BATTERIES USA, INC. FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$25,000

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a one year contract renewal with Batteries USA, Inc. for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries for an amount not to exceed $\$ 25,000$.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- On March 1, 2009, RTCC Contract No. MSE-2009-009 was awarded to Batteries USA, Inc. for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries.
- On April 24, 2009, the Board of Directors authorized the contract with Batteries USA, Inn. Santa Cruz METRO entered into a two-year, firm fixed price contract with Batteries USA, Inc. for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries in reference to RTCC Contract No. MSE-2009-009 for an amount not to exceed $\$ 50,000$.
- The contract allows for a one year extension
- Staff is recommending that the board of directors authorize the general manager to execute a one year contract renewal with Batteries USA, Inc. for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries for an amount not to exceed \$25,000.


## III. DISCUSSION

The RTCC Procurement Committee released an invitation for bid for heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries on December 1, 2008. San Joaquin Regional Transit District was the lead agency for this RTCC procurement. Santa Cruz METRO was a participating agency in this bid. Bids were received and opened on January 5, 2009. Bids were evaluated with a recommendation that a contract be awarded to Batteries USA, Inc. as the lowest responsive bidder. On March 1, 2009, RTCC Contract No. MSE-2009-009 was awarded to Batteries USA, Inc. for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries.

Santa Cruz METRO entered into a two-year, firm fixed price contract with Batteries USA, Inc. for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries in reference to RTCC Contract No. MSE-2009-009 for an amount not to exceed \$50,000.

Staff is recommending that the board of directors authorize the general manager to execute a one year contract renewal with Batteries USA, Inc. for the purchase of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries for an amount not to exceed $\$ 25,000$.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funding for the procurement of heavy-duty coach and automotive batteries is contained within the Fleet Maintenance operating budget. Annual estimated budget for coach and automotive batteries is $\$ 25,000$.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

## Attachment A:

Prepared By: Hina Patel, Purchasing Agent

# santa criz meAttach hment Antir mistrict FIRST AMENDMENT TO RTCC CONTRACT NO. MSE-2009-009 FOR HEAVY DUTY COACH AND AUTOMOTIVE BATTERIES 

This First Amendment to Contract No. MSE-2009-009 for heavy duty coach and automotive batteries is made effective March 1, 2011, between the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, a political subdivision of the State of California ("Santa Cruz METRO") and Batteries USA, Inc. ("Contractor").
I. RECITALS
1.1 Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor entered into a Contract for Heavy Duty Coach and Automotive Batteries ("Contract") on March 1, 2010.
1.2 The Contract allows for the extension upon mutual written consent

Therefore, Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor amend the Contract as follows:

## II. TERM

2.1 Article 3.02 is amended to include the following language:

This contract shall continue through February 28, 2012. This Contract may be mutually extended by agreement of both parties.

## III. REMAINING TERMS AND CONDITIONS

3.1 All other provisions of the Contract that are not affected by this amendment shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

## IV. AUTHORITY

4.1 Each party has full power to enter into and perform this First Amendment to the Contract and the person signing this First Amendment on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into it. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this First Amendment to the Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it.

Signed on $\qquad$

Santa Cruz METRO
SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR
Batteries USA, Inc.

By
Said Senan
President

Approved as to Form:

Margaret R. Gallagher
District Counsel

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2010
TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Robert Cotter, Manager of Maintenance

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF CONTRACT RENEWAL WITH SC FUELS FOR DELIVERY OF CARB ULTRA-LOW SULFUR DIESEL FUEL FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,500,000

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to enter into a one-year contract extension with SC Fuels for delivery of CARB ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel for an amount not to exceed $\$ 1,500,000$.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- METRO has a contract with SC Fuels for the delivery of CARB ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel.
- This contract was established on February 7, 2007 for a two-year period with three optional one-year extensions.
- A one year extension was exercised February 1, 2010.
- The current contract approved by the Board of Directors will expire on January 31, 2011.
- Staff recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to enter into a one-year contract extension with SC Fuels for delivery of CARB ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel for an amount not to exceed $\$ 1,500,000$.


## III. DISCUSSION

METRO has a contract with SC Fuels for the delivery of CARB ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel that will expire on January 31, 2011. This contract was established on February 7, 2007 for a twoyear period with three optional one-year extensions. During this contract period, the quality of service provided by SC Fuels has been excellent.

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to enter into a oneyear contract extension with SC Fuels for delivery of CARB ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel for an amount not to exceed $\$ 1,500,000$.
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## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funds to support this contract are included in the Fleet FY11 Fuels and Lubricants - Revenue Vehicles budget.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Contract Amendment

Prepared By: Hina Patel, Purchasing Agent
Date Prepared: January 10, 2011
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# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT <br> THIRDAMENDMENT TO CONTRACT NO. 2007-MA-01RTCC FOR DELIVERY OF CARB ULTRA-LOW SULFUR DIESEL FUEL 

This Third Amendment to Contract No. 2007-MA-01RTCC for delivery of CARB ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel is made effective February 1, 2011 between the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, a political subdivision of the State of California ("METRO") and Southern Counties Oil Co., d.b.a. SC Fuels ("Contractor").

## I. RECITALS

1.1 METRO and Contractor entered into a Contract for Delivery of CARB ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel ("Contract") on February 1, 2007.
1.2 The Contract allows for the extension upon mutual written consent.

Therefore, METRO and Contractor amend the Contract as follows:
II. TERM
2.1 Article 3.02 is amended to include the following language:

This Contract shall continue through January 31, 2012. This Contract may be mutually extended by agreement of both parties.

## III. REMAINING TERMS AND CONDITIONS

3.1 All other provisions of the Contract that are not affected by this amendment shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

## V. AUTHORITY

Each party has full power to enter into and perform this Third Amendment to the Contract and the person signing this Third Amendment on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into it. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this Third Amendment to the Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it.
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Signed on $\qquad$

METRO - SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR - SC Fuels

By
Mimi S. Taylor
CFO of G.P.

By
Robert W. Bollar
Corp. Sec. of G.P.

Approved as to Form:

Margaret R. Gallagher
District Counsel

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Ciro Aguirre, Manager of Operations
SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AGREEMENT WITH THE SANTA CRUZ SEASIDE COMPANY FOR THE PROVISION OF LATE-NIGHT SERVICE

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board authorize the General Manager to enter into an agreement with the Santa
Cruz Seaside Company to subsidize the operation of Late-Night Service on Route 71.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- METRO has operated a late-night trip on Route 71 that has been subsidized by the Seaside Company (Boardwalk) for a number of years.
- The Boardwalk agrees to pay the costs of the extra service that will operate from the Boardwalk.
- The service has been extremely successful and the Boardwalk is again interested in having METRO provide the service for the summer commencing June 09, 2011.


## III. DISCUSSION

The Seaside Company (Boardwalk) has requested METRO to extend the starting point for the last Route 71 trip to include the Boardwalk for the summer of 2011. Their interest is due to the fact that a large number of their employees are young students living in Watsonville, and the Metro bus routes servicing the Boardwalk terminate service before the end of their employees evening shift. The Seaside Company has assured that METRO would not incur costs by agreeing to fund the cost of the route extension.

The service has been provided in previous years during summer, and again, would be provided through the entire summer bid. The service will operate for 98days, from June 09 to September 14, 2011. The Boardwalk requires the late service for less than that period, but they have agreed to fully underwrite the cost of the service extension to the Beach area for the entire bid.

The total cost for the service is estimated at $\$ 2,000$.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

There is no financial impact on METRO as the Boardwalk is picking up the full cost of the extension for the entire bid.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: January 11, 2011 Letter from Santa Cruz Seaside Company
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January 11, 2011

Ciro Aguirre
Manager of Operations
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
370 Encinal Street Suite 100
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
RE: 2011 Request for Late Night Transit Service on Route 71 to Watsonville for the Summer

Dear Ciro;
Please take the Santa Cruz Seaside Company request for late night service to Watsonville for the summer of 2011 to the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District Board. The Santa Cruz Seaside Company will sign another Agreement for Transit Service, between the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (SCMTD) and the Santa Cruz Seaside Company, for summer bus service to connect our employees to the Metro Center for late night service on route 71.

We understand that the contract will be set at $\$ 2,000$ for the 2011 summer season. Our employees use the service as do other beach area business employees. We appreciate the Transit District responding to our company and other beach area employer's needs.

Sincerely,


Jo Anne Dlott
Vice President Human Resources

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Ciro F. Aguirre, Manager of Operations

## SUBJECT: STATUS UPDATE: METRO GFI GENFARE AUTOMATIC FARE COLLECTION SYSTEM UPGRADE

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

No action required. This report is for information purposes only.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Throughout 2009 Santa Cruz METRO staff pursued various sources of funding in order to upgrade Santa Cruz METRO’s fare collection equipment to an Automatic Fare Collection System (AFCS).
- Santa Cruz METRO, in conjunction with Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST), approached Automatic Fare Collection System (AFCS) as a way in which to establish a partnership that would streamline fare payments and provide fare media that could be used by the customers of both agencies for enhanced regional travel.
- On June 15, 2010 METRO entered into a contract with GFI Genfare for the purchase and installation of an Automatic Fare Collection System.
- Funding for this procurement in the amount of $\$ 2,362,000$ was provided through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Grant, 5309 Earmark, Local Match, and Caltrans ARRA Grant.
- AFCS equipment was received October 05, 2010.
- Staff training was conducted throughout the month of November, 2010.
- Installation of bus revenue collection equipment (Fareboxes) commenced on December 03 and was completed on December 05, 2010.
- Staff continues to work with Capitola Mall, Cabrillo College for the installation of Ticket Vending Machines, and with GFI for completion of project.
- Staff is reviewing METRO's Fare Policy, are in discussions with the Valley Transportation Authority and Monterey Salinas Transit regarding inter-agency travel, and the use of Smart Card technology.


## III. DISCUSSION

In 2009 Santa Cruz METRO began its solicitation for funding in order to retrofit its aging fare collection technology with the intent to upgrade to an Automatic Fare Collection System
(AFCS). The use of AFCS has facilitated the introduction of a range of new payment options for patrons, as well as the opportunity to establish new types of partnerships. These validating fareboxes also allow for more accurate accounting of revenue, ridership and an enhanced ability to enter into agreements with other transit agencies.

Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST) and Santa Cruz METRO agreed to explore the possibility of establishing a partnership using AFCS with the intent of streamlining fare payments and providing fare media options that could be used across county lines establishing easier interagency travel. Further support for this design was carried forward on behalf of MST and Santa Cruz METRO by Congressman Sam Farr through the award of an earmark that would partially fund a Regional Contactless Fare Collection System, also known as a "Smart Card System."

## STATUS SUMMARY:

On June 15, 2010 METRO entered into a contract with GFI Genfare for purchase and installation of an Automatic Fare Collection System. The total cost proposal received from GFI Genfare including equipment, materials, labor, shipping, and sales tax was \$2,341,644.50.

Equipment arrived and was inventoried on October 5, 2010 and stored at the METRO Golf Club Maintenance Facility Warehouse.

Training - METRO staff training was conducted throughout the month of November, 2011 by GFI instructors. Three METRO Mechanics were sent to the GFI Genfare manufacturing plant for in-depth training on maintenance, repair, and programming of all major revenue collecting equipment. Training on equipment servicing, operation, and computer system support were conducted at METRO facilities. One hundred fifty seven Operators and Supervisors were trained on farebox operation prior to "go live" date of December 06, 2010.

Outreach - METRO Customer Service conducted community outreach familiarizing METRO's customers through the direct fielding of questions, distribution of pamphlets at the various Transit Centers, website information, and telephone interaction. METRO Operators and staff have also been providing assistance to customers not familiar with our new system.

Fareboxes - Installation of 100 GFI Oddyssey Validating Fareboxes was performed on METRO's fleet of buses commencing on December 03 and completed on December 05, 2010, with no interruption to service. Each farebox reads various dollar denominations, and issues Local Service Day Passes or Highway 17 Express Day Passes. Once a Pass is validated, it requires the customer to swipe the card in the magnetic reader and the farebox records the ride and fare set, improving boarding time and revenue tracking.

Ticket Vending Machines (TVM) - Four TVM's were purchased two have been installed, one at the Pacific Station Transit Center, and one at the Watsonville Transit Center.

The TVM at Pacific Station is fully functional and dispenses Regular 31 Day Pass, Youth and Highway 17 Express 31 Day Passes, Older Adult \& Disabled 31 Day Discount Pass, and METRO Cash Card (stored value) in increments of $\$ 10, \$ 20, \$ 30, \$ 50$. The TVM also accepts Visa and Mastercard as optional payment choices.
The TVM at the Watsonville Transit Center is currently awaiting programming in conjunction with GFI and METRO.
Two additional TVM's are awaiting installation, one is planned to be installed at a bus shelter on lane 1 at Capitola Mall. Installation is currently pending completion of design plans that will provide a minor modification to the bus stop landing so that the unit will mount properly, routing of electrical connections, and plan design acceptance by Capitola Mall Management.
Consideration for the fourth TVM is to install the unit at Cabrillo College. This is pending further discussion with Cabrillo and METRO Staff as to feasibility and preferred location for installation.

Printing-Encoding Machine (PEM) - Two PEM's were purchased to facilitate encoding the various ticket and passes provided to vendors selling METRO fare media at satellite locations and will process fare media that is currently being dispensed by the TVM's. The machines are also used to service customers who prefer to have the Customer Service Representative provide the fare media at the Information Booth.

The Automatic Fare Collection System upgrade is approximately seventy percent complete.

## Pending Issues

- GFI is scheduled to return to METRO to complete installation of the two TVM's, at which time they will provide additional training for Maintenance and IT, and address any outstanding issues.
- UCSC is in discussion with METRO and GFI in order coordinate the integration of GFI encoding onto their Student Identification Cards. This will allow UCSC student to use their ID cards as a bus pass swiping it on the farebox and improving tracking processes for METRO and UCSC.
- Monterey Salinas Transit (MST) has purchased GFI Genfare Revenue Collection Equipment, and is scheduled to go on-line in March, 2011. Staff at MST has been in discussion with METRO Staff in order to determine the best approach toward providing inter-agency travel when their system is on-line.
- The Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) is also purchasing GFI Genfare equipment, and has expressed interest in discussing inter-agency travel between METRO's Highway 17 Express Service and VTA Local Service, "Smart Card" technology is a topic of interest for VTA..

METRO Staff is currently reviewing METRO's fare policy and discussing ways in which to develop an improved fare policy and implement fare payment strategies consistent with ensuring that all population segments can access a variety of fare options that are economically advantageous thus providing equity and fairness in the provision of publically funded services.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS None

V. ATTACHMENTS None

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011

TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Robyn Slater, Human Resources Manager
SUBJECT: PRESENTATION OF EMPLOYEE LONGEVITY AWARDS

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors recognize the anniversaries of those District employees named on the attached list and that the Board Chair present them with awards.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- None.


## III. DISCUSSION

Many employees have provided dedicated and valuable years to the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District. In order to recognize these employees, anniversary awards are presented at fiveyear increments beginning with the tenth year. In an effort to accommodate those employees that are to be recognized, they will be invited to attend the Board meetings to receive their awards.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

None.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Employee Recognition List

Prepared by: Tony Tapiz, Administrative Services Coordinator
Date Prepared: January 20, 2011

## Attachment A

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION 

THIRTY YEARS
Maria Granados-Boyce, Transit Supervisor

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

Resolution No. $\qquad$
On the Motion of Director: Duly Seconded by Director: The Following Resolution is Adopted:

# RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION <br> FOR THE SERVICES OF MIKE ROTKIN AS A MEMBER OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS 

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District was formed to provide public transportation to all of the residents of Santa Cruz County; and

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Cruz and the University of California, Santa Cruz, requiring strong representation, appointed Mike Rotkin as a member of the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District; and

WHEREAS, Mike Rotkin served as a member of the Board of Directors from April 1979 through December 1988 and from June 1993 through December 2010; and

WHEREAS, Mike Rotkin provided the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District with strong leadership and insightful guidance during his term in the office, including representing the Transit District on the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission; and

WHEREAS, during the time that Mike Rotkin served on the Board of Directors, METRO expanded service, developed new operating facilities, purchased new equipment, developed accessible bus stops, opened new transit centers, improved ridership, responded to the challenges of the Loma Prieta Earthquake, responded to adverse economic conditions, assumed direct operational responsibility for the Highway 17 Express service and the Amtrak Connector service, and assumed direct operational responsibility for the ParaCruz service, replaced a portion of the fixed route fleet, converted 40 buses from diesel to CNG, opened a new service/fueling facility, completed the new fleet maintenance facility, opened a new administration/facilities maintenance facility, received federal stimulus funds for new ParaCruz vans, improved the ParaCruz service, implemented wifi on the Highway 17 service, participated in the Transportation Funding Task Force, acquired funding for major capital improvements, initiated a study of Watsonville service, began implementation of a smart-card fare system, and responded to a severe economic downturn; and

WHEREAS, the quality of public transit service in Santa Cruz County was improved dramatically as a result of the dedication, commitment and efforts of Mike Rotkin; and

WHEREAS, Mike Rotkin completed his term as a Member of the Board of Directors in December 2010.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District does hereby commend Mike Rotkin for his efforts in the advancement of public transportation service in Santa Cruz County and expresses appreciation on behalf of itself, Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District staff and all of the residents of Santa Cruz County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be sent to Mike Rotkin and that a copy of this resolution be entered into the official records of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 28th day of January 2011 by the following vote:
AYES: Directors -
NOES: Directors -
ABSTAIN: Directors -
ABSENT: Directors -

APPROVED
ELLEN PIRIE
Board Chair

ATTEST
LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

MARGARET GALLAGHER
District Counsel

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

Resolution No. $\qquad$
On the Motion of Director: Duly Seconded by Director: The Following Resolution is Adopted:

# RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION <br> FOR THE SERVICES OF MARCELA TAVANTZIS AS A MEMBER OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS 


#### Abstract

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District was formed to provide public transportation to all of the residents of Santa Cruz County; and

WHEREAS, the City of Watsonville, requiring strong representation, appointed Marcela Tavantzis as a member of the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District; and


WHEREAS, Marcela Tavantzis served as a member of the Board of Directors from June 2002 through December 2010; and

WHEREAS, Marcela Tavantzis provided the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District with strong leadership and insightful guidance during her term in the office, including representing the Transit District on the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission; and

WHEREAS, during the time that Marcela Tavantzis served on the Board of Directors, METRO expanded service, developed new operating facilities, purchased new equipment, developed accessible bus stops, opened new transit centers, improved ridership, responded to adverse economic conditions, assumed direct operational responsibility for the Highway 17 Express service and the Amtrak Connector service, and assumed direct operational responsibility for the ParaCruz service, replaced a portion of the fixed route fleet, converted 40 buses from diesel to CNG, opened a new service/fueling facility, completed the new fleet maintenance facility, opened a new administration/facilities maintenance facility, received federal stimulus funds for new ParaCruz vans, improved the ParaCruz service, implemented wifi on the Highway 17 service, participated in the Transportation Funding Task Force, acquired funding for major capital improvements, initiated a study of Watsonville service, began implementation of a smartcard fare system, and responded to a severe economic downturn; and

WHEREAS, the quality of public transit service in Santa Cruz County was improved dramatically as a result of the dedication, commitment and efforts of Marcela Tavantzis; and

WHEREAS, Marcela Tavantzis completed her term as a Member of the Board of Directors in December 2010.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District does hereby commend Marcela Tavantzis for her efforts in the advancement of public transportation service in Santa Cruz County and expresses appreciation on behalf of itself, Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District staff and all of the residents of Santa Cruz County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be sent to Marcela Tavantzis and that a copy of this resolution be entered into the official records of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 28th day of January 2011 by the following vote:
AYES: Directors -
NOES: Directors -

ABSTAIN: Directors -
ABSENT: Directors -

APPROVED
ELLEN PIRIE
Board Chair

ATTEST
LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

MARGARET GALLAGHER
District Counsel

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

Resolution No.<br>$\qquad$<br>On the Motion of Director:<br>$\qquad$<br>Duly Seconded by Director:<br>$\qquad$

## A RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION FOR THE SERVICES OF BATTISTA BREGANTE AS FACILITIES MAINTENANCE WORKER I FOR THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District was formed to provide public transportation to all of the residents of Santa Cruz County, and<br>WHEREAS, the provision of public transportation service requires a competent, dedicated workforce, and

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, requiring an employee with expertise and dedication appointed Battista Bregante to serve in the position of Facilities Maintenance Worker I, and

WHEREAS, Battista Bregante served as a member of the Facilities Maintenance Department of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District for the time period of August 26, 1999 to December 30, 2010, and

WHEREAS, Battista Bregante provided the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District with dedicated service and commitment during the time of employment, and

WHEREAS, Battista Bregante served the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District with distinction, and

WHEREAS, the service provided to the residents of Santa Cruz County by Battista Bregante resulted in reliable, quality public transportation being available in the most difficult of times, and

WHEREAS, during the time of Battista Bregante's service, METRO expanded service, developed new operating facilities, purchased new equipment, developed accessible bus stops, opened new transit centers, improved ridership, responded to adverse economic conditions, assumed direct operational responsibility for the Highway 17 Express service and the Amtrak Connector service, and assumed direct operational responsibility for the ParaCruz service, and

WHEREAS, the quality of life in Santa Cruz County was improved dramatically as a result of the exemplary service provided by Battista Bregante.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that upon his retirement as Facilities Maintenance Worker I, the Board of Directors of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District does hereby commend Battista Bregante for efforts in advancing public transit service in Santa Cruz County and expresses sincere appreciation on behalf of itself, Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District staff and all of the residents of Santa Cruz County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution will be presented to Battista Bregante, and that a copy of this resolution be entered into the official records of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 28th day of January 2011 by the following vote:

## AYES: Directors -

NOES: Directors -

## ABSTAIN: Directors -

## ABSENT: Directors -

## APPROVED

ELLEN PIRIE Board Chair

ATTEST
LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

MARGARET GALLAGHER
District Counsel

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Leslie R. White, General Manager

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE SERVICES WITH CAPITALEDGE ADVOCACY, LLC

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a contract for federal legislative services with CapitalEdge Advocacy, LLC to provide federal legislative services for an amount not to exceed \$64,000

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- A competitive procurement was conducted to solicit proposals from qualified firms.
- Three (3) firms submitted proposals for the Santa Cruz METRO’s review.
- An evaluation committee comprised of Santa Cruz METRO staff reviewed and evaluated the proposals.
- The evaluation committee is recommending that a contract be established with CapitalEdge Advocacy, LLC to provide federal legislative services.


## III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO requires the services of a federal legislative representative to represent and advocate before the Congress and the U.S. Department of Transportation, the positions and policies of the Santa Cruz METRO. Other required services include: represent Santa Cruz METRO before the various legislative committees in Washington D.C., as directed; monitor transportation committees and other committees as appropriate; represent the Santa Cruz METRO before such committees as directed; represent the Santa Cruz METRO when directed, before State Departments, Agencies, and regulatory bodies that impact the polices and programs of the Santa Cruz METRO; advise the Santa Cruz METRO on legislative strategy; serve as an advisor to management and the Board of Directors; provide information relative to the legislative hearings which may have an impact on the policies and programs of Santa Cruz METRO; closely monitor and manage legislative issues and/or bills which Santa Cruz METRO has identified as high priority items; provide assistance to the Santa Cruz METRO in drafting proposed testimony before the Congress and present such testimony when requested; coordinate advocacy efforts with the Santa Cruz METRO's Board of Directors and staff; undertake such
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other assignments upon which Santa Cruz METRO and consultant mutually agree; prepare written/oral reports, at least monthly, summarizing its activities on behalf of Santa Cruz METRO; comply with all Federal and State laws and regulations relating to the activities of lobbyists; and shall provide necessary documentation to support the filing of all required Federal and State forms related to legislative assistant or lobbying services.

On November 23, 2010, a Request for Proposal No. 11-09 was sent out to fourteen (14) firms and was legally advertised. On December 27, 2010, proposals were received and opened from three (3) firms. These firms and there overall ranking are listed in Attachment A. An evaluation committee comprised of Santa Cruz METRO staff have reviewed and evaluated the proposals.

The evaluation committee used the following criteria as contained in the Request for Proposals:

| Criteria | Points |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Familiarity with Santa Cruz, California and knowledge of current transportation issues and <br> projects which are affected by federal legislative or administrative actions | 15 |
| 2. | Existing, or ability to establish close working relationships with members and staff of the <br> California Congressional Delegation | 20 |
| 3. | Knowledge and experience working with members and staff of the House Transportation and <br> Infrastructure Committee, House Transportation Appropriations Subcommittee, Senate <br> Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs Committee, and Senate Transportation Appropriations <br> Subcommittee | 20 |
| 4. | Knowledge and experience working with the staff of the Federal Transit Administration | 15 |
| 5. | Ability to work collaboratively with Legislative Consultants representing other California <br> agencies and business to achieve Santa Cruz METRO goals | 10 |
| 6. | Proposed cost of services. | Total Points Possible |
| 7. | DBE Participation | 100 |
|  |  | 5 |

Based on the above criteria, the selection committee is recommending that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a contract with CapitalEdge Advocacy, LLC to provide federal legislative services for an amount not to exceed $\$ 64,000$. CapitalEdge has provided federal legislative services for Santa Cruz METRO since 1994 and will continue to provide services meeting all specifications and requirements.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funds are included in the FY11 budget to support this contract.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

## Attachment A: RFP 11-09 Federal Legislative Representative Overall Ranking

Attachment B: Contract for Federal Legislative Representative Services (11-09)

Note: The RFP along with its Exhibits and any Addendum(s) are available for review at the
Administration Office of METRO or online at www.scmtd.com

## Attachment A

# RFP 11-09 FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE REPRESENTATIVE OVERALL RANKING 

1. Capital Edge Advocacy ..... 93.7
2. McBee Strategic Consulting, LLC ..... 68.5
3. McAllister and Quinn, LLC ..... 65.8

## Attachment B

## CONTRACT FOR FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE REPRESENTATIVE SERVICES (11-09)

THIS CONTRACT is made effective on February 1, 2011 between the SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT, a political subdivision of the State of California ("Santa Cruz METRO"), and CAPITALEDGE ADVOCACY, LLC.("Contractor").

1. RECITALS
1.01 Santa Cruz METRO's Primary Objective

Santa Cruz METRO is a public entity whose primary objective is providing public transportation and has its principal office at 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, California 95060.

Santa Cruz METRO's Need for Federal Legislative Representative Services

Santa Cruz METRO has the need for Federal Legislative Representative Services. In order to obtain these services, the Santa Cruz METRO issued a Request for Proposals, dated November 23, 2010, setting forth specifications for such services. The Request for Proposals is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "A".

Contractor's Proposal

Contractor is a firm/individual qualified to provide Federal Legislative Representative Services and whose principal place of business is 1212 New York Ave., NW, Suite 250, Washington D.C. Pursuant to the Request for Proposals by the Santa Cruz METRO, Contractor submitted a proposal for Federal Legislative Representative Services, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "B."

Selection of Contractor and Intent of Contract

On January 14, 2010 Santa Cruz METRO selected Contractor as the offeror whose proposal was most advantageous to the Santa Cruz METRO, to provide Federal Legislative Representative Services described herein. This Contract is intended to fix the provisions of these services.

Santa Cruz METRO and Contractor agree as follows:

## 2. INCORPORATED DOCUMENTS AND APPLICABLE LAW

2.01 Documents Incorporated in this Contract

The documents below are attached to this Contract and by reference made a part hereof. This is an integrated Contract. This writing constitutes the final expression of the parties' contract, and it is a complete and exclusive statement of the provisions of that Contract, except for written amendments, if any, made after the date of this Contract in accordance with Section 13.14.
A. Exhibit "A"

Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit Santa Cruz METRO's "Request for Proposals" dated November 23, 2010

## B. Exhibit "B" (Contractor's Proposal)

Contractor's Proposal to the Santa Cruz METRO for Federal Legislative Representative Services signed by Contractor and dated December 27, 2010.

Conflicts
Where in conflict, the provisions of this writing supersede those of the above-referenced documents, Exhibits "A" and "B". Where in conflict, the provisions of Exhibit "A" supercede Exhibit "B".
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Recitals

The Recitals set forth in Article 1 are part of this Contract
3. DEFINITIONS

The terms below (or pronouns in place of them) have the following meaning in the contract:
3.01.01 CONTRACT - The Contract consists of this document, the attachments incorporated herein in accordance with Article 2, and any written amendments made in accordance with Section 13.14.
3.01.02 CONTRACTOR - The Contractor selected by Santa Cruz METRO for this project in accordance with the Request for Proposals issued November 23, 2010.
3.01.03 CONTRACTOR'S STAFF - Employees of Contractor.
3.01.04 DAYS - Calendar days.
3.01.05 OFFEROR - Contractor whose proposal was accepted under the terms and conditions of the Request for Proposals issued November 23, 2010.
3.01.06 PROVISION - Any term, agreement, covenant, condition, clause, qualification, restriction, reservation, or other stipulation in the contract that defines or otherwise controls, establishes, or limits the performance required or permitted by either party.
3.01.07 SCOPE OF WORK (OR "WORK") - The entire obligation under the Contract, including, without limitation, all labor, equipment, materials, supplies, transportation, services, and other work products and expenses, express or implied, in the Contract.

## 4. TIME OF PERFORMANCE

4.01 Term

The term of this Contract will be for a period not to exceed one (1) year and shall commence upon the issuance of the contract by the Santa Cruz METRO.

At the option of the Santa Cruz METRO, this contract agreement may be renewed for four (4) additional one (1) year terms upon mutual written consent.
5. COMPENSATION

Terms of Payment
Santa Cruz METRO shall compensate Contractor an annual fee of \$60,000, payable in twelve monthly installments of $\$ 5,000$. In addition, the Santa Cruz METRO will reimburse Contractor up to $\$ 4,000$ annually for expenses incurred on behalf of the Santa Cruz METRO. These reimbursable expenses would include: long distance telephone, photocopying, postage, courier, overnight mail, and other executive expenses such as taxis, events with appropriate Santa Cruz METRO and Washington officials, and pre-approved travel. Santa Cruz METRO shall reasonably determine whether work has been successfully performed for purposes of payment. Compensation shall be made within forty-five (45) days of Santa Cruz METRO written approval of Contractor's written invoice for said work.

Invoices

Contractor shall submit invoices with a purchase order number provided by the Santa Cruz METRO on a monthly basis. With the invoice, Contractor would outline the types of activities engaged in on behalf of the Santa Cruz METRO over the course of the month. Expenses shall be billed if allowed under the Contract. Records for expenses
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billed shall be kept up to date and shall be available for inspection by the Santa Cruz METRO (or any Grantor of the Santa Cruz METRO, including, without limitation, any State or Federal agency providing project funding or reimbursement) at any time for any reason upon demand for not less than four (4) years after the date of expiration or termination of the Contract. Under penalty of law, Contractor represents that all expenses billed to the Santa Cruz METRO are (1) actually incurred; (2) reasonable in amount; (3) related to this Contract; and (4) necessary for performance of the project.

## 6. NOTICES

All notices under this Contract shall be deemed duly given upon delivery, if delivered by hand; or three (3) days after posting, if sent by registered mail, receipt requested; to a party hereto at the address hereinunder set forth or to such other address as a party may designate by notice pursuant hereto.

SANTA CRUZ METRO

Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
CapitalEdge Advocacy
1212 New York Ave, NW
110 Vernon Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Attention: General Manager
Suite 250
Washington D.C. 20005
Attention: Carolyn C. Chaney, President

## 7. AUTHORITY

Each party has full power and authority to enter into and perform this Contract and the person signing this Contract on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Contract. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it

Signed on $\qquad$
SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR
CapitalEdge

By
Carolyn C. Chaney
President

Approved as to Form:

Margaret Rose Gallagher
Santa Cruz METRO Counsel

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager \& Acting Assistant General Manager

## SUBJECT: ACCEPT AND FILE LETTER FROM CABRILLO COLLEGE REGARDING CESSATION OF STUDENT BUS PASS PROGRAM

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors accept and file a letter from Cabrillo College regarding the cessation of the student bus pass program as of June 2011.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Santa Cruz METRO and Cabrillo College have had an ongoing contract to provide public transportation services to the College’s students, faculty and staff at an affordable rate for many years.
- Santa Cruz METRO entered into a written contract for Transit Services with Cabrillo College on September 1, 2006 for a four (4) year term which expired August 31, 2010
- An amendment to the contract for September 1, 2010 through August 21, 2011 was approved at the July 23, 2010 Board of Director meeting
- On November 23, 2010, Cabrillo College sent a letter to discontinue our contract as of June 2011 per terms of the contract
- Further conversations with Sesario Escoto and Brian King confirm the letter
- Staff recommends that the Board of Directors accept and file the Cabrillo College letter of contract termination as of June 2011.


## III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO and Cabrillo College have had an ongoing contract to provide public transportation services to the College's students, faculty and staff at an affordable rate for many years.

Santa Cruz METRO entered into a written contract for Transit Services with Cabrillo College on September 1, 2006 for a four (4) year term which expired August 31, 2010. An amendment to the contract for September 1, 2010 through August 21, 2011 was approved at the July 23, 2010 Board of Director meeting.

On November 23, 2010, Cabrillo College sent a letter to discontinue our contract as of June 2011 per terms of the contract (Attachment A). In the letter, Cabrillo sites that in the current budget climate, Cabrillo can no longer afford to subsidize their student bus pass program and the current California law does not allow community colleges to charge students a fee to cover services such as transportation. Further conversations with Sesario Escoto and Brian King confirmed that the letter from Cabrillo does not need to be an action of their Board of Trustees and the decision to discontinue their contract with us was a decision made in their cabinet with their President.

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors accept and file the Cabrillo College letter of contract termination as of June 2011.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

The termination of this contract will be an operating revenue loss of $\$ 190,000$ per year starting in FY12. The installation of a TVM at the Cabrillo College campus may offset some of this loss by providing easy access to passes and cash cards on campus for transit riders.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Cabrillo College letter of contract termination as of June 2011 dated November 23, 2010.


November 23, 2010
Les White
General Manager
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
110 Vernon Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Dear Mr. White:
This letter is to inform the Santa Cruz Metro Transit District that beginning with summer semester 2011, Cabrillo College will no longer sell bus passes to our students. As of June 2011, student will need to purchase passes directly from the SCMTD.

We are disappointed that the college is no longer able to afford to subsidize the student bus pass program. The subsidy cost has been just over $\$ 190,000$ a year for the last few years and in the current budget climate Cabrillo College cannot afford this expense. Unlike UCSC, California law does not allow community colleges to charge all students a fee to cover services, such as transportation. Continuing the subsidy is not fiscally prudent at this time.

We know that transportation service is important to students. In the future, in order to provide an easy opportunity for our students to purchase bus passes, we invite and encourage SCMTC to sell monthly bus passes at the Cabrillo College campuses.

If I can be of assistance, please feel free to contact me.


CC: Ellen Pirie, Chair, Metro Transit District Board
Brian King, President, Cabrillo
Victoria Lewis, Vice President, Administrative Services, Cabrillo
Sesario Escoto, Dean of Students, Cabrillo

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

## RESUBMITTED

DATE: January 28, 2010
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager and Acting Assistant General Manager

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF THE 2002 CHANCE COACH CNG RUBBER TIRED TROLLEY TO SOUTH METRO AREA REGIONAL TRANSIT IN WILSONVILLE, OREGON, UPON RECEIPT OF THE CITY OF SANTA CRUZ'S RELEASE OF INTEREST AND FTA APPROVAL OF SUCH TRANSFER

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors approve the transfer of the 2002 Chance Coach CNG rubber
tired trolley to South Metro Area Regional Transit in Wilsonville, Oregon, upon receipt of
the City of Santa Cruz's release of interest and FTA approval of such transfer.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- The trolley was originally purchased in 2004 with the City of Santa Cruz providing the local match. The total price was $\$ 362,233$; the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), through Santa Cruz METRO, paid $\$ 289,786$; and, the City of Santa Cruz paid $\$ 72,447$ in three installments. The intent was to run a beach shuttle, but shortly after the vehicle was delivered, the City ceased funding for the shuttle.
- On April 27, 2007, a Staff Report to the Board of Directors (Attachment A) recommended that staff identify other eligible transit agencies who would be interested in acquiring the CNG trolley as a transfer of FTA assets.
- In the April 27, 2007 Staff Report, it was also recommended that if another eligible transit agency was identified to take possession of the trolley, that the City of Santa Cruz be refunded the undepreciated value of their original investment of public funds. At December 31, 2010, this value was $\$ 22,639.53$.
- The City of Santa Cruz was asked for a letter releasing its interest in the trolley in exchange for the undepreciated value of their investment. A letter was obtained in June 2010, but that transfer fell through. Because the undepreciated dollar amount of the local share has changed since then, another letter is required for this transfer.
- Santa Cruz METRO has available funds for the return of undepreciated value to the City of Santa Cruz.
- The City of Wilsonville, Oregon, which runs the South Metro Area Regional Transit agency, an eligible FTA direct recipient, has expressed interest in a transfer of the trolley and is willing to arrange and pay for its transport once the transfer is approved.


## III. DISCUSSION

In February 2002, existing FTA grant \#CA-90-X873 was amended to purchase a trolley replica vehicle. Members of the Santa Cruz METRO Board from the City of Santa Cruz were interested in running a Beach Shuttle and offered to pay the $20 \%$ local share required for the purchase of the trolley. Shortly after the acquisition of the trolley, in 2004, the City ceased funding for the shuttle. Since then, the trolley has had very limited usage.

When fleet vehicles are no longer in use, S anta Cruz METRO is required to let the FTA know through updates to the "fleet status list" in the federal grants administration program. In the case of the trolley, it is also a vehicle that is not eligible for replacement. The trolley can, however, be transferred to another eligible transit agency who is a recipient of FTA funding.

In October 2010, S anta Cruz METRO received a serious inquiry from the City of Wilsonville, Oregon's South M etro Area R egional T ransit (SMART), an FTA recipient willing to ta ke possession of and transport the trolley, and transfer the remaining FTA interest (\$90,558 as of $12 / 31 / 10$ ) vi a taking ov er our grant co ntract C A-90-X873. This is contingent on Santa C ruz METRO's Board of Directors' approval, a release from the City of Santa Cruz, FTA District IX (CA) and District $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{OR})$ approval and any required action by the Wilsonville City Council.

The City of Santa Cruz had released its interest in the trolley on June 30, 2010, in exchange for the return of the unde preciated va lue of their or iginal i nvestment, or $\$ 25,168.13$ at that time. That de al fell $t$ hrough and, s ince $t$ hen, $t$ he e quipment ha $s f$ urther de preciated, $w$ ith a ne $w$ remaining value of $\$ 22,639.53$ at December 31,2010 , the end date ag reed to by all parties. A new letter from the City of Santa Cruz's Director of Finance has been requested and is required prior to exchange of the asset.

Staff recommends approval of $t$ he $t$ ransfer of $t$ he $t$ rolley as de scribed a bove. F ollowing $t$ his approval, Santa Cruz METRO will advise the City of Wilsonville, OR so that they can move forward with the required City Council action to approve the purchase and transporting of the trolley. S taff will review and forward c orrespondence to the F TA r equesting a pproval of the transfer of assets and related grant contract. Following the receipt of FTA a pproval, staff will proceed with the transfer of the 2002 Chance Coach CNG trolley to Wilsonville SMART.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Santa Cruz METRO will return the undepreciated value of the City of Santa Cruz's investment, or $\$ 22,639.53$, and will tr ansfer the remaining value of the FTA in vestment of \$90,558 (at $12 / 31 / 10$ ) to Wilsonville, O R, S outh Metro A rea R egional T ransit. Santa C ruz M ETRO ha s available funds to return the undepreciated value to the City of Santa Cruz. Santa Cruz METRO and the City of Wilsonville, OR, have agreed to cut-off the depreciated value at 12/31/10.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

## Attachment A: April 27, 2007 Staff Report to Board of Directors

Attachment B: Board Resolution Authorizing the Transfer of the 2002 Chance Trolley
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: April 27, 2007
TO: $\quad$ Board of Directors

FROM: Les White, General Manager
SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF DIRECTING STAFF TO IDENTIFY TRANSIT SYSTEMS INTERESTED IN ACQUIRING METRO'S RUBBER TIRED TROLLEY

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors instruct the General Manager to identify and solicit interest from transit systems that may be able to purchase the METRO/City of Santa Cruz Trolley Replica vehicle and discuss the issue with the City of Santa Cruz.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- In February of 2002, the Board of Directors directed staff to procure a Trolley replica vehicle for use in the operation of the Santa Cruz Beach Shuttle.
- At that time, the City of Santa Cruz provided the local share of $20 \%$ for the trolley.
- $\quad$ The cost of the trolley when purchased was $\$ 362,233$.
- Shortly after delivery of the trolley replica, the City of Santa Cruz ceased the funding for the operation of the Beach Shuttle.
- The trolley has seen limited operation since that time.
- FTA monitors the use of federally funded assets used in the provision of mass transit service.
- METRO is scheduled to receive a Triennial Review this year, and the limited use of the trolley replica is expected to be discussed.
- One option that is available for METRO to address the "underused" trolley replica is to do a "federal assets transfer", whereby another transit system can agree to have the bus transferred to them for the undepreciated value of the local share of the vehicle.


## III. DISCUSSION

In February of 2002, the Board of Directors asked staff to amend an existing FTA grant to purchase a trolley replica vehicle. Members of the Board from the City of Santa Cruz were interested in using a rubber-tired trolley to run on the Santa Cruz Beach Shuttle, and they offered to pay the $20 \%$ share of the grant. The trolley was purchased for a cost of $\$ 362,233$, with the
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local share amounting to $\$ 73,354$. Shortly after the delivery of the trolley, the City of Santa Cruz ceased funding for the Beach Shuttle (last year of operation was 2004), and the trolley has seen very limited usage. The chart below summarizes the mileage for the trolley

| Date | Mileage |
| :--- | ---: |
| June 2003 | 2,850 |
| June 2004 | 2,748 |
| June 2005 | 1,310 |
| October 2006 | 112 |
| March 2007 | 128 |

METRO is required to certify that all assets purchased with federal funds are actively used for the provision of mass transit services. This year METRO is scheduled for a Triennial Review that is conducted by FTA. As part of that review, they evaluate the number of buses in the fleet. As can be seen from the above chart, the trolley is not actively used for service. The FTA allows for the transfer of federal assets that are not eligible for replacement to other transit agencies. The federal share of the asset is transferred and the undepreciated value of the local share is paid to the agency as reimbursement for the asset.

Staff is recommending that the Board direct staff to identify other transit agencies that may be interested in acquiring METRO's trolley replica vehicle. Should this be done, there will be a depreciated refund of the local share from the purchase of the trolley. Staff is also recommending that staff discuss the issue of the trolley replica vehicle with the City of Santa Cruz.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Should another transit agency acquire the trolley replica vehicle, it will result in a refund of the depreciated portion of the local share. These funds shall be returned to the City of Santa Cruz.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

None

# ATTACHMENT B <br> BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

Resolution No. $\qquad$
On the Motion of Director:
Duly Seconded by Director: $\qquad$
The Following Resolution is Adopted:

# RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF THE 2002 CHANCE COACH CNG RUBBER TIRED TROLLEY TO SOUTH METRO AREA REGIONAL TRANSIT IN WILSONVILLE, OREGON, UPON RECEIPT OF THE CITY OF SANTA CRUZ'S RELEASE OF INTEREST AND FTA APPROVAL OF SUCH TRANSFER 

WHEREAS, the 2002 C hance C oach C NG r ubber t ired t rolley (Vehicle Identification \#1C9S2CCS62W535135) was originally purchased for $\$ 362,233$, with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) providing $80 \%(\$ 289,786)$ of the funds via grant CA-90-X873, and the City of Santa Cruz providing the $20 \%$ local share of $\$ 72,447$; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Cruz originally intended to run a beach shuttle, but shortly after the vehicle was delivered in 2004, the City ceased funding for the shuttle and the vehicle was no longer needed; and,

WHEREAS, $t$ he Santa C ruz $M$ etropolitan $T$ ransit $D$ istrict $B$ oard of $D$ irectors instructed the General M anager on A pril 27, 2007 to i dentify transit s ystems with an interest in the transfer of the trolley; and,

WHEREAS, the City of W ilsonville, O regon's S outh M etro A rea R egional Transit (SMART) agency, a d irect recipient of F ederal T ransit A dministration (FTA) funding, has expressed their intent to transfer the FTA asset to place it in revenue service, safely $t$ ransport $t$ he trolley to $O$ regon a nd to reimburse $S$ anta $C$ ruz METRO for $t$ he undepreciated value of the City of S anta Cruz's investment of public funds at 12/31/10 (\$22,659.53); and,

WHEREAS, the remaining unde preciated federal interest in the 2002 C hance trolley a s of $12 / 31 / 10$ is $\$ 90,558$ a nd $t$ his a mount will be $t$ ransferred to W ilsonville, Oregon SMART via a transfer of Santa Cruz METRO's grant contract CA-90-X873; and,

WHEREAS, Wilsonville, O regon S MART a grees to $m$ aintain $t$ he ve hicle in accordance and compliance with FTA requirements and will include the vehicle in their equipment inventory records;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the General Manager of Santa Cruz METRO is a uthorized to implement the transfer of the 2002 C hance C oach CNG
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rubber tired trolley to South Metro Area Regional Transit in Wilsonville, Oregon, upon receipt of the City of Santa Cruz's release of interest and FTA approval of such transfer.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this $28^{\text {th }}$ day of January, 2011 by the following vote:
AYES: Directors -

NOES: Directors -

ABSTAIN: Directors -
ABSENT: Directors -

APPROVED
ELLEN PIRIE
Board Chair
ATTEST
LESLIE R. WHITE
General Manager

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

District Counsel

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manager \& Acting Assistant General Manager
SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF A GRANT BUDGET AMENDMENT TO SUPPLEMENT THE LOCAL MATCH AMOUNT ON FTA 5309 CAPITAL GRANT CA-04-0156 FOR THE PURCHASE OF NEW FAREBOXES AND REDUCE THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THIS PROJECT BY \$12,380

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors consider a grant budget amendment to supplement the local match amount on FTA 5309 capital grant CA-04-0156 for the purchase of new fareboxes and reduce the capital budget for this project by $\$ 12,380$.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Santa Cruz METRO received Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5309 grant CA-04-0156 towards the cost of new fareboxes in the amount of $\$ 475,000$ on April 19, 2010, which had a local match requirement of $20 \%$ of the total grant budget.
- The local match amount was incorrectly calculated as $20 \%$ of the grant award, or $\$ 95,000$, not $20 \%$ of the total grant budget, which would be properly calculated at $\$ 593,750$. Twenty percent of $\$ 593,750$ is $\$ 118,750$, a difference of $\$ 23,750$ from the original local match.
- The grant budget for FTA grant CA-04-0156 must be amended to show the correct local match. The FTA is aware of this and has asked staff to amend the grant.
- FTA grant CA-04-0156 allows Santa Cruz METRO to capitalize the cost of justifiable labor and use this amount towards the local match requirement through what is called a Workforce Justification Account Plan (Force Account).
- FTA grant CA-04-0156 has a Force Account of \$36,130 per year in capitalized labor costs which can be applied to the local match requirement.
- The net effect of these adjustments in their entirety is to reduce the project's capital budget for matching local funds by $\$ 12,380$ (from $\$ 95,000$ to $\$ 82,620$ ) and the total line-item budget for this capital project (Fareboxes) from \$2,362,000 to \$2,349,620.


## III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO received a Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5309 grant CA-04-0156 towards the cost of new fareboxes in the amount of $\$ 475,000$ on April 19, 2010, which had a local match requirement of $20 \%$ of the total grant budget. The local match amount was incorrectly calculated as $20 \%$ of the grant award, or $\$ 95,000$, not $20 \%$ of the total grant budget
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amount, which would be properly calculated at $\$ 593,750$. Twenty percent of $\$ 593,750$ is $\$ 118,750$, a difference of $\$ 23,750$ from the original local match. The original grant application was processed and awarded by the FTA with the incorrect local match amount.
The calculation for figuring the proper amount of a local match of $20 \%$ can be expressed algebraically, with $\mathrm{X}=$ the total budget. If $\$ 475,000$ is $80 \%(.80)$ of X , then the calculation for X can be expressed as $\$ 475,000 / .80=\mathrm{X}$; therefore $\mathrm{X}=\$ 593,750$. Twenty percent of X then $=$ $\$ 118,750$. The original erroneous calculation was based on $20 \%$ of the grant award of $\$ 475,000$, which yielded an incorrect amount of $\$ 95,000$. The grant budget for FTA grant CA-04-0156 must be amended to show the correct local match, an increase in local funds of $\$ 23,750$. The FTA was made aware of this error and has asked staff to amend the grant budget.
The good news is that FTA grant CA-04-0156 is accompanied by a Workforce Justification Account Plan (Force Account, Attachment A) which allows Santa Cruz METRO to capitalize the cost of justifiable labor and use this cost towards the local match requirement. In accordance with FTA governing Circular C5010.1D (11/1/08), page IV-35, "When work to be performed is less than $\$ 10,000,000$ but over $\$ 100,000$, a force account plan is required to be in the grantee's file, but does not require prior FTA approval." In the case of FTA grant CA-04-0156, the attached Force Account is not required to be approved by the FTA, but is included in Santa Cruz METRO's electronic FTA file for this grant in their Transportation Electronic Award and Management (TEAM) database.

The Force Account for FTA grant CA-04-0156 justifies $\$ 145,685$ per year in total labor cost for the maintenance of all new fareboxes and ticket vending machines. FTA grant CA-04-0156 pays for $24.8 \%$ of the total farebox project cost, which comes from three grant sources. The percentage of $24.8 \%$ applies to amount of the total Force Account which can be applied to FTA grant CA-04-0156. The value of $24.8 \%$ of the Force Account labor is $\$ 36,130$ per year, which then can be applied to the local match requirement. In other words, of the $\$ 118,750$ needed in FY11 for the local match, $\$ 36,130$ in expended capitalized payroll cost for maintenance workers can be used towards meeting this grant's local match requirement. The net amount of the required capital match is thus reduced to $\$ 82,620$, a net decrease of $\$ 12,380$ (difference of $\$ 36,130$ less $\$ 23,750$ ) which will also reduce this capital project line item in the approved FY11 budget by the same amount, from $\$ 2,362,000$ to $\$ 2,349,620$.

Currently, the approved Santa Cruz METRO FY11 budget lists the original and incorrect match amount of $\$ 95,000$ as the match amount for the budget line item named "Purchase Smartcard Farebox System." With the application of the Force Account, the net need for local funds to meet the remaining local match requirement is reduced to $\$ 82,620$ and the total line item budget for capital funds needed for this project is reduced from $\$ 2,362,000$ to $\$ 2,349,620$. Accounting staff will note this change in a new line item named "Local Operating Match" under "Capital Funding" in the Santa Cruz METRO capital budget so that the financial impact of Force Accounts, which contribute towards the reduction of operational labor cost, may be noted in accounting for all capital projects' costs going forward. Staff recommends that the Board of Directors consider a grant budget amendment to supplement the local match amount on FTA grant CA-04-0156 and reducing the local match and capital budget for this project by $\$ 12,380$.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

A budget amendment to FTA grant CA-04-0156 to increase the local match amount to $\$ 118,750$ will require that Santa Cruz METRO increase their previously budgeted local match share by $\$ 23,750$. However, of the $\$ 118,750$ now needed for the local match, $\$ 36,130$ in labor expense can be used towards this requirement, thereby reducing the net total of matching funds to $\$ 82,620$ from the $\$ 95,000$ listed in the approved FY11 Santa Cruz METRO budget, as well as reducing the total capital cost for the project "Purchase Smartcard Farebox System" from $\$ 2,362,000$ to $\$ 2,349,620$, a net difference of $\$ 12,380$. A new line item will be inserted in the capital projects portion of the annual budget to indicate the local operating match met by the implementation of Force Accounts on various grants going forward.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District Force Account Plan:
Preventative Maintenance (Labor) FY09 FTA Section 5309 Bus and Bus Facilities Program Earmark (CA-04-0156)

Staff Report prepared by: Tove Beatty, Grants/Legislative Analyst Date prepared: January 19, 2011

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT FORCE ACCOUNT PLAN: PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE (LABOR) FY09 FTA SECTION 5309 BUS \& BUS FACILITIES PROGRAM EARMARK (CA-04-0156) 

## Purpose of Force Account

A Force Account is defined by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) as the use of a grantee's own labor workforce to accomplish a capital grant project. One of four conditions may warrant the budget capitalization of a grantee's own labor forces. These are: (1) cost savings, (2) exclusive expertise, (3) safety and efficiency of operations, and (4) a union agreement. In the instance of the FY09 Section 5309 Bus and Bus Facilities earmark for the partial purchase of SmartCard replacement fareboxes and related equipment (other funds were received for this project as part of the Recovery Act, FTA grant \# CA-96-X030-03 and via CalTrans FTA 5311 ARRA funding), the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) will use the expertise of its own labor force for maintenance work on new revenue equipment (fareboxes and ticket vending machines) purchased with CA-04-0156 grant funds.

This Force Account is pursuant to the Union Agreement dated July 1, 2009 - June 30, 2012, by and between Santa Cruz METRO and Service Employees International Union (Attachment A), and in accordance with FTA Circular 9030.1D Appendix E, Non-vehicle Maintenance Functions (042), item (3), maintenance of fare collection and counting equipment (111). This will result in cost-savings along with safety and efficiency of maintenance operations by performing these services in a state-of-the-art maintenance facility.

## Explanation of Force Account Plan for Farebox Maintenance

As discussed above, the predominant example of what the FTA allows as Force Account work is preventative maintenance work on capital equipment. The reasoning for this capitalization of labor costs is that the cost of this equipment also includes the cost of maintaining it, especially with the heavy use of fareboxes and related equipment-such as ticket vending machines (TVMs)—required by transit operations. In this Force Account Plan, preventative maintenance on over 100 GenFare "SmartCard" fareboxes and equipment is broken down to annual cost and the projected cost over the expected life of the equipment (five years) based on a survey averaging past and current preventative maintenance labor hours on current GenFare fareboxes used on Santa Cruz METRO's fleet. A modest projection of preventative maintenance on ticket vending machines (new equipment for Santa Cruz METRO) is also included, along with an analysis of the current average hourly cost of the mechanic workforce, including all employee benefits.

A Force Account Plan cannot include grant or project administration activities which are otherwise direct project costs. The FTA, in its TEAM database (Transportation Electronic Award and Management System), which is where awarded discretionary grants and all other FTA grant funding is tracked, includes an operational support account code for capitalized preventative maintenance, which is supported at $80 \%$ with a $20 \%$ match as with other capital projects, unlike other operational support, which is supported at $50 \%$ with an equal match.

## Attachment A

The methodology for figuring the annual capitalized cost of preventative maintenance of over 100 GenFare fareboxes and five (5) ticket vending machines to be purchased in part with the FY09 Section 5309 Bus and Bus Facilities grant in the amount of $\$ 475,000$ is shown below.

100 Fareboxes (Average Annual Preventative Maintenance Hours)
Farebox Maintenance $2008=1,304$ hours (actual)
Farebox Maintenance $2009=1,074$ hours (actual)
Farebox Maintenance $2010=\quad$ 1,196 hours (projected)
Total average hours =
3,574 / 3 years =
1,191 hours per year
Ticket Vending Machines (Projected Annual Preventative Maintenance Hours)
5 TVMs @ 1 hour per day = $\underline{\mathbf{1 , 8 2 5} \text { hours per year (projected) }}$
Other TVM maintenance $=$
200 hours per year (projected) ( $\sim 3$ hrs./mo./ea.)

## Total Annual Preventative <br> Maintenance Hours =

3,216 hours per year
Average fully-burdened hourly rate of Maintenance personnel (Attachment B salary schedules for Mechanics II and III) =
$\$ 45.30$ per hour (See "Cost Justification" below)

Annual Value of PM =
\$145,685 per year

## Applicable FTA Review(s) of this Plan

Santa Cruz METRO understands that FTA prior review of this Force Account Plan and justification is required where the total estimated cost of labor to be performed under the grant is greater than $\$ 10,000,000$. When work to be performed is less than $\$ 10,000,000$ but over $\$ 100,000$, a Force Account Plan is required to be in the grantee's file, but does not require prior FTA approval. In Santa Cruz METRO's FY09 Section 5309 Bus and Bus Facilities earmark (grant CA-04-0156), this Force Account Plan includes work performed valued at $\$ 145,685$ per year, or $\$ 29,935$ more than the required $\$ 118,750$ local match for this FY09 grant award. For the sake of accuracy in grant administration, this Force Account Plan is attached to the grant in TEAM to provide back-up for expenditure of the required local match.

## Attachment A

## Basis for Reimbursement of Force Account Expense

As a justification for using its own workforce, Santa Cruz METRO refers to Attachment B, Memorandum of Understanding between Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District and Service Employees International Union in which it is shown that the labor force will be used to complete preventive maintenance as defined in FTA Circulars 5010.1D and 9030.1D through 6/30/12 (when the labor agreement is revisited) on new farebox and ticket vending equipment purchased with this grant program. Santa Cruz METRO's labor force shall receive training and provide all labor required to preserve or extend the functionality of these assets in a costeffective manner. The useful life of the fareboxes and related equipment is five (5) years.

The labor performed in accordance with the Project Description and Timeline included in the CA-04-0156 contract award includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Maintenance Administration
- Daily preventive maintenance on revenue equipment, including but not limited to: daily servicing, regular inspections, scheduled and emergency maintenance, replacing parts and vandalism repair.


## Cost Justification

This Force Account Plan for eligible annual labor expenses projects the life-cycle of the revenue equipment purchased to be a period of five (5) years, between 10/01/2010 and $9 / 30 / 2015$. The estimated annual preventative maintenance workforce cost for this equipment is $\$ 145,685$; the estimated total cost over the five-year useful life of the $100+$ fareboxes and five (5) ticket vending machines is $\$ 728,425$. Please see above for detailed calculations.

For the period of the grant award CA-04-0156 in the amount of \$475,000, approximately $20 \%$ of the total cost of the farebox project (budgeted at $\$ 2,362,000$ ), one year (or an equal percentage-20\%-of the total useful life of five years) of Santa-Cruz-METRO-workforceprovided and FTA-allowable preventative maintenance is the local match for the award.

## Certification that Labor Costs Presented are Fair and Reasonable

A review of salary data for highly skilled senior mechanics in the Santa Cruz, CA area on CNN's website (salary.money.cnn) as of August 2010 shows an annual median wage of between $\$ 49,041$ - $\$ 64,076$, or an hourly wage of between $\$ 23.58$ - $\$ 30.81$, depending on experience and position. As seen in Attachment B, the wages for mechanics (in the II and III categories) fall close to the higher part of this range, with an average of $\$ 32.33 /$ hour, when longevity incentives of $10 \%$ are factored in. Additionally, each employee costs Santa Cruz METRO an average of an additional $40 \%$ of wages in fringe benefits costs. According to CNN's website, the average percentage of benefits costs for these positions is also calculated at $40 \%$. The total average cost

## Attachment A

to Santa Cruz METRO of a farebox preventative maintenance employee (Mechanics II and III), including fringe benefits, works out to $\$ 45.30$ per hour, or $\$ 94,224$ per year.

## Labor Union Agreement Relevant Citations Justifying Force Account

1) Preamble, page 1: "METRO and the Union have met and conferred in good faith through their authorized representatives and have arrived at an understanding concerning wages, hours, working conditions and other terms of employment."
2) General Provisions, Article 2, page 2: "Pursuant to Section 3500-3510 of the Government Code of the State of California and Resolution No. 78-6-1, METRO recognizes Service Employees International Union, Local 521, as the exclusive bargaining representative of all employees in the bargaining chapters of PSA [Professional Supervisors Association], SEA [Salaried Employees Association], and VMU [Vehicle Maintenance Unit]."
3) Section 8.5.3, page 16: "Recruitments for positions within the bargaining units shall be by qualification of eligible applicants, closed promotional recruitment, or open recruitment. During the term of this MOU METRO will issue closed promotional recruitments for ten days for the following classifications, [among which are]: Mechanic III. . . [a]n open recruitment may be initiated for the above classifications if there is no qualified applicant."
4) Section 8.5.4, page 16: "Promotion by qualification shall be a promotion which is noncompetitive for an employee in a lower classification who has completed the required time period in the lower classification and has either met the standards of the higher classification through certification or passed qualifying examinations as required by METRO. An employee wishing to be promoted by qualification shall provide evidence of certification or request in writing the administration of a qualifying exam, if one is established. METRO shall administer the examination within thirty (30) days of such request. A qualifying exam may not be requested by an eligible employee more than once in a three-month period." [Mechanics I/II/III are included among the Qualification Classes.]
5) Section, 8.6.5, page 19: "In the Vehicle Maintenance Unit, work out of class assignments to fill the position of Lead person shall be made as follows. Qualified volunteers shall be rotated by seniority within the maintenance, parts, and body shop divisions, within a shift to fill vacancies in the classification of Lead person for that shift. In the event that no work out of class assignments are made during the two (2) pay period designation, the designation shall be extended on a pay period by pay period basis until such time as a work out of class assignment has been worked. Thereupon, the designation shall terminate at the end of the pay period."

## Analysis of Above Citations

## Attachment A

These citations and the Memorandum of Understanding demonstrate that Santa Cruz METRO and SEIU established conditions of employment under which eligible employees in the bargaining unit shall work. An employee's ability to complete his/hers work in accordance with their Job Description is one condition of employment. Various Job Descriptions include eligible preventive maintenance tasks for revenue equipment. Santa Cruz METRO is subject to relevant provisions requiring that it recognize and adhere to the Agreement entered into with the Union which represents employees who complete preventive maintenance tasks. As a result, Santa Cruz METRO shall not sub-contract pre-negotiated bargaining unit jobs in Maintenance, but shall instead use the procedures outlined in the above citations to fill these essential positions with Santa Cruz METRO's workforce, thereby further justifying this Force Account Plan for the capitalization of labor costs on farebox and related equipment in which the FTA has a monetary interest.

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Angela Aitken, Finance Manger \& Acting Assistant General Manager
SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR THE WATSONVILLE TRANSIT PLANNING STUDY TO MOORE \& ASSOCIATES

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a contract with Moore \& Associates to provide the Watsonville Transit Planning Study for a not to exceed amount of \$60,000

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- A transit planning study for the Watsonville area has not been conducted since approximately 1973, when bus service was initially extended to south county.
- Santa Cruz METRO applied for and was awarded a grant of $\$ 100,000$ through Caltrans on August 16, 2010 to complete the Watsonville Transit Planning Study.
- Santa Cruz METRO is conducting the study along with the area’s Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG), and will also include both Santa Cruz METRO's and Monterey-Salinas Transit's (MST) inter-regional service to and from the Watsonville area in the scope for the study.
- The scope of the study will include 350 interviews with transit riders and other stakeholders, in addition to between 3-6 outreach meetings at local Watsonville nonprofit and/or faith-based and/or community organizations in order to gather statistically significant input for the study.
- The study will have a planning horizon of 2020.
- The study schedule commenced on December 1, 2010, when a competitive procurement was conducted to solicit proposals from qualified firms to work with Santa Cruz METRO staff on the study.
- Three (3) firms submitted proposals for the Santa Cruz METRO’s review.
- An evaluation committee comprised of Santa Cruz METRO staff reviewed and evaluated the proposals and conducted interviews.
- The evaluation committee is recommending that a contract be established with Moore \& Associates to conduct the Watsonville Transit Planning Study for a not to exceed amount of $\$ 60,000$.
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## I. DISCUSSION

A transit planning study for the Watsonville area has not been conducted since approximately 1973, when bus service was initially extended to South County. The 1973 study was completed by the Santa Cruz County Transportation Commission. On February 26, 2010, Santa Cruz METRO's Board of Directors authorized an application for funding through the Caltransadministered Rural or Small Urban Transit Planning Studies Grants Program. The grant application proposed the hiring of a consultant to perform surveys of all existing data and outreach to transit users and stakeholders in order to produce a final report and recommendations to the Board of Directors, AMBAG and Caltrans by early 2012. Santa Cruz METRO was awarded \$100,000 to complete the Watsonville Transit Planning Study on August 16, 2010.

Santa Cruz METRO is conducting the study in cooperation with the area's Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG), and will also include both Santa Cruz METRO's and Monterey-Salinas Transit’s (MST) interregional service to and from the Watsonville area in the scope for the study. The scope of the study also includes 350 interviews with transit riders and other stakeholders, in addition to between 3-6 outreach meetings at local Watsonville nonprofit and/or faith-based and/or community organizations in order to gather statistically significant input for the study. The study will be conducted by a qualified consultant, with a planning horizon of 2020 and will take into account potential cuts, restoration, expansion and adjustment of services. The grant budget includes $\$ 13,000$ of in-kind labor from Santa Cruz METRO staff as a local match, in addition to providing funding for 15 hours a week of Santa Cruz METRO staff support for the consultant.
The study schedule commenced on December 1, 2010, when a competitive procurement was conducted to solicit proposals from qualified firms to work with Santa Cruz METRO staff on the study. Three (3) firms submitted proposals for the Santa Cruz METRO's review. An evaluation committee comprised of Santa Cruz METRO staff reviewed and evaluated the proposals and conducted interviews with the final two (2) candidates. The evaluation committee is recommending that a contract be established with Moore \& Associates to conduct the Watsonville Transit Planning Study.

On December 1, 2010, a Request for Proposal No. 11-07 was issued and legally advertised. Forty-seven (47) firms requested a copy of the RFP package. On January 4, 2011, proposals were received and opened from three (3) firms. These firms are listed in Attachment A. An evaluation committee comprised of Santa Cruz METRO staff have reviewed and evaluated the proposals.

| EVALUATION CRITERIA | IV. |
| :--- | :---: |
| POINTS POSSIBLE |  |
| 1. Understanding of the Service Requirements | 25 |
| 2. Experience and capability of the firm | 25 |
| 3. Cost Proposal | 25 |
| 4. References | 15 |
| 5. Disadvantaged Business Enterprise <br> Participation | 10 |
| Total Possible Points | 100 |

Based on the above criteria, the selection committee is recommending that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a contract with Moore \& Associates to provide the Watsonville Transit Planning Study for an amount not to exceed $\$ 60,000$.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

This study is fully funded by a Transit Planning Study Grant through Caltrans, and is included as part of the Administration FY11 Capitol Budget.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A. 11-07 Watsonville Transit Planning Study Overall Ranking
Attachment B. Contract for Watsonville Transit Planning Study (11-07)

Note: The RFP along with its Exhibits and any Addendum(s) are available for review at the Administration Office of METRO or online at www.scmtd.com

## Attachment A

RFP 11-07 Watsonville Transit Planning Study OVERALL RANKING1. Moore \& Associates83.3

2. HDR Engineering ..... 74
3. Jake \& Associates ..... 52

# CONTRACT FOR CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR WATSONVILLE TRANSIT PLANNING STUDY (11-07) 

THIS CONTRACT is made effective on February 4, 2011, between the SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT, a political subdivision of the State of California ("METRO"), and MOORE \& ASSOCIATES ("Contractor").

1. RECITALS
1.01 METRO's Primary Objective

METRO is a public entity whose primary objective is providing public transportation and has its principal office at 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, California 95060.
1.02 METRO's Need for Consultant Services for the Watsonville Transit Planning Study

METRO has the need for Consultant Services for the Watsonville Transit Planning Study. In order to obtain these services, METRO issued a Request for Proposals, dated, December 1, 2010, setting forth specifications for such services. The Request for Proposals is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "A".
1.03 Contractor's Proposal

Contractor is a firm/individual qualified to provide Consultant Services for the Watsonville Transit Planning Study and whose principal place of business is 28159 Avenue Stanford Suite 110, Valencia, CA 91355. Pursuant to the Request for Proposals by METRO, Contractor submitted a proposal for Consultant Services for the Watsonville Transit Planning Study, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "B."
1.04 Selection of Contractor and Intent of Contract

On January 28, 2011, METRO selected Contractor as the offeror whose proposal was most advantageous to METRO, to provide the Consultant Services for the Watsonville Transit Planning Study described herein. This Contract is intended to fix the provisions of these services.

METRO and Contractor agree as follows:
2. INCORPORATED DOCUMENTS AND APPLICABLE LAW

Documents Incorporated in this Contract
The documents below are attached to this Contract and by reference made a part hereof. This is an integrated Contract. This writing constitutes the final expression of the parties' contract, and it is a complete and exclusive statement of the provisions of that Contract, except for written amendments, if any, made after the date of this Contract in accordance with Section 13.14.
A. Exhibit "A"

Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's "Request for Proposals" dated, December 1, 2010 including Addendum No. 1 dated December 22, 2010 and Addendum No. 2, dated December 23, 2010.

## B. Exhibit "B" (Contractor's Proposal)

Contractor's Proposal (BAFO) to METRO for Watsonville Transit Planning Study signed by Contractor and dated January 20, 2011.

Recitals

The Recitals set forth in Article 1 are part of this Contract.
3. DEFINITIONS

General
The terms below (or pronouns in place of them) have the following meaning in the contract:
3.01.01 CONTRACT - The Contract consists of this document, the attachments incorporated herein in accordance with Article 2, and any written amendments made in accordance with Section 13.14.
3.01.02 CONTRACTOR - The Contractor selected by METRO for this project in accordance with the Request for Proposals issued December 1, 2010.
3.01.03 CONTRACTOR'S STAFF - Employees of Contractor.
3.01.04 DAYS - Calendar days.
3.01.05 OFFEROR - Contractor whose proposal was accepted under the terms and conditions of the Request for Proposals issued December 1, 2010.
3.01.06 PROVISION - Any term, agreement, covenant, condition, clause, qualification, restriction, reservation, or other stipulation in the contract that defines or otherwise controls, establishes, or limits the performance required or permitted by either party.
3.01.07 SCOPE OF WORK (OR "WORK") - The entire obligation under the Contract, including, without limitation, all labor, equipment, materials, supplies, transportation, services, and other work products and expenses, express or implied, in the Contract.

## 4. TIME OF PERFORMANCE

Term

The term of this Contract will be for a period not to exceed two (2) years and shall commence upon the issuance of the contract by METRO.

## Attachment B

## 5. COMPENSATION

5.01 Terms of Payment

METRO shall compensate Contractor in an amount not to exceed the amounts/rates agreed upon by METRO. METRO shall reasonably determine whether work has been successfully performed for purposes of payment. Compensation shall be made within thirty (30) days of METRO written approval of Contractor's written invoice for said work. Contractor understands and agrees that if he/she exceeds the $\$ 60,000$ maximum amount payable under this contract, that it does so at its own risk.

Invoices

Contractor shall submit invoices with a purchase order number provided by METRO on a monthly basis. Contractor's invoices shall include detailed records showing actual time devoted, work accomplished, date work accomplished, personnel used, and amount billed per hour. Expenses shall only be billed if allowed under the Contract. Telephone call expenses shall show the nature of the call and identify location and individual called. Said invoice records shall be kept up-todate at all times and shall be available for inspection by METRO (or any grantor of METRO, including, without limitation, any State or Federal agency providing project funding or reimbursement) at any time for any reason upon demand for not less than four (4) years after the date of expiration or termination of the Contract. Under penalty of law, Contractor represents that all amounts billed to METRO are (1) actually incurred; (2) reasonable in amount; (3) related to this Contract; and (4) necessary for performance of the project.

## 6. NOTICES

All notices under this Contract shall be deemed duly given upon delivery, if delivered by hand; or three (3) days after posting, if sent by registered mail, receipt requested; to a party hereto at the address hereinunder set forth or to such other address as a party may designate by notice pursuant hereto.

## METRO

## Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District

110 Vernon Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Attention: General Manager
CONTRACTOR

Moore \& Associates
28159 Avenue Stanford, Suite 110
Valencia, CA 91355-1106
Attention: Jim Moore

## 7. AUTHORITY

Each party has full power and authority to enter into and perform this Contract and the person signing this Contract on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Contract. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it.

## Attachment B

Signed on

METRO - SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR - MOORE \& ASSOCIATES

By
Jim Moore
Vice-President

Approved as to Form:

Margaret Rose Gallagher
METRO Counsel

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Ciro Aguirre, Manager of Operations

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR THE LAND MOBILE RADIO NETWORK UPGRADE TO DAY WIRELESS SYSTEMS

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a contract with Day Wireless Systems to provide the Land Mobile Radio Network Upgrade for a not to exceed amount of $\$ 530,000$ with the option to include additional work at METRO's sole discretion.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- A competitive procurement was conducted to solicit proposals from qualified radio communication firms.
- Two (2) firms submitted proposals for Santa Cruz METRO’s review.
- A five-member evaluation committee comprised of Santa Cruz METRO staff and project consultant reviewed and evaluated the proposals.
- The evaluation committee is recommending that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a contract with Day Wireless Systems to provide the Land Mobile Radio Network Upgrade for a not to exceed amount of $\$ 530,000$ with the option to include additional work at METRO's sole discretion.


## I. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO sought proposals from qualified communication firms in order to purchase and install a fully functioning radio system for Santa Cruz METRO Fixed Route, Paratransit (revenue) vehicles, and non-revenue vehicles. Santa Cruz METRO is seeking to upgrade its current radio systems and perform upgrades to its existing infrastructure. The selected firm must be an expert in radio communication fields and have experience in working with Public Agencies. The system's equipment and installation will meet the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) mandated requirement for narrowband migration of the current Legacy 25 KHz system to that of Phase 1, 12.5 KHz by January 01, 2013. Additionally, the proposed system will be compliant for the future narrowband migration to Phase $2,6.25 \mathrm{KHz}$ that will be required tentatively by year 2018.

On September 3, 2010, a Request for Proposal (RFP) No. 11-03 was issued and legally advertised. Forty-seven (47) firms requested a copy of the RFP package. On October 14, 2010, proposals were received and opened from two (2) firms. These firms and their overall ranking are listed in Attachment A. A five member evaluation committee comprised of Santa Cruz METRO staff and the project consultant have reviewed and evaluated the proposals.

The evaluation committee used the following criteria as contained in the Request for Proposals:

| Criteria | Point Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Understanding of Service and requirements in specifications | 20 |
| Experience and Capability of the Firm | 20 |
| Cost/Price Proposal | 25 |
| References | 10 |
| DBE | 25 |
|  | 100 |

Based on the above criteria, the two rated firms were invited for interviews by the evaluation committee. Upon the completion of the interview process, a final ranking of the firms was established.

The evaluation committee is recommending that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a contract with Day Wireless Systems to provide the Land Mobile Radio Network Upgrade for an amount not to exceed $\$ 530,000$ with the option to include additional work and equipment at the discretion of METRO. It is anticipated that additional funds may become available and METRO staff would like to have the opportunity to purchase additional components for the system.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funds to support the contract are included as part of the California Transit Security Grant Program - California Transit Assistance Fund (CTSGP - CTAF) Grant Budget.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

A. RFP 11-03 Land Mobile Radio Network Upgrade Overall Ranking
B. Contract for Land Mobile Radio Network Upgrade (11-03)

## Note: The RFP along with its Exhibits and any Addendum(s) are available for review at the Administration Office of METRO or online at www.scmtd.com

## Attachment A

RFP 11-03 LAND MOBILE RADIO NETWORK UPGRADE OVERALL RANKING

1. DAY WIRELESS SYSTEMS ..... 73.3
2. CRYSTAL COMMUNICATIONS ..... 66.8

## CONTRACT FOR THE LAND MOBILE RADIO NETWORK UPGRADE (11-03)

THIS CONTRACT is made effective on February 4, 2011, between the SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT, a political subdivision of the State of California ("METRO"), and DAY WIRELESS SYSTEMS ("Contractor").

## 1. RECITALS

1.01 METRO's Primary Objective

METRO is a public entity whose primary objective is providing public transportation and has its principal office at 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, California 95060.
1.02 METRO's Need for the upgrade of the Land Mobile Radio Network

METRO has the need for a Land Mobile Radio Network Upgrade. In order to obtain these services, METRO issued a Request for Proposals, dated, September 3, 2010, setting forth specifications for such services. The Request for Proposals is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "A".
1.03 Contractor's Proposal

Contractor is a firm/individual qualified to provide Land Mobile Radio Network Upgrade and whose principal place of business is 586 N. First Street Suite 220, San Jose, CA 95112. Pursuant to the Request for Proposals by METRO, Contractor submitted a proposal for Land Mobile Radio Network Upgrade, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "B."
1.04 Selection of Contractor and Intent of Contract

On January 28, 2011, METRO selected Contractor as the offeror whose proposal was most advantageous to METRO, to provide the Land Mobile Radio Network Upgrade described herein. This Contract is intended to fix the provisions of these services.

METRO and Contractor agree as follows:

## 2. INCORPORATED DOCUMENTS AND APPLICABLE LAW

2.01 Documents Incorporated in this Contract

The documents below are attached to this Contract and by reference made a part hereof. This is an integrated Contract. This writing constitutes the final expression of the parties' contract, and it is a complete and exclusive statement of the provisions of that Contract, except for written amendments, if any, made after the date of this Contract in accordance with Section 13.14.
A. Exhibit "A"

Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's "Request for Proposals" dated, September 3, 2010 including Addendum No. 1 dated, October 6, 2010.
B. Exhibit "B" (Contractor's Proposal)

1. Contractor's Proposal to METRO for Land Mobile Radio Network Upgrade signed by

Contractor and dated October 14, 2010, and Pricing sheet entitled "Santa Cruz Metro Transit BAFO V3" dated January 22, 2011 (Base Project-Phase One).
2. Contractor’s Proposal to METRO entitled "Santa Cruz Metro Transit BAFO V3, Optional or Additional Items needed to complete original system Design" (Phase Two).

Recitals

The Recitals set forth in Article 1 are part of this Contract.

## 3. DEFINITIONS

3.01 General

The terms below (or pronouns in place of them) have the following meaning in the contract:
3.01.01 CONTRACT - The Contract consists of this document, the attachments incorporated herein in accordance with Article 2, and any written amendments made in accordance with Section 13.14.
3.01.02 CONTRACTOR - The Contractor selected by METRO for this project in accordance with the Request for Proposals issued September 3, 2010.
3.01.03 CONTRACTOR'S STAFF - Employees of Contractor.
3.01.04 DAYS - Calendar days.
3.01.05 OFFEROR - Contractor whose proposal was accepted under the terms and conditions of the Request for Proposals issued September 3, 2010.
3.01.06 PROVISION - Any term, agreement, covenant, condition, clause, qualification, restriction, reservation, or other stipulation in the contract that defines or otherwise controls, establishes, or limits the performance required or permitted by either party.
3.01.07 SCOPE OF WORK (OR "WORK") - The entire obligation under the Contract, including, without limitation, all labor, equipment, materials, supplies, transportation, services, and other work products and expenses, express or implied, in the Contract.

## 4. TIME OF PERFORMANCE

4.01 Term

The term of this Contract will be for a period not to exceed two (2) years and shall commence upon the issuance of the contract by METRO.

At the option of the METRO, this contract agreement may be renewed for an additional year term upon mutual written consent.

Terms of Payment
METRO shall compensate Contractor for Phase One (Base Project), in an amount not to exceed the amounts/rates set forth on the pricing sheet set forth in Exhibit B-1. METRO shall reasonably determine whether work has been successfully performed for purposes of payment. Compensation shall be made within thirty (30) days of METRO written approval of Contractor's written invoice for said work. Contractor understands and agrees that if he/she exceeds the $\$ 524,764.29$, maximum amount payable under Phase 1 of the contract, that it does so at its own risk.

METRO reserves the right to exercise the Phase Two, optional items included in Exhibit B-2, at METRO's sole discretion. If METRO determines it is in its best interest to move forward with Phase Two, METRO will issue a Notice to Proceed to the Contractor which will specifically identify those components/items that METRO is choosing to purchase.

METRO shall compensate Contractor for Phase Two Components/items that it selects at the amounts/rates set forth in Exhibit B-2. METRO shall reasonably determine whether work has been successfully performed for purposes of payment. Compensation shall be made within thirty (30) days of METRO written approval of Contractor's written invoice for said work. Contractor understands and agrees that if he/she exceeds the \$308,812, maximum amount payable under Phase 2 of the contract, that it does so at its own risk.

Invoices

Contractor shall submit invoices with a purchase order number provided by METRO on a monthly basis. Contractor's invoices shall include detailed records showing actual time devoted, work accomplished, date work accomplished, personnel used, and amount billed per hour. Expenses shall only be billed if allowed under the Contract. Telephone call expenses shall show the nature of the call and identify location and individual called. Said invoice records shall be kept up-todate at all times and shall be available for inspection by METRO (or any grantor of METRO, including, without limitation, any State or Federal agency providing project funding or reimbursement) at any time for any reason upon demand for not less than four (4) years after the date of expiration or termination of the Contract. Under penalty of law, Contractor represents that all amounts billed to METRO are (1) actually incurred; (2) reasonable in amount; (3) related to this Contract; and (4) necessary for performance of the project.

## 6. NOTICES

All notices under this Contract shall be deemed duly given upon delivery, if delivered by hand; or three (3) days after posting, if sent by registered mail, receipt requested; to a party hereto at the address hereinunder set forth or to such other address as a party may designate by notice pursuant hereto.

METRO
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
110 Vernon Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Attention: General Manager

CONTRACTOR
Day Wireless Systems
586 North First Street Suite 220
San Jose, CA 95112
Attention: James Trevino and Gordon D. Day

## 7. AUTHORITY

Each party has full power and authority to enter into and perform this Contract and the person signing this Contract on behalf of each has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Contract. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this Contract, understands it, and agrees to be bound by it.

Signed on $\qquad$

METRO - SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Leslie R. White
General Manager

CONTRACTOR - DAY WIRELESS SYSTEMS

By
Gordon D. Day
President

Approved as to Form:

Margaret Rose Gallagher
METRO Counsel

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Ciro F. Aguirre, Manager of Operations

## SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF WRITTEN REQUEST TO PROVIDE BUS ADVERTISING SPACE FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROMOTING "POETRY ON THE BUS" AFFILIATED WITH THE POETRY IN MOTION PROJECT.

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

The Board of Directors reaffirm METRO's Advertising policy, Regulation AR-1006, limiting bus advertisement space to commercial only.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- On January 4, 2011 METRO received a request from Mrs. Peggy Young regarding a project that she and her husband, Mr. Gary Young, were attempting to develop and promote called Poetry on the Bus.
- Mrs. Young inquired as to whether METRO would approve placing poetry on METRO buses in English and Spanish.
- On January 18, 2011 the topic of Poetry on the Bus was discussed at the METRO Staff Meeting and it was determined that Poetry on the Bus did not meet the requirements to advertise on METRO buses as found in METRO's Advertising Policy and Regulations.
- Mrs. Young was apprised in writing of METRO Staff's decision and provided with copies of METRO's Advertising Policy and Regulations. She was also apprised that she could present her request to the METRO Board directly.
- Staff requests that the Board of Directors deny Mrs. Young’s request and reaffirm METRO's Advertising Policy and Regulations as only allowing commercial speech to be advertised on buses.


## III. DISCUSSION

METRO received a written request on January 4, 2011 from Mrs. Peggy Young to consider placing on METRO buses, poetry as part of a project called Poetry on the Bus.

It was explained that Mrs. Young and her husband Mr. Gary Young, Poet Laureate of Santa Cruz County, were attempting to develop and promote Poetry on the Bus. The endeavor would consist of Poems printed in English and Spanish as part of a national project, Poetry in Motion. The intent was to promote poetry and support public literacy.

Mrs. Young inquired as to whether this endeavor would be approved by METRO, what would be required of them moving forward with the project, if volunteers could place the placards on the buses, and if there would be a cost to purchasing the space or if METRO could donate the space.
On January 18, 2011 Mrs. Young's request was discussed at METRO’s Staff meeting and it was determined that her request did not meet METRO's requirements as found in METRO's Advertising Policy and Regulations AR-1006 (Attachment A).

In September 27, 2002 the METRO Board of Directors approved METRO’s Advertising Policy and Regulations AR-1006. The intent was to establish that the display of commercial advertising on METRO buses was for the sole purpose of raising additional revenues that would supplement those revenues derived from taxes and fares, offsetting operational expenses. The program is to be administered in such a way so as not to discourage the use of METRO Transit nor diminish METRO's reputation or the good will of its patrons.

AR-1006, Section 1.03 establishes the following:
"In addition to the foregoing, noncommercial speech is excluded from advertising inside and upon the buses for the following reasons:
a. Santa Cruz METRO wishes to maintain a position of neutrality on political, religious, environmental, or other public matters and issues in order to promote its commercial enterprise;
b. If advertisement inside and upon the bus is not restricted, the buses and passengers could be subject to violence;
c. Preventing a reduction in income earned from selling advertising space because commercial advertisers may be dissuaded from using the forum commonly used by those wishing to communicate political or religious ideas or beliefs."
In Section 4.01 it again establishes that "All advertising displayed in or upon the Santa Cruz METRO buses shall be strictly commercial in nature and purpose."
Upon reviewing Mrs. Young's request and AR-1006 Advertising Policy, METRO Staff determined that Young's requests for Poetry on the Bus did not meet the requirements as found in AR-1006 and informed her that her request was denied in a response dated January 19, 2011. Young was also apprised of her option to address the Board directly with her request.

At this time, Staff recommends that the Board of Directors uphold METRO’s Advertising Policy and Regulations as written and make no changes to the policy.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

NONE

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: METRO's Advertising Policy and Regulations AR-1006

## Attachment A

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

Regulation Number: AR-1006
Computer Title: Advertising
Effective Date: September 27, 2002
Pages: ..... 5
TITLE: ADVERTISING POLICY AND REGULATIONS
Procedure History
NEW POLICY9/27/02
SUMMARY OF POLICYTo Create a policy regardingadvertising on buses

Policy amended to allow METRO bus advertisements

Policy amended to remove restriction on advertising from competing forms of transportation

## 2/26/10

Policy amended to include advertising on METRO's website.

## APPROVED

S. A

ER
M.R.

## I. POLICY

1.01 Santa Cruz Metro sells space inside and upon its buses, and on its website, for the display of commercial advertising. The purpose is to raise revenues, supplementary to those from fares and from tax proceeds, to be used to finance Santa Cruz Metro’s operations. The display of advertising is solely for this purpose. It is not intended to provide a general public forum for purposes of communication, but rather to make use of property held in a proprietary capacity in order to generate revenue.
1.02 In order to realize the maximum benefit from the sale of advertising space, the program must be managed in a manner that will procure as much revenue as practicable, while ensuring that the advertising does not discourage the use of Santa Cruz Metro's transit system or web pages, does not diminish Santa Cruz Metro's reputation in the community it serves or the good will of its patrons, and is consistent with Santa Cruz Metro's principal purpose of providing safe, comfortable, efficient and affordable public transportation. To attain these

## Attachment A

Advertising Policy and Regulations
Page 2
objectives, Santa Cruz Metro's Board of Directors has established these regulations for the advertising displayed in and upon its buses and on its website.
1.03 In addition to the foregoing, noncommercial speech is excluded from advertising inside and upon the buses and on its website for the following reasons:
a. Santa Cruz Metro wishes to maintain a position of neutrality on political, religious, environmental, or other public matters and issues in order to promote its commercial enterprise;
b. If advertisement inside and upon the buses is not restricted, the buses and passengers could be subject to violence;
c. Preventing a reduction in income earned from selling advertising space because commercial advertisers may be dissuaded from using the forum commonly used by those wishing to communicate political or religious ideas or beliefs.

## II. APPLICABILITY

2.01 This procedure is applicable to all District employees and all independent contractors who contract with Santa Cruz Metro, for the placement of advertisement in and upon Santa Cruz Metro's buses and on its website.

## III. DEFINITIONS

3.01 Commercial advertising:
a. Advertising the sole purpose for which is to sell or rent real estate or personal property for profit, or to sell services for profit.
b. Shall not include any advertising that both offers to sell property or services and also conveys information about matters of general interest, political issues, religious, moral, or environmental matters or issues, or other public matters or issues, or expresses or advocates opinions or positions upon any of the foregoing.
c. Does not convey whether expressly or implied, intentionally or unintentionally, by inference or innuendo, the religious, social, political, legal or moral view of any person or entity as such views are generally understood in Santa Cruz County community.

## Attachment A

Advertising Policy and Regulations
Page 3
d. Does not cause the vehicles, if posted individually or in combination with other advertisements, to become a public forum for the dissemination, debate, and/or discussion of public issues.
3.02 Political Advertising:
a. Any advertising that supports or opposes the election of any candidate or group of candidates for election to any federal, State, or local government office;
b. Any advertising that supports or opposes any referendum conducted by the federal or State government, or by any local government, such as referenda on constitutional amendments, on bond issues, or on local legislation; or
c. Any advertising that features any person whose prominence is based wholly or in part upon his or her past or present activity in political affairs, or that represents or implies any such person's approval or endorsement of the subject matter of the advertising.

## IV. ADVERTISING STANDARDS

4.01 All advertising displayed in or upon the Santa Cruz Metro’s buses or on its website shall be strictly commercial in nature and purpose.
4.02 Santa Cruz Metro's transit system and its website, in order to serve the purpose for which it has been established, must of necessity accommodate all persons without distinction of age. It is therefore necessary to exclude advertising unsuitable for exposure to children or persons with immature judgment. The following kinds of advertising therefore will not be displayed in or upon Santa Cruz Metro's buses and/or on its web pages:

1. Advertising for cigars, cigarettes, pipe tobacco, chewing tobacco, and other tobacco products.
2. Advertising for alcoholic beverages, including beer, wine, and distilled spirits.
3. Advertising for products or services related to human reproduction or sexuality, including but not limited to contraceptive products or services, other products or services related to sexual hygiene, and counseling with regard to pregnancy, abortion, or other sexual matter.
4. Advertising for products, services, or entertainment directed to sexual stimulation.

## Attachment A

Advertising Policy and Regulations
Page 4
4.03 No advertising shall be permitted that in any way denigrates Santa Cruz Metro's organization, or its operation, or its officers, agents, or employees. This prohibition includes advertising copy and illustrations that state or imply or could reasonably be expected to cause an inference, that Santa Cruz Metro's service or operations are anything but safe, efficient, affordable and convenient.
4.04 Santa Cruz Metro expects all advertising copy to be truthful. Advertising copy and illustrations should not be exaggerated, distorted, false, misleading or deceptive.
4.05 Medical products or treatments are to be treated in a restrained and inoffensive manner.
4.06 Testimonials are expected to be authentic, and advertisers using them will be required to indemnify Santa Cruz Metro against any action brought in connection with them. Advertising that promotes contests or giveaways is expected to comply with all applicable laws and regulations.
4.07 No advertising in or upon Santa Cruz Metro's buses or on its website shall include language, pictures, or other graphic representations that are unsuitable for exposure to persons of young age and immature judgment, or shall be derogatory or defamatory of any person or group because of race, color, national origin, ethnic background, religion, gender or sexual preference.
4.08 No advertising shall be displayed in or upon Santa Cruz Metro's buses or on its website if the display thereof would violate any federal or State law or regulation, or any law, regulation, or ordinance of any county or municipality in or through which Santa Cruz Metro buses are or may be operated.
4.09 No advertising that is obscene, as defined by federal or California law, shall be displayed in or upon Santa Cruz Metro's buses or on its website.
4.10 Proposed advertisements shall not be accepted if the use, or possession of the property proposed to be advertised, includes a product that is specifically prohibited from use or possession on Santa Cruz Metro's facilities including its buses and vehicles. These products include firearms, tobacco products, alcohol and weapons.
4.11 No advertising will be accepted if it advocates imminent lawlessness or violence.
4.12 Political advertising will not be accepted.
4.13 Advertising will not be accepted if it promotes or encourages unlawful activity.

## Attachment A

Advertising Policy and Regulations
Page 5
4.14 Advertising will not be accepted if it supports or opposes an issue or cause and/or which advocates or opposes a religion or belief.
4.15 Notwithstanding any other provision in this policy, advertising for METRO's transit buses, paratransit services, and METRO's website shall be allowed. METRO also retains the right to communicate with its passengers and the public on transit issues, to seek input and participation from its passengers and to provide its passengers with notifications of meetings, hearings and other transitrelated issues.

## V. USE OF SANTA CRUZ METRO'S NAME

5.01 Use of Santa Cruz Metro’s name, logo, slogans, or other graphic representations is subject to advance approval by Santa Cruz Metro. Santa Cruz Metro does not endorse or imply endorsement of any product or service.

## VI. ADMINISTRATION OF ADVERTISING REGULATION

6.01 If advertising space on Santa Cruz Metro's buses or METRO's website is sold through an independent Contractor, the Contractor shall comply with the foregoing policies, and review all advertising with reference to them. They shall refer all such advertising that falls or may fall into any of the categories defined above to Santa Cruz Metro's designated representative responsible for administering the advertising program, who shall determine whether the proposed advertising will be accepted. If the proposed advertising is rejected, the party or parties proposing it may request that this decision be reconsidered. Upon such request, Santa Cruz Metro’s representative shall consult with Santa Cruz Metro's District Counsel and with its General Manager or the officer designated by him/her for this purpose. The General Manager or his/her designee, on the basis of such consultation, shall determine whether the proposed advertising will be accepted or rejected.
6.02 Santa Cruz Metro will cooperate with the party or parties proposing the advertising, and with the independent contractor (if applicable) through whom it has been proposed, in a reasonable effort to revise it in order to produce advertising that can be accepted and displayed consistently with the foregoing policies.

# SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT 

DATE: January 28, 2011
TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Debbie Kinslow, Assistant Finance Manager
SUBJECT: ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITORS REPORT FOR YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2010

## I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors formally accept the audited financial statements and reports prepared by the firm of Brown Armstrong, Certified Public Accountants, for the year ending June 30, 2010.

## II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- The Financial Statements with Independent Auditor's Report (Attachment A) prepared by the firm Brown Armstrong, Certified Public Accountants present Santa Cruz METRO’s financial position at June 30, 2010, with a comparison to the position at June 30, 2009. The auditors have found that Santa Cruz METRO's financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Santa Cruz METRO.
- In the Report on Compliance with the California Transportation Development Act (page 37-38), the auditors found Santa Cruz METRO to be in compliance with the Statutes, Rules, and Regulations of the California Transportation Development Act, and the provisions of the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) statutes.
- In the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (pages 39-40) the auditors identified no new findings and qualify Santa Cruz METRO as a low risk auditee.
- $\quad$ The Required Communication to the Board of Directors in Accordance with Professional Standards letter provides information related to the auditors responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards. (Attachment B)
- One prior year finding is identified in the Agreed upon Findings Designed to Increase Efficiency, Internal Controls, and/or Financial Reporting letter (Attachment C), with current year recommendation and management's response. (This is also known as the Management Letter.)


## III. DISCUSSION

## Attachment A - Financial Statements with Independent Auditors Report

The Independent Auditors Report (page 1) documents that the audit was conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The auditors have found that Santa Cruz METRO's financial statements present fairly, in all material aspects, the financial position of Santa Cruz METRO.

While the basic financial statements (pages 8-11) describe Santa Cruz METRO’s financial position in detail, the section Management's Discussion and Analysis (pages 3-7) presents narrative highlights of the financial statements and is prepared by Santa Cruz METRO management.

The Statements of Net Assets (pages 8-9) provide an overall summary of Santa Cruz METRO's Total Assets, Total Liabilities and Total Net Assets. Total Assets decreased by $(\$ 6,513,817)$ or $-6 \%$ while Total Liabilities decreased by $(\$ 3,501,651)$ or $-14 \%$ from the prior year. Total Net Assets are $\$ 83,177,990$ at June 30, 2010; a decrease of $(\$ 3,012,166)$ or $-3 \%$ from the prior year. Total Assets decreased this year due to a reduction in cash flow reserves, and prior year carryover funds; reduced restricted capital grant funds on hand, and a reduction in grants receivable. Total Liabilities also decreased due to reductions in restricted capital grant funds.

The Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Assets (page 10) indicates a net loss before capital contributions of $(\$ 9,873,413)$ and an increase in net revenue of $\$ 6,861,247$. In July 2001 Santa Cruz METRO was required by Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 33, to change its method of accounting for capital grants from capital contributions to reserved non-operating revenues. In accordance with GASB No. 33, capital grants are now required to be included in the determination of net income.

The Statements of Cash Flows (page 11) demonstrate how changes in balance sheet accounts and income affect cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents decreased by ( $\$ 7,232,574$ ) or $-20 \%$ primarily due to a decrease in net cash provided by capital grant funded activities.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (pages 12-28) are additional notes and information which are provided to help explain the computation of specific items in the financial statements as well as provide a more comprehensive assessment of Santa Cruz METRO’s financial condition. Note 5 - Available Net Assets (page 21) presents total available net assets and the amounts designated for various funds.

## Attachment B - Required Communication to the Board of Directors in Accordance with Professional Standards

The Required Communication to the Board of Directors in Accordance with Professional Standards is the letter from Brown Armstrong with information related to the conduct of the audit. This letter defines their responsibilities, significant accounting policies, accounting estimates, any significant audit adjustments, disagreements with management, consultations with other independent accountants, issues discussed prior to retention of independent auditors and any difficulties encountered in performing the audit.

## Attachment C - Agreed Upon Conditions Designed to Increase Efficiency, Internal Controls, and/or Financial Reporting

The Agreed upon Conditions Designed to Increase Efficiency, Internal Controls, and/or Financial Reporting is also known as the Management Letter. The purpose of the management letter is to detail the auditor's findings and make recommendations to increase efficiency, internal controls and/or financial reporting. It should be noted that the one (1) finding listed as a current year finding, is actually carried over from the prior year. There are no new findings for FY10. This finding has been updated with a current year management response.

## IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

There is no fiscal impact with the Board's acceptance of the financial statements and audit reports. However, in order to continue to receive Transportation Development Act (TDA) payments from the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission, (SCCRTC) the final audit must be submitted to the SCCRTC. The contract with Brown Armstrong for audit services is $\$ 39,500$ and this amount is included in the FY10\&FY11 operating budgets.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Financial Statements with Independent Auditors Report for the years ending June 30, 2010 and 2009

Attachment B: Required Communication to the Board of Directors in Accordance with Professional Standards (letter from Brown Armstrong)
Attachment C: Agreed Upon Findings Designed to Increase Efficiency, Internal Controls, and/or Financial Reporting (Management Letter from Brown Armstrong)

Prepared by: Debbie A. Kinslow
Date Prepared: January 19, 2011
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## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
Santa Cruz, California

We have au dited the a ccompanying basic finan cial statements of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO), as of a nd for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, as listed in the table of cont ents. These basic fi nancial statem ents are the responsibility of Santa Cruz MET RO's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these basic financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with a uditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Co mptroller Ge neral of the United States. Tho se sta ndards require th at we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the basic fin ancial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and di sclosures in th e ba sic fin ancial statements. An audit al so in cludes assessing the acco unting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall ba sic financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Santa Cruz METRO, as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its ope rations and the cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 21, 2010, on our consideration of Santa Cruz METRO's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its complianc e with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpo se of that report is to descri be the scope of our testing of internal control ove $r$ financial reporting and compliance and the re sults of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over fina ncial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an au dit performed in accord ance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in asse ssing the results of our audit.

## Attachment A

Accounting principles generally accepted in the Unit ed States of America re quire that the management's discussion and a nalysis on pages 3 through 7 a nd the schedule of fun ding pro gress for Santa Cruz METRO's Ot her Pos temployment Benefit (OPEB) Plan on page 28 in the required supplementary information section be p resented to supplement $t$ he ba sic fin ancial state ments. Su ch informatio $n$, although not a part of the ba sic fin ancial state ments, is required by the Governme ntal Accounti ng Standards B oard, who considers it to be an essential part of fin ancial reporting for placing the ba sic financial stat ements in a $n$ app ropriate operational, economic, or hi storical context. We have appli ed certain limite d pro cedures to the re quired su pplementary informatio n in accordan ce with auditin g standards $g$ enerally accepted in the United Stat es of Ame rica, which consi sted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the inf ormation for consistency with man agement's responses to o ur inquiries, the basic fina ncial stateme nts, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or $p$ rovide a ny assu rance on the info rmation because the limit ed procedures do not p rovide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic finan cial statements. The accompanying statem ents of ope rating expen ses a nd schedule of expenditures of federal awards are presented for purpo ses of additional a nalysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-13 3, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, an dare n ot a required part of the basic financial statements. The statements of operating expenses and schedule of expenditures of federal a wards are the responsibility of manage ment and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other record s used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the a udit of the ba sic financial statements a nd ce rtain a dditional procedures, including compa ring and reconcili ng such inform ation directly to the unde rlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards g enerally a ccepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the informa tion is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

BROWN
ACCO

ARMSTRONG UNTANCY CORPORATION
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

## Introduction

This re port p rovides a $n$ arrative and a nalytical overview of th ef inancial activities of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Tran sit Di strict (Santa Cruz ME TRO) with sele cted comp arative information for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. This discussion has been prepared by management and should be read in conjunction with the basic financial statements and the notes thereto, which follow this section.

Santa Cruz METRO is an independent agency formed in 1969 by the legislature of the State of California for the purp ose of providi $n g$ tran sit se rvice to the general pu blic in Santa Cruz County. Santa Cru z METRO is governed by a Board of Di rectors composed of eleven members, a nd one ex-officio mem ber as described in Note 1.A.

## The Financial Statements

Santa Cruz METRO's basic financial statements a re prepared using p roprietary fund (en terprise fund) accounting th at uses the same ba sis of accounting as private-sector business enterp rises. Santa Cruz METRO reports its finan cial results using one ente rprise fund un der the a ccrual method of accounting which records revenue when earned and expenses when incurred.

The Statement of Net Assets presents information on Santa Cruz METRO's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets.

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Assets reports the operating revenues and expe nses, non-operating reven ues and expenses, and capital grant contributions. Capital grant expenses are listed in the Schedule of Expenditures of Fede ral Awards and are included in the cu rrent year increase in capital assets.

The Statement of Cash FI ows reports the so urces and uses of cash for the fiscal years re sulting from operating act ivities, non-capital finan cing a ctivities (operating g rants and sales tax revenue), capital acquisitions and disposals, and related financing activities (non-transportation revenues). The net result of these a ctivities, adde do the cash balan ces at the be ginning of the ye ar, recon ciles to th e cash balances (current plus restricted) at the end of the current fiscal year on the Statement of Net Assets.

The Statements of Operating Expenses, located in the Supplementary Information section of the financial statements, reports expenses in greater detail.

## Financial Highlights

The management of Santa Cru z METRO is ple ased to present the following discussion that provides an overview of the finan cial activities rel ated to operations (ope rating reven ue and expense) and capital funding (contributions) received for facilities improvements and the purchase of capital equipment for the year ended June 30, 2010.

Although the recession officially ended in Jun e 2009, economic recovery continues to stall. Operating revenues ha ve decrea sed by almo st $\$ 5$ million, sin ce Jun e 2007, while ope rating expenses have increased by $\$ 2.5$ million. We hav e seen decreases in pa ssenger fares, intere st income, sales tax revenue, and Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenues, while labor expense, retirement expense, and medical premiums, to name a fe $w$, continue to increase. This loss in revenues, coupled with the increase in expenses has been devastating to Santa Cruz METRO's budget. In response to the dramatic and unprecedented decline in revenues over the past three (3) years, it was necessary to utilize transfers from cash flow reserves and one-time capital revenues to balance the budget this year.

## Attachment A

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued) JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

Significant capital contributions reported in prio $r$ years have contributed to the success of $m$ any of the projects that are summarized here. In December 2009, Santa Cruz METRO successfully took occupancy of its Administrative Build ing at 110 Vernon Stre et in Santa Cruz after in terior a nd ex terior ten ant improvements we re comp leted. This fa cility repla ces the leased facility at 370 Encinal Street, and all Administrative, Finance and Human Resources staff have been relocated to Verno n Street. In addition, substantial occupancy has been taken at the Maintenance Facility and Garage, located at 138 Golf Club Drive. The building is now being used to service fleet vehicles and final work is almost completed. Santa Cruz METRO is still pursuing a fully consolidated MetroBase, with the final two pieces-construction of a new Operations Building and the installation of a second compressed natural gas (CNG) 15,000 -gallon storage tank at the current Fueling station-still in process.

The biggest challenge to the completion of the consolidated MetroBase project is the drying up of State of California capital funding streams, as noted in last year's report. During the year 7/1/09-6/30/10, Santa Cruz METRO worked closely with the Califo rnia Transit Association (CTA) in an attempt to recoup b oth the estimate $\$ \$ 31$ millio $n$ in misap propriated fund s ow ed to Sa nta Cruz ME TRO, an d al so to protect transit funding in $g$ eneral from budget raids by promoting a ball ot initiative $p$ roposing a constitution al amendment protecting transit and other funding. Proposition 22, passed by $63 \%$ on November 2,2010 and its effects will be discussed more in-depth in next year's audit report. Of note is that it is anti cipated that, depe ndent on November 2010 California state bond sales, Santa Cruz METRO will re ceive the funding necessary to both complete the natural gas storage tank and Operations Building projects (up to $\sim \$ 16$ millio $n$, still being negotiated ). In addition, Santa Cruz ME TRO al so anticipates an in stallment of $\$ 440,505$ from the November bond sale to continue to implement its closed-circuit-TV and land-mobileradio se curity proje cts th rough the Californi a Emergency Man agement Ag ency (fo rmerly Office of Homeland Security).

Santa Cruz METRO continued to implement its three Recovery Act funded projects-replacement of 113 fareboxes on buses; installation of a new bus dispatching system (Hastus/Giro); and, the purchase of 27 replacement vans for para transit services. As of June 30, $2010, \$ 382,330$ of stimulus funds had been expended, with most project expenditures expected by $12 / 31 / 10$. Total available funds for these projects is $\$ 5,632,403$, including two Re covery Act grants ( $\$ 4,909,939$ and $\$ 267,464$ ), one e armark $(\$ 475,000)$ and required local matchi ng funds (\$118,750). The Re covery Act funds were received in the previo us fiscal year, and the $\$ 475,000$ awarded on 4/16/10.

During thi s fiscal yea r, Santa Cruz METRO al so implemente dapp roximately $\$ 258,000$ wo rth of investment in in frastructure thr ough In formation Te chnology p rojects, inc luding th e ins tallation and implementation of a $n$ ew Purchasing and Procurement software, Puridium, a nd new Fleet and Facilities Maintenance softwa re, M aintstar. In addition, ne w Human Reso urces softwa re, Spe ctrum, was al so installed and is expected to be implemented by December 31, 2010.

Finally, in June 2010, Santa Cruz METRO submitted over $\$ 6.5$ million in grant proposals for new natural gas buses to round-out the CNG fleet and get more diesel buses off the road; to complete the natural gas storage tank project; and, to fund a staff intern and a transit planning study. Of note is that in O ctober 2010, Santa Cruz METRO received notice that $\$ 5.1$ million in capital discretionary grant funding had been awarded for buses ( $\$ 4.8$ million), the storage tank ( $\$ 200 \mathrm{~K}$ ), an intern and the planning study ( $\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}$ ). These grants will be discussed in-depth in next year's audit report.
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Statement of Net Assets:

|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { to } 2009 \\ \text { Increase/(Decrease) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \text { to } 2008 \\ \text { Increase/(Decrease) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Amount | \% |  | Amount | \% |
| Total Assets | \$ 105,530,289 | \$ 112,044,106 | \$105,036,300 | \$ | $(6,513,817)$ | -6\% | \$ | 7,007,806 | 7\% |
| Total Liabilities | \$ 22,352,299 | \$ 25,853,950 | \$ 24,760,587 | \$ | $(3,501,651)$ | -14\% | \$ | 1,093,363 | 4\% |
| Total Net Assets | \$ 83,177,990 | \$ 86,190,156 | \$ 80,275,713 | \$ | $(3,012,166)$ | -3\% | \$ | 5,914,443 | 7\% |

Total Assets decreased this year due to a reduction in cash flow reserves, and prior year carryover funds; reduced restricted capital grant funds on hand, and a reduction in grants receivable. The use of cash flow reserves, and prior year carryover reserves was required to balance the fiscal year 09/10 budget. As the Consolidated MetroBase Proje ct (Mai ntenance Bu ilding an d 110 Verno n Renovation a nd Remo del) neared completion, capital grant fun ds received in prior periods were disbursed as project expenditures were paid out.

Total Liabilities also decreased this year due to reductions in restricted capital grant funds. Proposition 1B PTMISEA grant funding is allocated according to an approved expenditure plan, and funds are received in a lump sum annually, and then disbursed as project expenditures are paid out.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, Santa Cruz METRO's net assets decreased by $\$ 3,012,166$ due to decreased cash on hand and reduced grants receivable.

Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Assets:

|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { to } 2009 \\ \text { Increase/(Decrease) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \text { to } 2008 \\ \text { Increase/(Decrease) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Amount | \% |  | Amount | \% |
| Operating Revenues | \$ 8,427,944 | \$ 8,769,185 | \$ 8,272,058 | \$ | $(341,241)$ | -4\% | \$ | 497,127 | 6\% |
| Operating Expenses | $(42,218,182)$ | 41,975,092) | $(38,683,643)$ |  | 243,090 | 1\% |  | $(3,291,449)$ | -9\% |
| Operating Loss | $(33,790,238)$ | 33,205,907) | $(30,411,585)$ |  | 584,331 | 2\% |  | $(2,794,322)$ | -9\% |
| Non-Operating Revenues | 23,916,825 | 24,877,839 | 28,164,895 |  | $(961,014)$ | -4\% |  | $(3,287,056)$ | -12\% |
| Capital Grant Contributions | 6,861,247 | 14,242,511 | 19,167,833 |  | $(7,381,264)$ | -52\% |  | $(4,925,322)$ | -26\% |
| Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets | \$ (3,90112, 166) | \$ 443 | \$ 17B,peran, 143 | \$ | 947) | -131\% |  | 65,006,700) | \% |

Operating Revenues decreased by 4\% this year due to an overall decrease in ridership of $-4.7 \%$.
Operating Ex penses o nly increased by $1 \%$ ove rall when compared to la st y ear. Due to the severe economic downturn and the resulting declines in revenue, significant cost-cutting mea sures have been implemented to achieve savings at all levels in all departments.

Non-operating Reve nues decreased by $4 \%$ primaril y due to lower than anticipated sales tax revenue, Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenue, and reduced interest income.

Capital Contributions are capital grant funds re ceived for facilitie s improveme nts and the purchase of capital equipment including revenue vehicles. Capital contributions decreased by $52 \%$ from the prior year as the Consolidated Me troBase Proj ect (M aintenance Buildin g and 110 Vernon Re novation an d Remodel) neared completion.
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## Supplemental Revenue, Expenses, and Performance Report: (based on Federal Transit Authority (FTA) account grouping guidelines)

|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { to } 2009 \\ \text { \% Change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \text { to } 2008 \\ \% \text { Change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passenger Fares | \$ 8,427,944 | \$ 8,769,185 | \$ 8,272,058 | -3.9\% | 6.0\% |
| Sales and Use Tax | 14,320,288 | 14,923,139 | 17,054,735 | -4.0\% | -12.5\% |
| Non-Operating Revenues ${ }^{(1)}$ | 569,113 | 731,908 | 1,558,759 | -22.2\% | -53.0\% |
| TDA ${ }^{(2)}$ | 5,001,737 | 5,696,249 | 6,313,334 | -12.2\% | -9.8\% |
| Federal Operating Assistance ${ }^{(3)}$ | 4,025,687 | 3,615,707 | 3,375,281 | 11.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Total Revenues | \$ 32,344,769 | \$ 36,536,188 | \$ -4.1\%4,167 |  | -7.8\% |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Route Operation | \$ 22,746,197 | \$ 20,852,897 | \$ 4.6\% 4,142 |  | 4.4\% |
| Vehicle Maintenance | 4,772,212 | 4,982,067 | 4,998,255 | -4.2\% | -0.3\% |
| Facilities Maintenance | 1,734,676 | 1,667,389 | 1,333,439 | 4.0\% | 25.0\% |
| General Administration | 8,080,210 | 8,515,251 | 7,763,787 | -5.1\% | 9.7\% |
| Total Expenses (excluding depreciation) | \$ 37,333,295 | \$ 38,987,604 | \$ 1.1\% 9,623 |  | 5.7\% |
| Performance Indicators |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Passengers | 5,839,990 | 5,987,518 | 5,888,213 | -2.5\% | 1.7\% |
| Revenue Hours | 243,691 | 243,241 | 257,705 | 0.2\% | -5.6\% |
| Revenue Miles | 3,781,170 | 3,766,435 | 3,709,152 | 0.4\% | 1.5\% |
| Farebox Recovery Ratio ${ }^{(4)}$ | 22.6\% | 23.8\% | 23.7\% | -4.9\% | 0.2\% |
| Cost/Passenger ${ }^{(5)}$ | \$ 6.39 | \$ 6.17 | \$ 5.93 | 3.6\% | 4.0\% |
| Cost/Hour ${ }^{(6)}$ | \$ 153.20 | \$ 151.77 | \$ 135.54 | 0.9\% | 12.0\% |
| Cost/Mile ${ }^{(7)}$ | \$ 9.87 | \$ 9.80 | \$ 9.41 | 0.7\% | 4.2\% |
| Full Time Equivalent Employees | 303 | 314 | 323 | -3.5\% | -2.8\% |
| Active Fleet - Fixed Route | 112 | 112 | 112 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Active Fleet - Paratransit | 37 | 38 | 38 | -2.6\% | 0.0\% |

[^2]
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued) JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

(4) Farebox Recovery Ratio i s a standard tran sit pe rformance indicator that is calculated by dividing passenger fares by total operating expenses and does not include depreciation.
${ }^{(5)}$ Cost / Passenger is calculated by dividing total passengers by total operating expenses.
(6) Cost / Hour is calculated by dividing total operating expenses by revenue hours.
${ }^{(7)}$ Cost / Mile is calculated by dividing total operating expenses by revenue miles.
Statement of Cash Flows:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 to 2009 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \text { to } 2008 \\ \text { Change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Cash Used in Operating Activities | \$ | $(30,577,635)$ | \$ | $(27,984,781)$ | \$ | $(25,145,487)$ | \$ | $(2,592,854)$ | \$ | $(2,839,294)$ |
| Net Cash Provided by Non-Capital Financing Activities |  | 23,663,797 |  | 25,012,481 |  | 27,045,524 |  | $(1,348,684)$ |  | $(2,033,043)$ |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Capital and Related Financing Activities |  | $(637,360)$ |  | 5,044,102 |  | $(5,206,123)$ |  | $(5,681,462)$ |  | 10,250,225 |
| Net Cash Provided by Non-Transportation Activities |  | 318,624 |  | 531,567 |  | 1,090,834 |  | $(212,943)$ |  | $(559,267)$ |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | $(7,232,574)$ |  | 2,603,369 |  | $(2,215,252)$ |  | $(9,835,943)$ |  | 4,818,621 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Year |  | 36,433,679 |  | 33,830,310 |  | 36,045,562 |  | 2,603,369 |  | $(2,215,252)$ |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Year | \$ | 29,201,105 | \$ | 36,433,679 | \$ | 33,830,310 | \$ | $(7,232,574)$ | \$ | 2,603,369 |

Cash and cash equivalents held by Sa nta Cruz METRO decreased by $\$ 7,232,574$ or $19.9 \%$ during the current year.

## Contacting Santa Cruz METRO’s Financial Management

Santa Cruz METRO's fin ancial rep ort is de signed to provide Santa Cruz ME TRO's B oard of Dire ctors, management, and the public with an overview of Santa Cru z METRO' s fi nances. For addition al information a bout this report, plea se contact Ang ela Aitken, Fin ance Man ager an d Actin g Assi stant General Manager, at 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060

## Attachment A

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Attachment A

## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS

JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| CURRENT ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ | 21,335,939 | \$ | 24,072,345 |
| Sales Tax, Grants, and Other Receivables |  | 6,020,712 |  | 7,211,566 |
| Inventory |  | 741,896 |  | 806,099 |
| Prepaids |  | 474,877 |  | 481,547 |
| Total Current Assets |  | 28,573,424 |  | 32,571,557 |
| RESTRICTED ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | 7,865,166 |  | 12,361,334 |
| CAPITAL ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Building and Improvements |  | 12,483,953 |  | 12,427,094 |
| Revenue Vehicles |  | 44,599,626 |  | 44,656,992 |
| Operations Equipment |  | 1,810,861 |  | 2,154,389 |
| Other Equipment |  | 1,500,624 |  | 1,500,624 |
| Other Vehicles |  | 1,169,471 |  | 1,218,674 |
| Office Equipment |  | 1,453,135 |  | 1,293,076 |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation |  | $\begin{gathered} 63,017,670 \\ (39,771,947) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 63,250,849 \\ (35,479,687) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 23,245,723 |  | 27,771,162 |
| Construction-in-Progress |  | 36,681,106 |  | 30,175,183 |
| Land |  | 9,164,870 |  | 9,164,870 |
| Total Capital Assets |  | 69,091,699 |  | 67,111,215 |
| TOTAL ASSETS |  | 1103,(\$30,289 | \$ | 44,106 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS (Continued) <br> JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT <br> STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND <br> CHANGES IN FUND NET ASSETS <br> FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING REVENUES |  |  |  |  |
| Passenger Fare | \$ | 4,551,560 | \$ | 4,742,581 |
| Special Transit Fare |  | 3,876,384 |  | 4,026,604 |
| Total Operating Revenues |  | 8,427,944 |  | 8,769,185 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |
| Wages, Salaries, and Employee Benefits |  | 30,315,865 |  | 30,373,279 |
| Purchased Transportation Services |  | 294,183 |  | 176,002 |
| Material and Supplies |  | 2,658,384 |  | 2,389,907 |
| Other Expenses |  | 4,064,863 |  | 3,978,416 |
| Depreciation |  | 4,884,887 |  | 5,057,488 |
| Total Operating Expenses |  | 42,218,182 |  | 41,975,092 |
| Net Operating Loss |  | $(33,790,238)$ |  | $(33,205,907)$ |
| NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES) |  |  |  |  |
| Sales and Use Tax |  | 14,320,288 |  | 14,923,139 |
| Transportation Development Act Assistance |  | 5,001,737 |  | 5,696,249 |
| Section 8/5303 Planning Fund |  | 4,351 |  | 27,799 |
| Section 9/5307 Operating Assistance |  | 3,645,442 |  | 3,426,293 |
| Section 18/5307 Operating Assistance |  | 375,894 |  | 161,615 |
| Interest Income |  | 192,546 |  | 391,808 |
| Rental Income |  | 126,078 |  | 139,759 |
| Other Revenue |  | 250,010 |  | 200,341 |
| Gain (Loss) on Sale and Disposal of Property, Equipment, and Inventory |  | 479 |  | $(89,164)$ |
| Total Non-Operating Revenues |  | 23,916,825 |  | 24,877,839 |
| Net Loss Before Capital Contributions |  | $(9,873,413)$ |  | $(8,328,068)$ |
| CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS |  |  |  |  |
| Grants Restricted for Capital Expenditures |  | 6,861,247 |  | 14,242,511 |
| NET ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets |  | $(3,012,166)$ |  | 5,914,443 |
| Total Net Assets, Beginning of Year |  | 86,190,156 |  | 80,275,713 |
| Total Net Assets, End of Year | \$ | 83,177,990 | \$ | 86,190,156 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Receipts from Customers | \$ | 8,426,099 | \$ | 9,594,562 |
| Payments to Employees |  | $(30,646,898)$ |  | $(30,462,704)$ |
| Payments to Suppliers |  | $(8,356,836)$ |  | $(7,116,639)$ |
| Net Cash Used in Operating Activities |  | $(30,577,635)$ |  | $(27,984,781)$ |
| CASH FLOWS FROM NON-CAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Grants Received, Including Sales and Use Tax |  | 23,663,797 |  | 25,000,666 |
| Other Non-Operating Revenue |  | - |  | 11,815 |
| Net Cash Provided by Non-Capital Financing Activities |  | 23,663,797 |  | 25,012,481 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from Sale of Property and Equipment |  | 512 |  | - |
| Capital Grants Received |  | 6,227,532 |  | 19,632,785 |
| Capital Expenditures |  | $(6,865,404)$ |  | $(14,588,683)$ |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Capital and |  |  |  |  |
| Related Financing Activities |  | $(637,360)$ |  | 5,044,102 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Investment and Rental Income Received |  | 318,624 |  | 531,567 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS |  | $(7,232,574)$ |  | 2,603,369 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR |  | 36,433,679 |  | 33,830,310 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR | \$ | 29,201,105 | \$ | 36,433,679 |
| RECONCILIATION OF NET OPERATING LOSS TO NET CASH |  |  |  |  |
| USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Loss | \$ | $(33,790,238)$ | \$ | $(33,205,907)$ |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Net Operating Loss to Net Cash |  |  |  |  |
| Used in Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation |  | 4,884,887 |  | 5,057,488 |
| Changes in Assets and Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| (Increase) Decrease in Receivables |  | $(1,845)$ |  | 825,377 |
| Decrease in Inventory |  | 64,203 |  | 140,462 |
| (Increase) Decrease in Prepaid Expenses |  | 6,670 |  | $(92,315)$ |
| Decrease in Accounts Payable |  | $(1,410,279)$ |  | $(618,461)$ |
| Decrease in Other Liabilities |  | $(331,033)$ |  | $(91,425)$ |
| Net Cash Used in Operating Activities | \$ | $(30,577,635)$ | \$ | $(27,984,781)$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

## NOTE 1 - OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## A. Description of Organization

The Santa Cruz Metropolitan Tran sit District (Santa Cruz METRO) was fo rmed Feb ruary 9, 1969, following a favorable election in conformity with Section 9800 et. seq. of the Public Utilities Code. The transit system serves the general publ ic in the ci ties of Santa Cruz, Watsonville, Scotts Valley, and Capitola and the unincorporated areas of Santa Cruz County. A Board of eleven directors and one Ex-Officio director representing the University of California, Santa Cruz governs Santa Cruz METRO. At June 30, 2010, the directors were as follows:

| Chairperson: | Dene Bustichi |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vice Chair: | Ellen Pirie |  |  |
| Members: | Ron Graves | Michelle Hinkle | Mark Stone |
|  | Mike Rotkin | Pat Spence | Donald Hagen |
|  | Lynn Robinson | Marcela Tavantzis Emilio | Martinez |
| Ex-Officio: | Donna Blitzer |  |  |

Santa Cruz METRO al so serve s the High way 17 corridor into Santa Clara Cou nty to provide commuter express service through a m emorandum of understanding with the California Department of Tran sportation, the Cap itol Corridor Joint Po wers Authority, and the Santa Clara Valley County Transit District (VTA). Amtrak Th ruway bus serv ice is also provided by San ta Cruz MET RO on the same corridor.

## B. Reporting Entity

Santa Cruz METRO and the Santa Cruz Civic Imp rovement Corporation (the Corp oration) have a financial and operatio nal relation ship, which me ets the rep orting entity definition criteria of Governmental Accounting Standar ds Board (GASB) Statement No. 14, as amended by GASB Statement No. 39, The Financial Reporting Entity, for incl usion of the Co rporation as a blende d component unit of Santa Cruz METRO. Accordingly, the financial activities of $t$ he Corporation have been included in the basic financial statements of Santa Cruz METRO. For the years ending June 30, 2010 and 2009, these activities were minimal.

## Scope of Public Service:

The Corporation is a nonprofit, public benefit corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of California and recorded by the Se cretary of State in July 1986. The Corporation was formed for the sole purpose of providi $n g$ financi al a ssistance to Santa Cruz METRO for the construction an d acquisition of major capital facilities.

The following are those aspects of the relationship between Santa Cruz METRO and the Corporation which satisfy GASB Statement No. 14/39 criteria.

Accountability:

1. Santa Cruz METRO's Board of Directors appointed the Corporation's Board of Directors.
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## NOTE 1 - OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

## B. Reporting Entity (Continued)

2. Santa Cruz METRO is able to impose its will upon the Corporation based on the following:

- All major fina ncing arrangements, contracts, and other transactions of the Corpo ration must have the consent of Santa Cruz METRO.
- Santa Cruz METRO exercises sig nificant influence over o perations of the Corpo ration as it is anticipated that Santa Cruz METRO will be the sole lessee of all facilities owned by the Corporation. Likewise, it is anticipated that Santa Cruz METRO's lease payments will be the sole revenue source of the Corporation

3. The Corp oration provides specific fina ncial benefits or impo ses specifi c finan cial burd ens on Santa Cruz METRO based upon the following:

- Santa Cruz METRO has assumed a "moral obligation," and potentially a legal obligation, for any debt incurred by the Corporation.


## C. Basis of Accounting and Presentation

Santa Cruz METRO is accounted for as a Business-Type Activity, as defined by GASB Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments, and its basi c financial statements are presented on the accru al basis of accounting. Under this $m$ ethod, revenues are recognized when they are earned, and exp enses are recognized when they are incurred.

Santa Cruz METRO adopted GASB Statement No . 34 as amended by GASB Statem ent No. 37, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments: Omnibus, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2003, and applied those standards on a retroactive basis. GASB Statement No. 34 es tablishes standards for external financial reporting for state and local governments and requires that resources be classified for accounting and reporting purposes into three net asset categories; namely, those invested in capital assets, net of related debt restricted net assets; and unrestricted net assets

## Contributed Capital/Reserved Retained Earnings:

Santa Cru z METRO receives g rants from the $F$ ederal Tran sit Administrat ion (F TA) a nd othe $r$ agencies of the U.S. De partment of Tran sportation, state, and local tran sportation fund $s$ for the acquisition of transit-related equipment and improvements. Prior to July 1, 20 01, capital g rants were recognized as don ated capital to the extent that project cost $s$ under the grant had be en incurred Capital grant funds earned, less amortization equal to accumulated depreciation of the related assets, were inc luded in c ontributed c apital. As required by GASB St atement No. 33, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Transactions, Santa Cruz METRO changed its $m$ ethod of accounting $f$ or capital $g$ rants $f$ rom capital contributions to reserved non-operating revenues. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 33, capi tal grants are required to be inc luded in the determination of net in come resulting in an in crease in net revenue of $\$ 6,861,247$ and $\$ 14,242,511$ for the fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Under GASB Statement No. 34, contrib uted capital and reserved retained earnings are presented in the net asset section as invested in capital assets, net of related debt.

## NOTE 1 - OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

## C. Basis of Accounting and Presentation (Continued)

## Proprietary Accounting and Financial Reporting:

As requi red under GASB Statement No. 20 , Accounting and Financial Reporting for Proprietary Funds and Other Governmental Entities That Use Proprietary Fund Accounting, Santa Cruz METRO will continue to apply all applicable GASB pr onouncements as well as Financial Accounting Standards B oard (FASB) Statements and Interpreta tions, Accounting Pri nciples Board (APB ) Opinions, a nd Accounting Re search Bulletins (ARBs) of the Co mmittee on Accounting Procedure issued on or before November 30, 1989, unless tho se pronouncements conflict or co ntradict GASB pronouncements. Santa Cr uz METRO has elected under GASB Statement No. 20 to not apply all FASB State ments and Interpretati ons issued after November 30, 1989, due to the governm ental nature of Santa Cruz METRO's operations.

## Net Assets:

Net asset s repre sent the resi dual int erest in San ta Cru z ME TRO's a ssets after liabili ties are deducted. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 34, the fund equity section on the statements of net assets was co mbined to report total net asset $s$ and prese nt it in three broad comp onents: invested in capital assets, net of related debt; re stricted; and un restricted. Net assets invested in capital a ssets, net of related debt in clude capi tal assets net of accumulat ed dep reciation and outstanding principal balances of debt a ttributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those a ssets. Net asset s are rest ricted when constraints a re im posed by th ird parties or by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. All other net assets are unrestricted.

When both restri cted an d unre stricted reso urces a re available for use, gene rally it is Santa Cru z METRO's policy to use restricted resources first, and then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

## D. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Santa Cruz METRO considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity date within three months of the date a cquired to be cash e quivalents. Sant a Cruz MET RO dep osits fund s into a $n$ external investment $p$ ool maintain ed by the Santa Cru z County. These dep osits are con sidered ca sh equivalents. The Santa Cruz County Pooled Investment Fund is authorized to invest in obligations of the U.S. Tre asury age ncies a nd in strumentalities, commercial paper rated A-1 by Stan dard an d Poor's Corporation or P-1 by Moody's Commercial Paper Record, banker's acceptances, repurchase agreements, and the State Treasurer's investment pool. Cash and cash equivalents are stated at fair value. For purposes of the statements of cash flows, Santa Cruz METRO considers all highly liquid investments (including restricted assets) to be cash equivalents.
E. Inventory

Inventory is carried at co st using the first-i n/first-out (FIFO) meth od. Inventory held by San ta Cruz METRO consists of spa re bus pa rts and op erating sup plies that are con sumed by Sa nta Cruz METRO and are not for resale purposes.
F. Re stricted Assets

Certain assets are classified as restricted assets on the statements of net assets because their use is subject to externally imposed stipulations, either by laws or regulations.
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## NOTE 1 - OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

F. Re stricted Assets (Continued)

The ca sh resulting fro $m$ a settlement agree ment, as de scribed in Note 9, represents proceeds restricted by the FTA. The Stat e Transit Assistance Grant, Proposition 1B PTMISEA Grant, and the Proposition 1B OHS CTSGP Grant are restricted for capital expenditures. Restricted assets at June 30 are as follows:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Transit Administration Grant | \$ | 1,322,636 | \$ | 3,092,552 |
| Proposition 1B PTMISEA Grant |  | 1,475,663 |  | 7,003,247 |
| Proposition 1B OHS CTSGP Grant |  | 834,344 |  | 429,525 |
| State Transit Assistance Grant |  | 4,232,523 |  | 1,836,010 |
| Total Restricted Assets | \$ | 7,865,166 | \$ | 12,361,334 |

## G. Property and Equipment

Property an d equi pment are re corded at cost. De preciation for all such a ssets is computed on a straight-line basis. Estimated useful lives of assets are as follows:

| Buildings and improvements | $20-30$ years |
| :--- | ---: |
| Revenue vehicles | 12 years |
| Other vehicles and equipment | $3-10$ years |

Depreciation expense on assets a cquired with ca pital grant fund s are tra nsferred to net assets invested in capital assets, net of related debt after being charged to operations.

Major improvements and betterments to existing fa cilities and e quipment are capitalized. Costs for maintenance and repairs, which do not extend the useful lives of the applicable assets, are charged to expense as incurred. Upon disposition, costs and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and resulting gains or losses are included in operations.

Santa Cruz METRO completed and capitalized the Scotts Valley Transit Center in fiscal y ear 1999. The cost of this facility totaled $\$ 4,063,634$, which was funded by federal, state, and local funds. The Scotts Valley Redevelopment Agency (the Agency), a political subdivision of the State of California, was one of Santa Cruz METRO's funding sources for this project and has retained an interest in the property. The title to the property is retained by both Santa Cruz METRO and the Agency as tenants in commo $n$ with e ach pa rty holdin $g$ a $n$ individu al i nterest in proportion to each pa rty's fi nancial participation in the project. The Ag ency's portion of the property is $13.87 \%$. The Agency's portion is not recorded in Santa Cruz METRO's basic financial statements.

## H. Sales and Use Tax

Santa Cruz METRO re ceives a $.5 \%$ sales an duse tax levied o $n$ all taxable sal es in Sa nta Cruz County, whi ch is collected an da dministered by the Califo rnia State B oard of Equ alization. Additionally, Santa Cru z METRO i s allo cated, throug h the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission, a po rtion of the $.25 \%$ sales and use tax levied by the Tran sportation Development Act (TDA).
I. Operating Assistance Grants

Operating assistance grants are recognized as revenue in the grant period earned

## Attachment A

## NOTE 1 - OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

J. Self-Insu rance

Santa Cru z METRO is self-insured fo r the firs $t \$ 250,000$ of ge neral and ve hicular lia bility. For settlements in excess of $\$ 250,000$, Santa Cruz ME TRO has total coverage up to $\$ 20,000,000$ per occurrence. Additionally, Santa Cruz MET RO i s self-insured up to \$ 350,000 for workers' compensation claims. Santa Cruz ME TRO has recorde d a li ability for estima ted claims to be pai $d$ including incurred but not reported claims.

## K. Employee Benefits

Vacation and medical le ave ben efits a re accrued when earned and red uced when used. Any paid medical leave accrued beyond 96 hours may, at the employee's option be converted to annual leave and credited to the employee's annual leave schedule or paid in cash, depending on the bargaining unit, at $100 \%$ of the earned rate. Employees are paid accrued and unused annual leave at the time of separation from Santa Cruz METRO service.
L. Payroll

Santa Cruz METRO cont racts with the Santa Cru z Co unty Auditor- Controller to provid e payroll processing services.

## M. Pensio n Costs

Pension co sts are exp ensed a s in curred. The se costs eq ual the actua rially determine d annual contribution amount

## N. Use of Estimates

The p reparation of ba sic financi al sta tements in conformity wit $h$ acco unting prin ciples $g$ enerally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the repo rted a mounts of a ssets a nd liabilities and di sclosure of co ntingent assets and liabilities at the date of the basi c finan cial statements and the re ported a mounts of revenu es and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.
O. Implementation of New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2007, GASB issued Statement No. 51, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Intangible Assets. This statement requi res that all intangibl e assets not specifically exclude d by its scop e provisions becl assified as capital a ssets. Thi s statement re quires th at a n intan gible asset be recognized in the statement of net a ssets o nly if it is con sidered identifiable. This statem ent also establishes g uidance spe cific to inta ngible a ssets re lated to amortization. This statem ent provides guidance on determining the u seful lives of inta ngible assets when the le ngth of their lives is limited by contractual or legal provisions. The statement did not have an effect on the financial position of Santa Cruz METRO.

In June 2008, GASB is sued Statement No. 53, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments. This statement addresses the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of in formation regarding derivative instruments entered into by state and lo cal governments. This statement is not effective until June 30, 2010. The state ment did not have an effect on the financial po sition of Santa Cruz METRO.

## NOTE 2 - CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents consist of the following at June 30, 2010 and 2009:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash on Hand | \$ | 30,843 | \$ | 48,577 |
| Demand Deposits |  | 330,770 |  | 310,114 |
| Deposits in Santa Cruz County Pooled Investment Fund |  | 28,839,492 |  | 36,074,988 |
|  | \$ | 29,201,105 | \$ | 36,433,679 |

## Cash on Hand and Cash in Banks

Investments Authorized by the California Government Code and Santa Cruz METRO's Investment Policy

The table be low identifie s the investment types that are auth orized for Santa Cruz METRO by the California Government Code (or Santa Cruz METRO's investment policy, wh ere more restrictive). The table also id entifies certai $n$ provi sions of the California G overnment Co de (or Santa Cruz MET RO's investment policy, where more restrictive) that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

| Investment |  | Authorized Maximum Type Maturit | Y | Maximum Percentage of Portfolio | Maximum Investment in One Issue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local | Agen | Bonds | 5 years | None | None |
| U.S. | Treas | Obligations 5 | years | None | None |
| U.S. | Agen | curities | 5 years | None | None |
| Banke | r's | ptances 180 | days | None | None |
| Comm | ercia | per 270 | days | None | None |
| $\mathrm{Ne} \quad \mathrm{g}$ | gotiable | tificates of Deposit 5 | years | None | None |
| Re p | purchas | greements 1 | year | None | None |
| Rev | erse R | chase Agreements | 92 days | None | None |
| Medium | -T | Notes | 5 years | None | None |
| Mutual | Fun |  | N/A | None | None |
| Mone | y Ma | Mutual Funds | N/A | None | None |
| Mort | gage | -Through Securities | 5 years | None | None |
| Cou | nty Po | Investment Funds | N/A | 100\% | None |
| Local | Agen | nvestment Fund (LAIF) | N/A | None | None |

Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk
Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market in terest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates.

## Attachment A

## NOTE 2 - CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (Continued)

## Cash on Hand and Cash in Banks (Continued)

Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk (Continued)
Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of Santa Cruz METRO's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provid ed by the followin g table that sho ws the distrib ution of Santa Cruz METRO' s investments by maturity:

|  |  |  | ining Mat | (in Mon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment Type | Amount | 12 Months or Less | 13 to 24 Months | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \text { to } 60 \\ & \text { Months } \end{aligned}$ | More Than 60 Months |
| County Pooled Investment Fund | \$ 28,839,492 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ - |

## Investments with Fair Values Highly Sensitive to Interest Rate Fluctuations

Santa Cruz METRO's investments were not considered to be highly sensitive to interest rate fluctuatio ns as of June 30, 2010 or 2009.

## Disclosures Relating to Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investme $n$. This is $m$ easured by the assig nment of a rating by a nation ally recogni zed statistical rating organization. Presented below is the minimum rating required by (where applicable) the California Government Code, Santa Cruz METRO's investment policy, and the actual rating as of year-end for each investment type. The column marked "exempt from disclosure" identifies those investment types for which GASB Statement No. 40 does not require disclosure as to credit risk:


## Concentration of Credit Risk

The investment policy of S anta Cruz METRO contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code. Santa Cruz METRO did not have any Investments in any one issuer (other than external investment pools) that represent 5\% or more of total Santa Cruz METRO's investments at June 30, 2010 or 2009.

## Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk $f$ or deposits is th e risk that, in the event of the failure of a deposit ory financi al institution, a govern ment will not be a ble to recove $r$ its deposits or will not $b$ e able to re cover collateral securities that are in the p ossession of an outside party. The cu stodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another p arty. The California G overnment Code and Santa Cruz MET RO's i nvestment policy do n ot contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to cu stodial credit risk for deposits or investments, other than the following provision for deposits: the California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an u ndivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the ple dged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least $110 \%$ of the total amount deposited by the public agencies.
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NOTE 2 - CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (Continued)
Cash on Hand and Cash in Banks (Continued)

## Custodial Credit Risk

GASB Statement No. 40 requires that the following disclosure be made with respect to custodial credit risks rel ating to dep osits and inve stments: $n$ one of Santa Cruz METRO' $s$ de posits with finan cial institutions in excess of federal depository insurance limits were held in uncollateralized accounts.

## Investment in Santa Cruz County Pooled Investment Fund

Santa Cruz METRO is a voluntary participant in the Santa Cruz County Pooled Investment Fund. The fair value of Sant a Cruz METRO's inve stment in this pool is repo rted in the a ccompanying basic fin ancial statements at amounts based upon Santa Cruz METRO's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the Santa Cruz County for the entire Sa nta Cruz County portfolio (i n relation to the amorti zed cost of that portfolio). The bal ance av ailable for withdrawal is based on the accounting records $m$ aintained by the Santa Cruz County, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

## NOTE 3 - RECEIVABLES

Receivables at June 30 are as follows:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Grants | \$ | 18,232 | \$ | 1,793,004 |
| State Grants |  | 3,338,305 |  | 2,835,132 |
| Sales Tax Revenue |  | 2,262,400 |  | 2,181,400 |
| Other |  | 401,775 |  | 402,030 |
|  | \$ | 6,020,712 | \$ | 7,211,566 |
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## NOTE 4 - CHANGES IN CAPITAL ASSETS

Facilities, property, and equipment at June 30 are summarized as follows:

|  | Balance July 1, 2009 |  | Additions and Transfers |  | Retirements and Transfers |  | Balance June 30, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Depreciated Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land | \$ | 9,164,870 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 9,164,870 |
| Construction-in-Progress |  | 30,175,183 |  | 6,657,767 |  | $(151,844)$ |  | 36,681,106 |
| Total Non-Depreciated Assets |  | 39,340,053 |  | 6,657,767 |  | $(151,844)$ |  | 45,845,976 |
| Depreciated Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building and Improvements |  | 12,427,094 |  | 57,524 |  | (665) |  | 12,483,953 |
| Revenue Vehicles |  | 44,656,992 |  | - |  | $(57,366)$ |  | 44,599,626 |
| Operations Equipment |  | 2,154,389 |  | - |  | $(343,528)$ |  | 1,810,861 |
| Other Equipment |  | 1,500,624 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,500,624 |
| Other Vehicles |  | 1,218,674 |  | - |  | $(49,203)$ |  | 1,169,471 |
| Office Equipment |  | 1,293,076 |  | 301,958 |  | $(141,899)$ |  | 1,453,135 |
| Total Depreciated Assets |  | 63,250,849 |  | 359,482 |  | $(592,661)$ |  | 63,017,670 |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation |  | $(35,479,687)$ |  | $(4,884,887)$ |  | 592,627 |  | $(39,771,947)$ |
| Depreciated Assets Net of Accumulated Depreciation |  | 27,771,162 |  | $(4,525,405)$ |  | (34) |  | 23,245,723 |
| Total Capital Assets | \$ | 67,111,215 | \$ | 2,132,362 | \$ | $(151,878)$ | \$ | 69,091,699 |

Depreciation expense at June 30, 2010, was $\$ 4,884,887$.

|  | Balance July 1, 2008 |  | Additions and Transfers |  | Retirements and Transfers |  | Balance June 30, 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Depreciated Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land | \$ | 9,164,870 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 9,164,870 |
| Construction-in-Progress |  | 23,160,708 |  | 7,070,170 |  | $(55,695)$ |  | 30,175,183 |
| Total Non-Depreciated Assets |  | 32,325,578 |  | 7,070,170 |  | $(55,695)$ |  | 39,340,053 |
| Depreciated Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building and Improvements |  | 13,467,177 |  | 17,607 |  | $(1,057,690)$ |  | 12,427,094 |
| Revenue Vehicles |  | 40,194,340 |  | 7,463,295 |  | $(3,000,643)$ |  | 44,656,992 |
| Operations Equipment |  | 2,203,998 |  | 53,059 |  | $(102,668)$ |  | 2,154,389 |
| Other Equipment |  | 1,489,053 |  | 11,671 |  | (100) |  | 1,500,624 |
| Other Vehicles |  | 1,217,023 |  | 1,651 |  | - |  | 1,218,674 |
| Office Equipment |  | 1,199,716 |  | 138,596 |  | $(45,236)$ |  | 1,293,076 |
| Total Depreciated Assets |  | 59,771,307 |  | 7,685,879 |  | $(4,206,337)$ |  | 63,250,849 |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation |  | $(34,516,865)$ |  | $(5,057,488)$ |  | 4,094,666 |  | $(35,479,687)$ |
| Depreciated Assets Net of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital Assets | \$ | 57,580,020 | \$ | 9,698,561 | \$ | $(167,366)$ | \$ | 67,111,215 |

Depreciation expense at June 30, 2009, was $\$ 5,057,488$.
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## NOTE 5 - AVAILABLE NET ASSETS

An analysis of Santa Cruz METRO's available (undesignated) net assets at June 30 follows:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Assets | \$ | 28,573,424 | \$ | 32,571,557 |
| Current Liabilities |  | $(6,140,678)$ |  | $(7,392,375)$ |
| Working Capital |  | 22,432,746 |  | 25,179,182 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory |  | $(741,896)$ |  | $(806,099)$ |
| Prepaid Expenses |  | $(474,877)$ |  | $(481,547)$ |
| Total Available Net Assets |  | 21,215,973 |  | 23,891,536 |
| Net Assets Designated for the Following: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Flow |  | $(2,511,102)$ |  | $(5,059,022)$ |
| Workers' Compensation Reserve |  | $(2,091,581)$ |  | $(2,091,581)$ |
| 110 Vernon Purchase - Renovation |  |  |  | $(2,400,000)$ |
| Insurance Reserve |  | $(700,757)$ |  | $(700,757)$ |
| Alternative Fuel Conversion Fund |  | - |  | - |
| Bus Stop Improvements Reserve |  |  |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |
| Carryover from Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Operating Budget |  | $(1,989,862)$ |  | $(2,155,738)$ |
| Net Assets Required to Offset Projected Operating Budget Shortfall for Fiscal Year 2011 |  | $(4,272,159)$ |  | $(3,154,251)$ |
| Net Assets Required to Fund Transportation Improvement Programs for the Fiscal Years 2011-2012 |  | $(14,025,636)$ |  | $(14,025,636)$ |
| Available Undesignated Net Assets (Deficits) | \$ | $(4,375,124)$ | \$ | $(5,695,449)$ |

## NOTE 6 - CAPITAL GRANTS

Santa Cruz METRO re ceives grants from the Fed eral Transit Authority (FTA), which provides financing for the acquisition of rolling stock a nd construction of facilities. Santa Cruz ME TRO also receives grants under the State Transportation Development Act primarily for the acquisition of rolling stock and support equipment, and purchase of furniture and fixtures.

A summary of federal, state, and local grant activity for the years ended June 30 is as follows:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Grants | \$ | 6,629,512 | \$ | 6,194,664 |
| State Grants |  | 231,735 |  | 8,047,847 |
| Total Capital Grants | \$ | 6,861,247 | \$ | 14,242,511 |
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NOTE 7 - COMMITMENTS
Santa Cruz METRO leases a number of its facilities under o perating leases extending through 2015. For the years en ded Ju ne 30, 2010 and 2009, rental expen se relating to the le ases was $\$ 544,474$ an d $\$ 728,350$, respectively. Santa Cruz METRO also leases to others retail space in its transit facilities under noncancelable agreements. Minimum lease payments and receipts for existin g operating leases are as follows:

| Year Ending June 30 | Lease Commitments |  | Rental Income |  | Net |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | \$ | 207,866 | \$ | 110,768 | \$ | 97,098 |
| 2012 |  | 214,726 |  | 84,917 |  | 129,809 |
| 2013 |  | 222,474 |  | 81,804 |  | 140,670 |
| 2014 |  | 182,954 |  | 80,696 |  | 102,258 |
| 2015 |  | 22,771 |  | 89,103 |  | $(66,332)$ |
|  | \$ | 850,791 | \$ | 447,288 | \$ | 403,503 |

## NOTE 8 - JOINT VENTURES (Joint Powers Authority with CaITIP)

Santa Cruz METRO participates in a joint powers authority (JPA), the Califo rnia Transit Insurance Pool (CalTIP). Th e rel ationship between S anta Cruz M ETRO a nd the JPA i s such th at the JPA is not a component unit of Santa Cruz METRO for financial reporting purposes.

CaITIP arranges for and provides property and liability insurance for its 25 members. CaITIP is governed by a board $t$ hat controls $t$ he ope rations of CaITIP, inclu ding sel ection of ma nagement and approval of operating budgets, independent of any influence by the memb er districts. Each member district pays a premium commensurate with the leve I of cove rage re quested and shares in su rpluses and deficits proportionate to their participation in CaITIP.

Condensed unaudited financi al inform ation of Cal TIP for the years e nded April 30 (most re cent information available) is as follows:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Assets | \$ | 26,681,312 | \$ | 25,860,459 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 10,133,289 |  | 9,782,422 |
| Fund Balance | \$ | 16,548,023 | \$ | 16,078,037 |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 6,386,315 | \$ | 7,915,686 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 5,916,329 |  | 6,485,801 |
| Net Increase in Fund Balance | \$ | 469,986 | \$ | 1,429,885 |

CaITIP has not calculated Santa Cruz METRO's share of year-end assets, liabilities, or fund balance.

## NOTE 9 - WATSONVILLE FLEET MAINTENANCE FACILITY SETTLEMENT RECEIPT

Santa Cru z METRO's fleet maintenan ce facility in Watso nville was dam aged in the Loma Priet a earthquake (the earthquake) in October 1989. An enginee ring study concluded that the demolition of the existing facility and construction of a new facility was the most practical course of a ction. Therefore, the net book value of the facility was written off the books in a prior year.
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## NOTE 9 - WATSONVILLE FLEET MAINTENANCE FACILITY SETTLEMENT RECEIPT (Continued)

In addition, due to design and construction deficiencies by the design and building contractors involved in the ori ginal project, Sant a Cru z MET RO initiate d litigation ag ainst the co ntractors an d ca me to a settlement a greement with said contra ctors on May 30, 1995. In accord ance with this ag reement, the contractors remitted $\$ 4,776,858$ (including $\$ 171,538$ in costs) to Santa Cru z METRO during fiscal year 1996, representing damages less attorney fees. FTA Section $3 / 5309$ restricts the use of the se proceeds, and the interest earned thereon. Accordingly, the net restricted amount of $\$ 1,322,636$ and $\$ 3,092,552$ is reflected on the statements of net assets as deferred revenue at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## NOTE 10 - CONTINGENCIES

Santa Cruz METRO has received state and federal funds for specific purposes that are subject to review and audit by grantor agencies. Although such a udits could generate expenditure di sallowances und er terms of the grants, Santa Cruz METRO believes that any required reimbursement will not be material.

Additionally, Santa Cruz METRO is party to various claims and litigation in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management and in-house counsel, any ultimate losses have been adequately provided for in the basic financial statements.

## NOTE 11 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

## Plan Description

Santa Cruz METRO's defined benefit pension plan, the Miscellaneous Plan for Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (the Plan ), provides retirement and disability ben efits, annual cost-of-living adju stments, and death be nefits to plan members and beneficiaries. The Plan is part of the Public Agency portion of the Californi a Public Em ployees' Reti rement Sy stem (CaIPERS), an agen t multiple-em ployer pla $n$ administered by CaIPERS, which acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public employers with the State of California. A menu of benefit provisions as well as other requirements is e stablished by State statutes within the Public Employees' Re tirement $L$ aw. Santa Cruz METRO selects optional ben efit provision sfom the benefit menu by contract with CaIPERS and a dopts th ose benefits through Board of Di rectors action. CaIPERS issue s a s eparate comprehensive annual financial report. Copies of the Cal PERS' annual financial report may be obtaine d from the CaIPERS Executi ve Office, 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

## Funding Policy

There are 303 active plan members in the Plan as of June 30, 2010, which are required to contribute a percent of their annual covered salary. In lieu of salary increases and for employees who agreed to salary reductions in ce rtain p rior year s, Sa nta Cruz ME TRO agreed to pay a portion of the employe e contribution, based on formulas negotiated in their labor agreements. Santa Cruz METRO is also required to contri bute the actua rially determin ed rem aining amount s ecessary to fund the be nefits for its members. The actuarial methods and assumptions used are those adopted by the CaIPERS Board of Administration. The requi red empl oyer contribution rate for the fi scal year ended June 30, 2010, was $13.383 \%$. The contribution requirements of the plan members are established by State sta tute and the employer contribution rate is established and may be amended by CaIPERS.
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## NOTE 11 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

## Annual Pension Cost

For fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, Santa Cruz METRO's annual pension cost of $\$ 2,151,927$ was equal to Santa Cruz MET RO's req uired a nd a ctual contributions. This incl udes Sa nta Cruz MET RO's contribution to the employ ee co ntribution re quirement. The req uired contribution for fiscal year en ded June 30, 2 010, wa s dete rmined as part of the Ju ne 30, 2007, a ctuarial valuation using the entry a ge normal actua rial co st method with the contrib utions determined as a perce nt of pay. The actu arial assumptions included (a) $7.75 \%$ investment rate of re turn (net of admini stration expenses), (b) projected salary incre ases that vary by duratio $n$ of se rvice rangi ng fro $m 3.25 \%$ to $14.45 \%$ for miscellan eous members, a nd (c) $3.25 \%$ cost-of-living adju stment. Bo th (a) and (b) in clude an inflation component of $3.0 \%$. The actuarial value of the Plan's assets was determined using a technique that smoothes the effect of short-term volatility in the market value of inve stments over a three-year period. The Plan's unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is being amortized as a level percentage of projected payroll on a closed basis.

## Three-Year Trend Information for the Plan

Three-year trend info rmation, with respect to $S$ anta Cru z MET RO's pa rticipation in CaIPERS, is a s follows:

| Year <br> Ending | Annual Pension Cost (APC) |  | Percentage of APC Contributed | Net Pension Obligation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/30/2008 | \$ | 1,997,214 | 100\% | \$ |  |
| 6/30/2009 | \$ | 2,215,058 | 100\% | \$ | - |
| 6/30/2010 | \$ | 2,151,927 | 100\% | \$ | - |

Supplementary information is intend ed to show the progress made towards funding benefit obligations. Required three-year supplemental information, available to date, for Santa Cruz METRO is as follows:

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Valuation } \\ \text { Date } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Entry Age Actuarial Accrued Liability | Actuarial Value of Assets | Unfunded/ <br> (Overfunded) Liability |  | Funded Ratio | Annual Covered Payroll | UAAL as a \% of Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/30/2006 | \$ | 82,885,847 | \$711,312,490 | \$ | 73,357 | 86.0\% | \$ 15, Me2, 920 |  |
| 6/30/2007 | \$ | 90,009,906 | \$781,752,158 | \$ | 57,748 | 87.5\% | \$ 172,491,039 |  |
| 6/30/2008 | \$ | 97,329,553 | \$815,(256,437 | \$ | 73,116 | 87.4\% | \$ 76,4165,532 |  |
| 6/30/2009 | \$ | 109,418,745 | \$894, 621,182 | \$ | 97,563 | 81.9\% | \$ 112,397p/024 |  |

## NOTE 12 - DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

Santa Cruz METRO offers its employ ees a defe red com pensation plan created in accorda nce with Internal Reve nue Code Se ction 457 and provisions of the Government Co de of the State of California. The plan, available to all Santa Cruz METRO employees, permits them to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, or unforeseeable emergency. Santa Cruz METRO employees participate in two such plans, the G reatWest Life and Annuity Insurance (Great-West) plan and the other through CaIPERS.

At June 30, 2010, all amounts held under the Great-West plan and the CaIPERS plan are held in trust and are not reflected on the accompanying statement of net a ssets as required under GASB Statement No. 27, Accounting Standards for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers.

## Attachment A

## NOTE 12 - DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN (Continued)

Complete fin ancial statem ents for Gre at-West can be obtai ned from G reat-West at 8515 E . Orcha rd Road, Greenwood Village, CO 80111. Complete financial statements for CaIPERS can be obtained from CaIPERS at Lincoln Plaza North, 400 Q Street, Sacramento, CA 94229.

## NOTE 13 - RISK MANAGEMENT

Santa Cru z METRO is exposed to v arious risks of loss related to to rts; theft of, dam age to, a nd destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which Sa nta Cruz METRO carries commercial i nsurance. S anta Cru z M ETRO h as e stablished li mited ri sk management programs for workers' compensation, and general and vehicular liability, as described in Note 1, as well.

Liabilities are reported when it is proba ble that a loss has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Liabilities include an am ount for claims that have bee n incurred but not rep orted (IBNR). The IBNR for workers' compe nsation was based on an a ctuarial study dated May 2010. Claim liabilities are cal culated considering the effect s of inflation, recent clai m se ttlement trends including frequency and amount of payouts, an dother e conomic and social factors. Chang es in the balances of claims liabilities are as follows:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unpaid Claims, Beginning of Fiscal Year | \$ | 4,511,218 | \$ | 4,673,899 |
| Incurred Claims (Including IBNR's) |  | $(984,735)$ |  | $(903,021)$ |
| Claim Payments |  | 556,594 |  | 740,340 |
| Unpaid Claims, End of Fiscal Year | \$ | 4,083,077 | \$ | 4,511,218 |

## NOTE 14 - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT/CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE

Santa Cruz METRO is subject to co mpliance with the TDA provision s, Sections 6634 and 6637 of the California Administrative Code and Sections 99267, 99268.1, and 99314.6 of the Public Utilities Code.

## Section 6634

Pursuant to Section 6 634, a transit claimant is precluded from re ceiving monie $s$ fro $m$ the Local Transportation Fund and the State Transit Assistance Fund in an amount whi ch exceeds the claim ant's capital and operating costs, less the required fares, and local support. Santa Cruz METRO did not receive TDA or State Transit Assistance revenues in excess of the prescribed formula amounts.

## Section 6637

Pursuant to Section 66 37, a claimant must maintain its accou nts and records in acco rdance with the Uniform System of A ccounts and Records for T ransit Operators adopted by the State Controller. Santa Cruz METRO did maintain its accounts and records in accordance with the Un iform System of Accounts and Records for Transit Operators.

## Sections 99267, 99268.1, and 99314.6

Pursuant to the TDA, Santa Cruz METRO is defined as an older operator and is not required to meet the fare box ratio requi rement of the Act. Santa Cruz METRO has m et the $50 \%$ expe nditure limitation requirement.

NOTE 15 - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT, AND SERVICE ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT (PTMISEA)

In Novemb er 2006, California vote rs passed a bo nd mea sure enacting the High way Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Qu ality, and Port Se curity Bond Act of 2006, P roposition 1B. Of the $\$ 19.925$ billion of State gene ral obligation bonds auth orized, $\$ 4$ billion was set a side by the State, as in structed by the statute, as the Public T ransportation Modernization, Improvem ent, and Se rvice Enha ncement Acco unt (PTMISEA). Thes e funds are available to the California Department of Trans portation for interc ity rail projects and to transit op erators in Cali fornia for rehabilitation, sa fety, or mode rnization imp rovements; capital service enhancements or expansions; new capital projects; bus rapid transit improvements; or for rolling stock procurement, rehabilitation, or replacement.

The audit of public transportation operator finances, pursuant to Section 99245 of the Public Utilities Code (PUC) and required under the TDA, was exp anded to include verification of re ceipt and app ropriate expenditure of PTMISEA bond funds.

The Santa Cruz County Region al Transportation Commission (SCCRTC) is responsible for allocating the Proposition 1B PUC Secti on 99313 funds in Sa nta Cruz Count y. In De cember 20 07, the SCCRT C programmed $100 \%$ of its share of Section 99313 Proposition 1B PT MISEA funds (approxi mately $\$ 2.1$ million) to $S$ anta Cruz M ETRO fo $r$ the Consolidat ed Metr oBase Project. F unds audited include the SCCRTC share of PUC Section 99313 Proposition 1B transit funds that hav e been pa ssed-through to Santa Cruz METRO.

During the fi scal year e nded June 30, 2010, Santa Cruz METRO earned interest of \$45, 581 from the State's PTMISEA account for construct ion funding for the Consolidated MetroBase Project. As of June 30 , 2010, qualifying expenditures of $\$ 5,573,165$ were incurred and the remaining balance of $\$ 1,475,663$ including accrued interest was deferred. Qualifying expenditures must be encumbered within three years from the date of the allocation and expended within three years from the date of the encumbrance.

## Schedule of PTMISEA Bond 1B Grants

For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

|  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance - beginning of the year | \$ | 7,003,247 |
| Receipts: <br> Interest accrued 7/1/2009 through 6/30/2010 |  | 45,581 |
| Expenses: MetroBase Construction |  | $(5,573,165)$ |
| Balance - end of year | \$ | 1,475,663 |

## Schedule of PTMISEA Bond 1B Grants

 For the Year Ended June 30, 2009|  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance - beginning of the year | \$ | 4,442,909 |
| Receipts: |  |  |
| Warrant No. 63-181418-Deposited 7/15/2009 |  | 2,480,910 |
| Interest accrued 7/1/2008 through 6/30/2009 |  | 79,428 |
| Expenses: |  |  |
| MetroBase Construction |  | - |
| Balance - end of year | \$ | 7,003,247 |
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## NOTE 16 - POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS OTHER THAN PENSION BENEFITS

## Plan Description

Santa Cruz METRO p rovides post-retirement CaIP ERS medical benefits to q ualified retired employe es age 50 and older (in cluding eligi ble dependents) who have completed at le ast five yea rs of CaIPERS eligible service. Santa Cruz MET RO pays medical premiums depending on bargaining union contract requirements. If the retiree has ten years of Sa nta Cruz METRO eligible service, Santa Cruz METRO provides post-retirement dental and visi on benefits for qualified retirees (including eligible dependents), and life in surance for the retiree only, until the reti ree reaches age 65 . Bus operators who retired and reached the age of 65 prior to June 30, 1994, will continue to receive dental and vision coverage beyond age 65. Life insurance is not provided to management retirees who retired prior to July 1, 2005. The costs of providing these benefits are recognized when paid. Santa Cruz METRO has recognized approximately $\$ 1,597,810$ and $\$ 1,557,326$ of expense for these benefits for the years ending June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

Santa Cruz METRO's annual other postemployment benefit (OPEB) cost (expense) is cal culated based on the ann ual required contri bution (ARC) of the empl oyer, an am ount actua rially determined in accordance with the parameter s of GASB Statem ent No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. The ARC re presents a level of funding that, if paid on an o ngoing basis, is proje cted to cover the no rmal cost e ach year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years. For fiscal year 2009-10, Santa Cruz METRO's annual OPEB cost was $\$ 2,556,820$. Santa Cruz MET RO's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the year ended June 30, 2010, were as follows:

| Annual required contribution <br> Interest on net OPEB obligation <br> Adjustments to annual required contribution <br> Annual OPEB cost | $4,140,013$ <br> 128,063 <br> $(113,446)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Contributions made | $4,154,630$ |
| Change in net OPEB obligation (asset) | $1,597,810$ |
| Net OPEB obligation (asset) - beginning of year | $2,556,820$ |
| Net OPEB obligation (asset) - end of year | $\mathbf{2 , 5 6 1 , 2 5 4}$ |


| Year Ended June 30, |  | Annual <br> OPEB <br> Cost | Actual Perce Employer Contributions | ntage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed |  | Ending PEB <br> ion (Asset) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | \$ | 3,980,818 | \$ 1,419,564 | 35.66\% | \$ | 2,561,254 |
| 2010 | \$ | 4,154,630 | \$ 1,597,810 | 38.46\% |  | 5,118,074 |

## Funding Policy, Funded Status, and Funding Progress

Santa Cruz METRO's required contribution is based on pay-as-you-go financing requirements. For fiscal year 2009-10, Santa Cruz METRO contributed $\$ 1,597,810$ to the plan.

As of April 1, 2010, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the actuarial accrued liability for benefits was $\$ 43,442,791$, all of which was unfunded.
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## NOTE 16 - POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS OTHER THAN PENSION BENEFITS (Continued)

Funding Policy, Funded Status, and Funding Progress (Continued)
Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and probability about the o ccurrence of $f$ uture events far into the future. Amo unts determined regarding the fun ded status of a pl an and the a nnual required contributions of Santa Cruz METRO are subject to continual revision as a ctual results are compa red with past e xpectations and new e stimates are made about the future.

The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the n otes to the basic financial statements, will present multiyear trend information that shows whether the actuarial value of plan assets is i ncreasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

## Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Calculations of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and the plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valu ation and the historical pattern of sh aring of benefit costs between the empl oyer and pl an members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used are designed to reduce short-term volatility in a ctuarial a ccrued li abilities and the actuarial val ue of a ssets, consistent with long -term perspective of the calculations.

The actu arial assumption s used for rates of employ ee turnove $r$, retirem ent, and mortality, as well a $s$ economic a ssumptions re garding healthcare i nflation an dinte rest were ba sed on a standard set of actuarial assumptions modified as a ppropriate for S anta Cruz METRO. Turn over rates were taken from CaIPERS a ctuarial ta ble for miscell aneous empl oyees, which closely mat ches Santa Cruz MET RO turnover experience. Retirement rates were also based on Santa Cruz METRO experience. Healthcare inflation rate s are ba sed on actua rial a nalysis of re cent Santa Cruz MET RO experience a nd actu arial knowledge of the gene ral healthcare e nvironment. The a ctuarial assumption to determine the co st of covering early retirees (th ose under the age of 65) was an age-specific claims cost mat rix fitted to the average estimated equivalent one-party premium for the current retiree group. Healthcare costs were assumed to increase by $3 \%$ per year of age for ages under 65 . The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is being am ortized as a lev el pe rcentage of payroll on a clo sed basis over 30 years. The remaini ng amortization period as of June 30, 2010, was 29 years.
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REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS <br> JUNE 30, 2010

| Actuarial Valuation Date | Actuarial Value of Assets (a) | Actuarial <br> Accrued Liability (AAL) Entry Age (b) | Unfunded AAL (UAAL) $(b-a)$ | Funded Ratio (a/b) | Covered Payroll (c) | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b-a)/c] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/1/2010 | \$ $413,0148,30808)$ | \$ | \$ 421.316\%, 100 |  | \$ 30,606,098 |  |
| 4/1/2008 | \$ | \$ 44,208,804 | \$ 040808,804 |  | \$ 30,355,279 |  |
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT STATEMENTS OF OPERATING EXPENSES FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |
| Operators' salaries and wages | \$ | 7,411,965 | \$ | 7,571,893 |
| Other salaries and wages |  | 6,260,879 |  | 6,161,034 |
| Overtime |  | 2,095,294 |  | 1,771,761 |
|  |  | 15,768,138 |  | 15,504,688 |
| Fringe Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| Absence with pay |  | 3,146,948 |  | 3,017,547 |
| Pension plans |  | 2,151,927 |  | 2,215,059 |
| Vision, medical, and dental plans |  | 5,963,749 |  | 5,934,447 |
| Workers' compensation insurance |  | 128,453 |  | 577,659 |
| Disability insurance |  | 408,638 |  | 388,509 |
| Other fringe benefits |  | 191,192 |  | 174,116 |
| Other post employment benefits |  | 2,556,820 |  | 2,561,254 |
|  |  | 14,547,727 |  | 14,868,591 |
| Services |  |  |  |  |
| Accounting |  | 88,407 |  | 79,984 |
| Administrative and banking |  | 184,567 |  | 185,856 |
| Professional and technical services |  | 600,387 |  | 350,007 |
| Security 341 |  | ,990 |  | 358,207 |
| Outside repairs |  | 813,428 |  | 790,841 |
| Other services |  | 148,140 |  | 163,844 |
|  |  | 2,176,919 |  | 1,928,739 |
| Materials and Supplies Consumed |  |  |  |  |
| Fuels and lubricants |  | 1,595,353 |  | 1,454,579 |
| Tires and tubes |  | 201,950 |  | 180,368 |
| Vehicle parts |  | 600,455 |  | 460,132 |
| Other materials and supplies |  | 260,626 |  | 294,828 |
|  |  | 2,658,384 |  | 2,389,907 |
| Utilities |  | 453,148 |  | 431,353 |
| Casualty and Liability Costs |  | 691,738 |  | 685,475 |
| Taxes and Licenses |  | 47,576 |  | 43,847 |
| Purchased Transportation Services |  |  |  |  |
| Paratransit |  | 294,183 |  | 176,002 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses |  | 139,102 |  | 144,675 |
| Equipment and Facility Lease |  | 556,380 |  | 744,327 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  |  |
| Property acquired with operator funds |  | 393,121 |  | 442,630 |
| Property acquired by federal, state, or TDA funds |  | 4,491,766 |  | 4,614,858 |
|  |  | 7,067,014 |  | 7,283,167 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$ | 42,218,182 | \$ | 41,975,092 |
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS <br> FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

| Federal Grantor / Program Title | Federal CFDA No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass-Through } \\ \text { Grantor's } \\ \text { Number } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total Federal penditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION |  |  |  |  |
| Direct Programs: |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Transit Administration (FTA) |  |  |  |  |
| Section 9/5307 |  |  |  |  |
| ARRA - Operating Assistance | 20.507* | CA-96-X030 | \$ | 205,000 |
| Operating Assistance | 20.507* | CA-90-Y751 |  | 3,645,442 |
| ARRA - PC Vans, Fareboxes, IT Equipment | 20.507* | CA-95-X030 |  | 177,330 |
|  |  |  |  | 4,027,772 |
| Section 18/5311 |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Assistance | 20.509 | 649170 |  | 170,894 |
| New Freedom - Trapeze Pass IVR | 20.509 | 64719 |  | 54,406 |
| AMBAG - Transit Planning - Rotational Intern | 20.515 | WE 670 |  | 4,351 |
|  |  |  |  | 58,757 |
| Total Expenditures of Federal Awards |  |  | \$ | 4,257,423 |

* Major federal financial assistance program.
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

 NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010
## NOTE 1 - GENERAL

The acco mpanying Sch edule of Expendi tures of Federal Awa rds presents the activity of all federal financial assi stance p rograms of the Santa Cru z Metropolitan Tran sit District (Santa Cruz MET RO). Federal fina ncial a ssistance received directly fr om federal a gencies, as well as fe deral fina ncial assistance passed through other governmental agents, is included on the schedule.

## NOTE 2 - BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards has been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. Federal ca pital grant funds are used to purchase property, plant, and equi pment. Federal grants receivable are included in capital and operating grants receivable, which also includes receivables from state and local grant sources.
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REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS AND THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT

To the Board of Directors
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
Santa Cruz, California

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, as of and for the ye ar ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2010. We conducted our a udit in a ccordance with auditing standards generally a ccepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Com ptroller Ge neral of the United States ; and therul es a nd regulations of the Califo rnia Transportation Development Act.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's internal control over financial rep orting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for thepurpose of expressing o ur opi nions on the ba sic financial sta tements, but not for the purpose of e xpressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exi sts when the de sign or operation of a control d oes not al low management or empl oyees, in the no rmal course of performing their a ssigned functions, to prevent, o $r$ detect a nd correct mi sstatements o n a timely basis. A material weakness is a defi ciency, or a combination of deficiencies, in inte rnal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's finan cial statements will not be $p$ revented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal co ntrol over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this sect ion and was not desi gned to identify all deficien cies in internal control ove $r$ financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in inte rnal co ntrol over fi nancial rep orting that we con sider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

## Attachment A

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of ob taining reasonable assurance about whether the Santa Cruz M etropolitan Transit Di strict's basic financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of it s compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a di rect and mate rial effect on the determination of basic finan cial statement amounts. We al so performed tests of its co mpliance with the rule $s$ and re gulations of the California Transportation $n$ Development Act. Ho wever, p roviding an o pinion on compliance with tho se provisio ns was n ot an objective of our au dit an d, acco rdingly, we don ot express such an opinion. The results of ou r te sts disclosed no instan ces of noncompli ance or other matters th at are requi red to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District in a separate letter dated December 21, 2010.

This report is intended solely for the i nformation and use of ma nagement, the Board of Di rectors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.


Bakersfield, California
December 21, 2010
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# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133 

To the Board of Directors
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
Santa Cruz, California


#### Abstract

Compliance We have a udited the Santa Cruz Met ropolitan Transit District's compliance with the types of compli ance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a dire ct and material effect on each of the Santa Cru z Metropolitan Transit District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2010. The Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's maj or fed eral programs are identified in the summary of auditor's re sults section of the accompanying sch edule of findings an d questio ned costs. Complian ce with the req uirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the sta ndards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United Stat es; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. T hose standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit in cludes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our a udit provid es a reasonable basis for ou r opinion. Our audit doe s not provide a l egal dete rmination of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's compliance with those requirements.

In our opi nion, the Sa nta Cruz Metropolitan Transit District complied, in all material res pects, with the requirements referred to above that co uld have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2010.

\section*{Internal Control Over Compliance}

Management of the Santa Cru z Met ropolitan Tra nsit District is re sponsible for establi shing and maintaining effective internal cont rol over co mpliance with req uirements of la ws, regulations, contracts, and $g$ rants a pplicable to $f$ ederal prog rams. In pl anning and performing our audit, we considered the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit Di strict's inte rnal control over compliance with the req uirements that could have a direct an d material effe ct on a majo $r$ federal pro gram in o rder to determin e our au diting procedures for the purpose of ex pressing our opinion on compliance and to te st and report on inte rnal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not ex press an opinion on the e ffectiveness of the Santa $\mathrm{Cr} u z$ Metropolitan Transit District's internal control over compliance.


## Attachment A

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or o peration of a control ove r compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to preve nt, or detect and corre ct, noncompliance with a type of complian ce requirement of a federal prog ram on a tim ely basi s. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combi nation of $d$ eficiencies, in $i$ nternal cont rol o ver compliance, su ch that there is a reasonable possibility that a mate rial noncompliance with a type of com pliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of inte rnal control over compliance was for the limited $p$ urpose de scribed in the first paragraph of this sectio $n$ and was not desi gned to ident ify all deficie ncies in intern al control ove $r$ compliance that might be deficie ncies, signifi cant deficiencies, or material weakne sses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be materi al weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended fo $r$ the information of mana gement, the Board of Di rectors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities an d is not inte nded to be an d should not be used by a nyone other than these specified parties.

BROWN
ACCO

ARMSTRONG
UNTANCY CORPORATION


Bakersfield, California
December 21, 2010
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# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE 

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT

To the Board of Directors
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
Santa Cruz, California

We have audited the ba sic finan cial statements of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Tr ansit District (S anta Cruz METRO), as of a nd for the year e nded June 30, 2010, and have i ssued our re port thereon dated December 21, 2010.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards a pplicable to finan cial a udits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Co mptroller Ge neral of the United States. Tho se sta ndards require th at we pl an and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the basic financial statements are free of material misstatement.

Compliance with la ws, re gulations, co ntracts, and grants ap plicable to Sant a Cruz METRO is the responsibility of the management of Santa Cruz M ETRO. As part of obtaining rea sonable assuran ce about whether Sa nta Cruz MET RO basic fina ncial stat ements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its co mpliance with certain provisions of laws, reg ulations, contract s, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of basic financial statement a mounts. A dditionally, we performed tests to determine th at allo cations $m$ ade an d expenditures paid by Sant a Cruz METRO were ma de in accordance with the allocation instructions and resolutions of the Santa Cruz C ounty Regional Transportation Commission and in conformance with the California Transpor tation Development Ac t. Spec ifically, w e per formed each of the spec ific tasks identified in the California Code of Regulations Sections 6666 and 6667 that are applicable to Santa Cruz METRO. In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe Santa Cruz METRO fail ed to comply with the Statutes, Rules, and Regulations of the Califo rnia Transportation Development Act and the allocatio $n$ instru ctions a nd re solutions of the Tra nsportation Commission. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

In Novemb er 2006, California vote rs passed a bo nd mea sure enacting the High way Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Qu ality, and Port Se curity Bond Ac $t$ of 2006. Of the $\$ 19.925$ billion of State gene ral obligation bonds authorized, $\$ 4$ billion was set aside by the St ate, as instru cted by the statute, as the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvem ent, and S ervice E nhancement Account (PTMISEA). These funds are available to the California Dep artment of Transportation for intercity rail projects and to transit operat ors in California for rehabilitation, safet y, or modernizat ion improvements; capital service enhancements or expa nsions; new capital proje cts; bus rapid transit imp rovements; or for rolling sto ck procurement, rehabilitation, or replacement.

## Attachment A

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, Santa Cruz METRO applied for and received $\$ 0$ from the State's PTMISEA funds for the purpos e of construction of the Consolidated MetroBase Project. As of June 30, 2010, PTMISEA interest received and funds ex pended were verified in the course of our audit as follows:

| Schedule of PTMISEA Bond 1B Funds <br> For the Year Ended June 30, 2010 <br> Description |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Amount |  |
| Balance - beginning of the year <br> Proceeds received: <br> Interest earned <br> Expenses incurred: <br> MetroBase Construction <br> Balance - end of year$\quad \$ 7,003,247$ |  |

The results of our tests indicated that, with re spect to the items tested, Santa Cruz METRO complied, in all material respects, with the provisions referred to in the preceding paragraph. With respect to items not tested, nothi ng ca me to our attentio $n$ that cau sed us to belie ve that Santa Cruz MET RO ha d not complied, in all material respects, with those provisions.

This report is intended for the information of manag ement, the Board of Di rectors, the State Controller's Office, the U.S. Department of Transportation, and officials of applicable grantor agencies. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

BROWN
ACCO

Bakersfield, California
December 21, 2010

ARMSTRONG
UNTANCY CORPORATION
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FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS SECTION
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## SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

 SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTSJUNE 30, 2010

## I. Summary of Auditor's Results

## Financial Statements

| Type of auditor's report issued: | $\underline{\text { Unqualified }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Internal control over financial reporting: |  |
| Material weakness identified? | Yes |
| Significant deficiencies identified? | Yes |
| Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? | Yes $\underline{X}$ No |

## Federal Awards

Internal control over major federal programs:
Material weakness identified?
Reportable conditions identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Circular A-133, Section .510(a)?

Identification of major programs:

CFDA Number(s)
20.507

Dollar threshold used to distinguish Type A \& B programs:
Auditee qualified as low risk auditee?

## Name of Federal Program or Clusters

Federal Transit Administration-Section 9 \$300,000

X Yes
No

## Attachment A

II. Findings Relating to Financial Statements Required Under Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards

None
III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

None.
IV. State Award Findings and Questioned Costs

None
V. A Summary of Prior Audit (all June 30, 2009) Findings and Current Year Status Follows

None
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To the Board of Directors
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
Santa Cruz, California

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2010. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards, Government Auditing Standards, and OMB Circular A-133, as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. We have communicated such information in our letter to you dated April 27, 2010. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

## Significant Audit Findings

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices
Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by Santa Cruz METRO are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. New accounting policies adopted during the year ended June30, 2010, are Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 51, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Intangible Assets, and GASB Statement No. 53,Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments. These statements did not have an effect on the financial position of Santa Cruz METRO. We noted no transactions entered into by Santa Cruz METRO during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.

Accounting estimates are an integral partof the basic financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particulaly sensitive because of ther significance to the basic financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimates affecting the basic financial statements were:

- Management's estimate of the accrued claims and depreciation expense is based on management's past experience and expected useful life.
- Actuarial Assumptions and Methods Underlying Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Liability - Management's acceptance of various actuarial assumptions and methods underlying the calculation of Santa Cruz METRO's OPEB liabilityis based on the actuarial valuation prepared by Total Compensation Systems, Inc.

We evaluated the key factors and assumptins used to develop the accrued claims and depreciation expense in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

## Attachment B

Certain financial statement disclosures are particularl y sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. The most sensitive disclosures affecting the basic financial statements were:

- The disclosure of depreciation expense in Note 4 to the financial statements is based
on management's assumption of the asset's expected useful life.
- The disclosure of the Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation in Note 16 to the financial statements is based on actuarial assumptions adopted by management.


## Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no sgnificant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

## Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulte all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropiate level of management. Management has corrected all such misstatements. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material tothe basic financial statements taken as a whole.

## Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not reolved to our satisfaction, that could be significat to the basic financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

## Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated December 21, 2010.

## Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consul $t$ with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certairsituations. If a consultation involves applicatio of an accounting principle to Santa Cruz METRO's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

## Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retentionas Santa Cruz METRO's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

This information is intended solely for the use of Board of Directors and management of Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BROWN ARMSTRONG
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION


By: Steven R. Starbuck
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# AGREED UPON FINDINGS DESIGNED TO INCREASE EFFICIENCY, INTERNAL CONTROLS ANDIOR FINANCIAL REPORTING 

To the Board of Directors
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
Santa Cruz, California

We have audited the ba sic finan cial statements of the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) for the ye ar ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2010. In planning and performing our audit of the basic financial statements of Santa Cruz METRO, we considered its internal control structure in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control structure.

As a result of our audit, we noted two agreed-upon findings. These findings and recommendations, which have been discussed with the appropriate members of management, are intended to improve the internal control structure or result in other efficiencies and are summarized as follows:

## Current Year Findings and Recommendations

## Finding 1

Santa Cruz METRO does not perform a ticket reconciliation semi-annually that provides assurance that tickets are properly safeguarded and sales are accurately reported.

Recommendation
Santa Cruz METRO sho uld perform ticket-related procedures that det ermine wheth er ticket sal es are accurately reported and that tickets in inventory are safeguarded. The reconciliation should be performed at least semi-annually until the process results in a tolerable variance level.

## Management Response

Management agrees with the recommendation. In December 2010, Santa Cruz MET RO installed new GFI Genfare Odyssey Validating Fareboxes on the fixed route bus fleet. This new fa rebox technology eliminates the requirement of a pre-printed ticket inventory. All tickets are now issued on demand from a farebox, a Printer En coder Machine (PEM) or from a Ticket Ve nding M achine (TVM). T he tickets a re printed on pa per that is $n$ ot negotiable until it is vali dated by a fareb ox, PEM or TVM. Finance staff wil I work with Operations Management and the staff at Metro Center to draft new ticket-related procedures to reconcile all ticket sales to revenue collected, and assure that ti cket sales are accurately reported. The reconciliation process will be performed at lea st semi-annually and monitored until it is $d$ etermined that the process results in a tolerable variance level. We anticipate the new ticket reconciliation process to be in place by Spring 2011.
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## Current Year Disposition of Prior Year Finding and Recommendation

## Finding 1

Santa Cruz METRO does not perform a ticket reconciliation semi-annually that provides assurance that tickets are properly safeguarded and sales are accurately reported.

## Recommendation

Santa Cruz METRO sho uld perform ticket-related procedures that det ermine wheth er ticket sal es are accurately reported and that tickets in inventory are safeguarded. The reconciliation should be performed at least semi-annually until the process results in a tolerable variance level.

## Management Response

Finance Management created re conciliations between tickets re ceived, ti cket sal es, and revenue collected to assist in accurate reporting of ticket sales. However, the new policy and procedures for ticket reconciliation will not be i mplemented until late 2009 due to the ticketing $m$ anager reti ring from Santa Cruz METRO in October. The repl acement ticketing mana ger will be trained on the new reconcili ation policies and procedures to ensure the process is accurately adopted.

## Current Year Status

See current year Finding 1.

This information is intended solely for the $u$ se of the Board of Directors and management of Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District should not be used for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

## BROWN

ACCO


By: Steven R. Starbuck


[^0]:    
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    Departmental Non－Personnel Expenses
    700 －SCCIC
    1100 －Administration
    1300 －Customer Service
    1400 －Human Resources
    1700 －District Counsel
    2200 －Facilities Maintenance 3100 －Paratransit Program

    3200 －Operations
    4100 －Fleet Maintenance
    4100 －Fleet Maintenance
    9005 －Retired Employee Benefits
    110020－Operating Grants
    110020 －Operating Grants
    100 －New Flyer Parts Credit
    Subtotal Non－Personnel Expenses

[^1]:    | $\begin{array}{c}\text { FYTD 2010 Percent of } \\ \text { Passenger Fare Revenues }\end{array}$ | $58.6 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^2]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Non-Operating Revenues have decreased from last year due to a significant reduction in the interest rate paid on Santa Cruz METRO's deposits in the Santa Cruz County Pooled Investment Fund. In an effort to protect the safety of the principal of the pool, increase credit quality and liquidity, the County Treasurer incre ased the portion of the portfolio invested in US Trea suries a nd Go vernment Sponsored Enterprises bonds (GSE's) which resulted in lower overall market rates and a lower yield on the portfolio.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Transportation Development Act (TDA) funding revenues fluctuate annually based on retail sales in the Co unty and by th e amount retained by th e Santa Cru z County Regi onal Transportation Commission (SCCRTC) for other county-wide projects and ove rhead. Receipts continue to de cline due to the current economic recession and the "no job growth" economy.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ Federal Operating Assistance in creased this ye ar due to the re ceipt of a portion of the one-time American Reinvestment and Recovery Act (ARRA) operating grant; and recognition of the full amount of the FTA S ection 5307 operating funds available, due to no further p ayments due on the five (5) year ParaCruz FTA start-up loan, that was repaid in full last fiscal year.

